

# Data Resource Guide

## Fiscal Year 2008

The Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice

# **Data Resource Guide Fiscal Year 2008**

## **The Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice**

Commonwealth of Virginia

Barry R. Green, Director

December 2008

This project was supported by the Department of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS) Grant 2005-JB-FX-0023 with funds made available to Virginia from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, U.S. Department of Justice. Points of views or opinions contained within this document are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of DCJS or the U.S. Department of Justice.

This guide fulfills the mandates set forth in Va. Code §§2.2-222 and 66-13, which specify data collection and reporting requirements for juvenile offenders.

# Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice

## RESEARCH AND EVALUATION SECTION

### PROJECT LEADERS

W. Stephen Pullen  
Lynette B. Greenfield  
Benjamin A. Brunner  
Susan M. Nicely

### PROJECT STAFF

Lindsey P. Ellett  
Jared B. Miller  
Sara E. Pine  
Fang Qian  
Chaitali Roy  
Jessica P. Schneider  
Agnes M. Shaughnessy  
Marci L. Stables

### LAYOUT DESIGN

Susan M. Nicely

The DJJ Research and Evaluation Section would like to thank everyone who contributed to this document. Our appreciation goes to the members of the agency who contributed a wealth of information with special thanks to John Coble, Tripti Gangal, Ed Holmes, Marilyn Miller, Scott Reiner, Pat Rollston, Angela Valentine, and George Wakefield. We would also like to thank Ed Cutchins, Lois Fegan, Helen Hinshaw, Laurel Marks, Anne Wilmoth, and the agencies for which they work as well as the staff at the Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission. We are especially grateful to the CSU staff who helped to gather the data necessary to complete the *Data Resource Guide* on schedule.

## Table of Contents

### INTRODUCTION

A Word from the Director .....	v
Terms and Concepts .....	vi

### SECTION I: AN OVERVIEW OF THE VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE

Introduction to the Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) .....	3
Organizational Chart and Agency Organization .....	4
Agency Vision and Guiding Principles .....	5
Board of Juvenile Justice .....	6
DJJ Historical Timeline .....	7
Virginia's Juvenile Justice System Flowchart .....	10
DJJ Operating Expenditures .....	12
DJJ Training Unit .....	13
Reporting on Race and Ethnicity .....	13

### SECTION II: COMMUNITY PROGRAMS

Introduction to Community Programs .....	17
Court Service Units (CSU) .....	20
CSU Trends .....	92
Virginia Juvenile Community Crime Control Act (VJCCCA) .....	93
Detention Homes .....	97
Halfway Houses .....	148

### SECTION III: COMMITMENT TO THE STATE

Introduction to Institutional Programs .....	153
Virginia Wilderness Institute (VWI) .....	161
Chesapeake Community Placement Program (CPP) .....	162
Shenandoah CPP .....	163
Juvenile Correctional Centers (JCC) .....	164

### SECTION IV: REOFFENSE RATES

Measuring Reoffense Rates .....	179
Reoffense Rates for JCC Releases and Probation Placements .....	181
Reoffense Rates for Agency Programs and Commitment Alternatives .....	187

### SECTION V: APPENDICES

Appendix A: CSU Staffing as of June 30, 2008 .....	191
Appendix B: JCC Staffing as of June 30, 2008 .....	192
Appendix C: JCC Expenditures, FY 2008 .....	192
Appendix D: Facility Classifications and Guidelines for Placement, July 1, 2008 .....	193
Appendix E: Length of Stay (LOS) Guidelines .....	194
Appendix F: Initial Classification Custody Designation Form .....	195
Appendix G: Reclassification Form .....	196
Appendix H: Risk Assessment Instrument .....	198
Appendix I: Miscellaneous/Other Offenses .....	200
Appendix J: Code of Virginia: Citations Pertaining to DJJ .....	200
Appendix K: Detention Assessment Instrument (DAI) .....	202
Appendix L: Census Information .....	204
Appendix M: Commonly Used Acronyms .....	205

### DJJ STATISTICAL SUMMARY

Fiscal Year (FY) 2008 Statistical Summary Information Sheet (pullout) .....	207
---	-----



## A Word from the Director...

As calendar year 2009 begins, we find ourselves facing uncertainties. With the current economic environment come stresses on families, individuals, businesses, and government. We at the Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) cannot achieve success working with young people if we attempt to go it alone. Without a stable environment, a juvenile's chances of being successful in school, work, family, and community represent a challenge, at best. Many of those who come to the juvenile justice system are in need of social, mental health, substance abuse, educational, and family services. Punishment may be part of our response when a juvenile commits an offense, but punishment alone is not likely to turn him into a prosocial individual.

This *Data Resource Guide* includes information on the numbers, demographics, and treatment needs of young members of our society who become involved with the court system. It explains how the legal system related to juveniles works, and provides details on programs that attempt to address identified needs. You can find statistics on a statewide basis, or broken out by local area, and can see whether juvenile crime has increased or decreased over the past several years where you live and work.



Barry R. Green

Particularly with diminishing available resources, it is critical that we work closely with other state and local government agencies, non-profit and faith-based organizations, and directly with families to address the need for and coordination of services. DJJ staff are committed professionals who are dedicated to improving the communities in which our children live. But we know that we cannot do it alone.

This *Guide* provides key information for developing strategies to focus our limited dollars on those things that can generate the best results. It is often true that without appropriate data we attempt to address concerns that rise to the surface at one particular time, such as a response to publicity surrounding a particularly grievous incident or series of crimes involving a group of juveniles, while missing the bigger picture.

With data, localities can see building trends and develop means to intercede in areas that may not make the news, but which would otherwise be precursors to more serious occurrences.

Virginia's young people experience crime at lower rates than most of the rest of the nation. While the number of juveniles in our Commonwealth has grown considerably over past years, the numbers who are brought to our courts has decreased (by 7% from Fiscal Year 2004 through Fiscal Year 2008). During the same time, detention home placements dropped by almost 12%, and commitments to state run facilities declined 23%.

But this good news is not spread equally across all jurisdictions. It is critical that we know where our problems are if we are to spend our decreasing resources efficiently to address them. In some areas, juvenile offending is on the rise. In other areas, overall numbers may be decreasing while specific types of problems may be growing.

I would like to thank the Department of Criminal Justice Services and our federal partners at the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention for providing grant funding to allow us to publish this *Guide*. Particular thanks goes to our Research and Evaluation Section, without whose hard work the information presented here would not be possible. I admire their ability to compile useful data while reminding us that the information represents human beings.

I hope that you will take a moment to complete the survey form included with this *Guide* so that we can determine whether we are meeting your needs. Thank you for reviewing this document and caring about our young citizens.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Barry R. Green". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Director

## Terms and Concepts

**Adjudicatory Hearing:** a hearing on the merits of a petition filed pursuant to Va. Code §16.1-260 (alleging a delinquent act, CHINS, or CHINSup).

**Blended Sentence:** the sentencing option for a juvenile convicted in circuit court, which combines a juvenile disposition with an adult sentence. Va. Code §16.1-272 allows the circuit court to impose an adult sentence and allows a portion of that sentence to be served in a juvenile correctional center (JCC) in accordance with Va. Code §16.1-285.1 (See Serious Juvenile Offender definition). Va. Code §16.1-272 also provides that the adult sentence can be suspended pending successful completion of the time in a JCC.

**Certification:** a process for transferring a juvenile 14 years of age or older to be tried as an adult in circuit court when there is probable cause that the juvenile committed a violent juvenile felony. There are two ways for a juvenile to be certified: (1) if accused of certain violent juvenile felonies, such as murder or manslaughter, the case will be automatically certified to the circuit court after probable cause is found and (2) if accused of other violent juvenile felonies, the case is certified to the circuit court if the Commonwealth's Attorney files a motion for a preliminary hearing and the judge finds probable cause. Any juvenile convicted in circuit court after certification will be treated as an adult in all future criminal cases. See Va. Code §16.1-269.1.

**Child in Need of Services (CHINS):** a child whose behavior, conduct, or condition presents or results in a serious threat to the well-being and physical safety of that child. A CHINS may also include a child under the age of 14 who presents a serious threat to the well-being and physical safety of another person. To meet the definition of CHINS, there must be a clear and substantial danger to the life or health of the child or another person.

**Child in Need of Supervision (CHINSup):** a child who is habitually and without justification absent from school despite opportunity and reasonable efforts to keep him or her in school. CHINSup may also refer to a child who habitually runs away from his or her family or lawful custodian or who escapes from a residential care court placement.

**Commitment:** an order by a judge at the dispositional hearing for the placement of a juvenile into the custody of the Department. To be eligible for commitment, a juvenile must be 11 years of age or older and adjudicated delinquent or convicted of a felony offense, a Class 1 misdemeanor and a prior felony, or four Class 1 misdemeanors. The court may commit the juvenile for a determinate or an indeterminate period of time. See Va. Code §16.1-278.8.

**Commitment versus Admission:** a commitment is a court action ordering a delinquent juvenile into DJJ's custody for a determinate or indeterminate period of time. An admission occurs when a juvenile physically arrives at a facility and is officially entered into the facility's

rolls. An admission to Reception and Diagnostic Center (RDC) may occur days/weeks after the juvenile is committed to DJJ (during which time he or she is held in secure detention). A single admission could be the result of multiple commitments to DJJ (for example, a juvenile may be committed to DJJ by more than one court prior to admission to RDC). For these reasons, the number of commitments to DJJ in a fiscal year will be slightly different from the number of admissions to RDC.

**Court Service Unit (CSU):** a local or state agency that provides services including intake, investigations and reports, probation, parole, counseling, and other related services in the community.

**Detention Assessment Instrument (DAI):** a detention screening tool used by CSU intake officers to guide detention decisions using objective criteria. See Appendix K.

**Detention Hearing:** a judicial hearing held pursuant to Va. Code §16.1-250 that determines whether a juvenile should be placed in detention, continue to be held in detention, or be released until an adjudicatory hearing.

**Detention Home or Secure Detention Facility:** a local, regional, or state public or private locked residential facility that has construction fixtures designed to prevent escape and to restrict the movement and activities of children held in lawful custody. Detention homes may incarcerate juveniles both prior to trial and as a dispositional alternative. See Va. Code §16.1-248.1.

**Detention Placements versus Detention Admissions:** a detention placement is based on a decision made by an intake officer or judge to detain a juvenile. A detention admission is when a juvenile physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Therefore, during one detention placement, a juvenile may have several detention admissions.

**Determinate Commitment:** the commitment of a juvenile, 14 years of age or older, to the Department as a serious offender. The court specifies the length of the commitment, retains jurisdiction over the case, and must conduct periodic reviews if the juvenile has remained in direct care for longer than 24 months. A juvenile can be committed to a JCC as a serious offender for up to seven years, not to exceed the juvenile's 21<sup>st</sup> birthday. See Va. Code §16.1-285.1.

**Direct Care:** the time during which a resident, who is committed to the Department pursuant to Va. Code §16.1-272 or subsections A 14 or A 17 of Va. Code §16.1-278.8, is under the supervision of staff in a juvenile residential facility operated or contracted for by DJJ.

**Direct Indictment:** if the juvenile court does not find probable cause to believe that the juvenile has committed the violent juvenile felony, the attorney for the Commonwealth may seek a direct indictment in the circuit court. See Va. Code §16.1-269.1.

**Dispositional Hearing:** a hearing in a juvenile case which occurs after the juvenile is adjudicated delinquent (found not innocent). During this hearing, the court may impose treatment services and sanctions. The dispositional hearing is similar to a sentencing hearing in a criminal court. See Va. Code §16.1-278.8.

**Diversion:** a juvenile offender is diverted out of the official court process, and the case is handled informally. The intake officer must develop a diversion plan that exhausts all community resources including restitution and community service. The juvenile and his parents must agree to the diversion plan. Informal supervision is limited to 90 days. See Va. Code §16.1-260.

**Domestic Relations:** all matters before the juvenile and domestic relations district court having to do with the family and child welfare including, but not limited to, child custody, visitation, paternity, and other petitions delineated in Va. Code §16.1-241.

**Fiscal Year:** the time period measured from July 1 of one year to June 30 of the following year. For this report, FY 2008 runs from July 1, 2007, through June 30, 2008.

**Group Home:** a non-secure, structured residential program that provides services such as group, family, and individual counseling; life skills; recreation; and educational support. Placements can be pre- or post-dispositional.

**Halfway House:** a transitional residential placement for juveniles on parole designed to help committed juveniles prepare to return to the community by providing such services as independent living skills, employment skills, and work experience.

**Indeterminate Commitment:** the commitment of a juvenile to the Department in which the court did not set the length of time the juvenile must remain in the custody of the Department. The juvenile's length of stay will be calculated based on statutory requirements and the Length of Stay Guidelines issued by the Board of Juvenile Justice. Parameters for this commitment include the juvenile's 21<sup>st</sup> birthday and a 36-month statutory maximum length of stay for all offenses except murder or manslaughter. See Va. Code §16.1-285.

**Intake Case:** a juvenile with one or more intake complaints involving a delinquent act, a CHINS or a CHINSup resulting in diversion, or the filing of a petition initiating formal court action.

**Intake Complaint:** a request for the processing of a petition to initiate a case in a juvenile court. An intake officer at the CSU decides which path the intake complaint will follow.

**Juvenile Correctional Center (JCC):** a secure facility operated by or under contract with the Department where 24-hour-per-day care is provided to individuals under the direct care of the Department. Services provided during this period include supervision, education, treatment services, recreational services, and a variety of special programs.

**Length of Stay (LOS) Guidelines:** a framework established by the Board of Juvenile Justice, as mandated by Va. Code §66-10, to determine the length of time a juvenile indeterminately committed to the Department will remain in direct care. Factors that affect a resident's LOS include the seriousness of the offense, the juvenile's history of offense behavior, the juvenile's behavior while in direct care, and the juvenile's progress toward completing treatment goals. See Appendix E.

**Parole:** a period of supervision and monitoring of a juvenile in the community following his or her release from commitment.

**Petition:** a document filed with the court by the intake officer alleging that a juvenile is delinquent, a CHINS, a CHINSup, or an abused or neglected child. A petition may also be for domestic purposes asking that the court assume jurisdiction over the child. A petition initiates formal court action.

**Post-Dispositional Detention with Programs:** structured programs of treatment and services given to youth who have been sentenced by a judge. Programs are typically designed for up to six months and are intended to maintain and build community ties. To be eligible for post-dispositional detention, a juvenile must be 14 years of age or older and found to have committed a nonviolent juvenile felony or a Class 1 or Class 2 misdemeanor offense that is punishable by confinement in a state or local correctional facility. See Va. Code §16.1-284.1(B).

**Post-Dispositional Detention without Programs:** the sentencing of a juvenile by a judge to a detention facility for up to 10 days or up to 30 days without full services being provided. Va. Code §§16.1-284.1, 16.1-291, and 16.1-292 provide additional statutory criteria that need to be satisfied prior to placement.

**Pre-Dispositional Detention:** the confinement in a secure facility while a juvenile is awaiting a court disposition. To be eligible to be detained prior to disposition, the judge, intake officer, or magistrate must find probable cause establishing that the juvenile committed a Class 1 misdemeanor or a felony offense. In addition, the juvenile must be a clear and substantial threat to another person, the property of others, or to himself. Va. Code §16.1-248.1 provides additional circumstances in which a juvenile may be detained.

**Pre-Disposition Report:** the document prepared (1) within the timelines established by approved procedures when ordered by the court, (2) for each juvenile placed on probation supervision, (3) for each juvenile committed to the Department, or (4) upon written request from another CSU when accompanied by a court order. The report must include the identifying and demographic information for the juvenile; offense, court and CSU history and involvement; social, medical, psychological, and educational information about the juvenile; information about the juvenile's family; and dispositional and treatment recommendations.

**Probation:** the court-ordered supervision of an offender in the community for a specified length of time and requiring compliance with specified rules and conditions.

**REACH:** a behavior modification program instituted in the JCCs that involves concepts of responsibility, empowerment, achievement, changes, and hope.

**Recidivism:** as defined by the Department, a recidivist is a person who is found by a court to have committed, after being (a) placed on probation or (b) released from confinement, a delinquent or criminal act other than violation of probation or parole. See also Reoffending.

**Region:** in order to better manage the use of community resources statewide, the Department divides Virginia into three geographical Regions: Region I (Western), Region II (Northern), and Region III (Eastern). See page 19 for a description of the Regions.

**Reoffending (Measures of):** three measures used in this document are (1) Rearrest- a petition filed at intake for a new delinquent complaint or an adult arrest for a new criminal offense; (2) Reconviction- a guilty adjudication for a delinquent or criminal offense, which is the only measure of reoffending that meets DJJ's definition of recidivism; and (3) Reincarceration- any return to incarceration in a JCC or adult facility after having been previously released from a JCC.

**Resident:** an individual, both a juvenile or an adult, who is committed to the Department pursuant to Va. Code §§16.1-285.1 or subdivision A 14 or A 17 of §16.1-278.8 and resides in a JCC or a public or private facility operated by or under contract with the Department where supervision is provided to persons under the direct care of the Department.

**Risk Assessment Instrument:** a scored tool that provides an objective estimate of an individual's risk for reoffending. See Appendix H.

**Serious Juvenile Offender:** a resident who was committed to the Department and given a determinate commitment as a serious offender under Va. Code §16.1-285.1.

**Shelter Care:** the temporary care of children in physically unrestricted facilities. See Va. Code §16.1-248.1.

**Status Offense:** an act prohibited by law that would not be an offense if committed by an adult, such as truancy, curfew violation, or running away.

**Temporary Detention Order (TDO):** issuance of an order by a judge, magistrate, or special justice providing for the inpatient hospitalization of a JCC resident, after an in-person evaluation by a mental health evaluator, when it is found that (i) the resident has a mental illness; (ii) there is substantial likelihood that, as a result of

mental illness, the resident, in the near future, will cause serious harm to himself or others or suffer serious harm due to his inability to care for himself; (iii) the resident requires hospitalization; and (iv) the resident refuses or is incapable of consenting to be hospitalized voluntarily. A TDO is for a brief period of time (48 hours) to allow for acute care (to avoid an involuntary commitment, if possible) and a more thorough evaluation (for preparation of the pre-screening report for the involuntary commitment hearing). See Article 16 of Chapter 11 of Title 16.1 of the Va. Code (§16.1-335 et seq.).

**Transfer:** if a juvenile 14 years of age or older is accused of any felony other than a violent juvenile felony, the Commonwealth's Attorney may file a motion for the juvenile court to conduct a transfer hearing. The purpose of the hearing is to decide if the juvenile should remain under the jurisdiction of the juvenile court or be transferred to the circuit court to be tried as an adult. The judge must determine that the act would be a felony if committed by an adult and must also examine issues of competency and the juvenile's history. Any juvenile convicted in circuit court after transfer will be treated as an adult in all future criminal cases. See Va. Code §16.1-269.1.

**Violent Juvenile Felony:** any of the delinquent acts enumerated in Va. Code subsection B or C of §16.1-269.1 when committed by a juvenile 14 years of age or older. The offenses include murder, felonious injury by mob, abduction, malicious wounding, malicious wounding of a law-enforcement officer, felonious poisoning, adulteration of products, robbery, carjacking, rape, forcible sodomy, and sexual object penetration. See Va. Code §16.1-228.


**Waiver:** a request initiated by a juvenile 14 years of age or older (with the written consent of his counsel) prior to the adjudicatory hearing that seeks to waive the jurisdiction of the juvenile and domestic relations district court and have the case transferred to the circuit court. See Va. Code §16.1-270.

**Weekender:** a juvenile sentenced by a judge to serve a certain number of days in post-dispositional detention on weekends. The juvenile remains in school during the week and, typically, resides in the detention home from Friday after school through Sunday evening.

## A Note to Readers

This report uses a number of terms and concepts that may be unfamiliar to the reader or may be defined specifically for DJJ. It is strongly recommended that the reader review the information included in the Terms and Concepts section above, as well as the information included in Appendices D, E, and I. A review of this information should assist the reader in understanding the data reported in this *Data Resource Guide*. Also, readers should note that data reported for prior years in previous editions of this publication may be slightly different from data reported this year. These slight discrepancies are due to data cleaning and information updates that occur throughout the year.

# An Overview of the Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice



Franklin County Courthouse (22nd District  
CSU)

## Introduction to the Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice

To accomplish its Mission, the Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) provides an integrated approach to juvenile justice. It brings together current research and best practices to better understand and modify delinquent behavior; to meet the needs of offenders, victims, and communities; and to manage activities and resources in a responsible and proactive manner.

### A BALANCED APPROACH

DJJ responds to juvenile offenders through a balanced approach that provides: (1) protection of public safety by control of a juvenile's liberty through secure confinement and/or community supervision; (2) a structured system of incentives and graduated sanctions in both institutional and community settings to ensure accountability for the juvenile's actions; and (3) a variety of services and programs that build skills and competencies (e.g., substance abuse treatment, support for academic and vocational education, anger management classes) to enable the juvenile to become a law-abiding member of the community upon release from DJJ's supervision.

### INDIVIDUALIZED, RISK-BASED SERVICES

DJJ is committed to the principle that the greatest impact on juvenile offending can be realized by focusing resources on those juvenile offenders with the highest risk of reoffending and by addressing the individual criminogenic/risk factors that contribute to the initiation and continuation of delinquent behavior. Using a set of research- and consensus-based instruments at different decision points within the juvenile justice system, DJJ classifies juveniles into different levels of risk. These points include the initial decision to detain, the assignment to various levels of community probation or parole supervision, and the classification of committed juveniles to guide appropriate placement within the institutional setting.

In addition to the matching of the most intensive resources to those juveniles with the highest risk, DJJ recognizes that successful responses to juvenile crime require tailored, individualized responses to the needs of juveniles, families, and communities. The presence of specific risk factors are assessed and addressed in order to increase the likelihood of successful outcomes. Issues implicated in juvenile offending include gang involvement, substance abuse, anger management, truancy, and other school-related problems. The application of appropriate social control and sanctioning strategies such as electronic monitoring, urine drug testing, and various levels of supervision are also matched to the juvenile's individualized circumstances. Incentives such as early release from supervision, extended curfew, and tangible rewards such as recreational outings with volunteers are used to reward success and improve the chances of long-term behavior change.

### DATA-DRIVEN MANAGEMENT

Over the past several years, DJJ has greatly enhanced its ability to effectively plan for and manage juveniles, programs, services, and other resources. DJJ designed functional management information systems and used the data generated and reported from these systems to better understand the offender population, activities in relation to those offenders, and

### MISSION OF THE VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE

*To protect the public through a balanced approach of accountability and comprehensive services that prevent and reduce delinquency through partnerships with families, schools, communities, law enforcement, and others, while providing opportunities for delinquent youth to become responsible and productive citizens.*

methods to become more effective and efficient. The Juvenile Tracking System (JTS) is comprised of modules covering the full range of institutional and community-based activities. DJJ's philosophy is that sound management of public resources and adherence to its core Mission cannot be accomplished without input provided from comprehensive data-based practices.


### COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS

While DJJ has the primary responsibility for many aspects of Virginia's juvenile justice system, collaborative partnerships with state and local agencies and programs, as well as with private sector service providers, are the cornerstone of the DJJ approach. Local governments operate a network of secure detention facilities and an array of services addressing each aspect of the balanced approach. Within each community DJJ works with law enforcement, behavioral health providers, schools, social services, and other agencies. Securing services from private providers assists DJJ in meeting the needs of juvenile offenders, their families, and communities. At the state level, DJJ works with other executive, legislative, and judicial branch agencies in a similar manner.

Through the application of the integrated approach to juvenile justice, DJJ continues to make a difference in the lives of citizens and communities across the Commonwealth. Through responsible resource management, performance accountability, and sound intervention strategies, DJJ strives to improve and meet the changing demands of juvenile justice practice.



## Organizational Chart As of June 30, 2008



## Agency Organization

The Department of Juvenile Justice serves the entire Commonwealth by providing support for community programs and services, community supervision and case management, and custody and care of committed juveniles.

The Central Office in Richmond and three Regional Offices in Roanoke, Fairfax, and Williamsburg coordinate the functions and funding for the court service units, community programs, community facilities, state facilities, volunteers, and private providers.

Administered by the Director, agency functions are divided among the following:

- The Director, Barry Green, oversees the Inspector General's Office, the Chief Deputy Director, and the Deputy Directors.
- The Chief Deputy Director, Sam Abed, oversees Human Resources, Policy Development, Public Relations, and Gang Initiatives.
- The Deputy Director for Administration and Finance, Steve Pullen, manages support functions that include fiscal operations, purchasing, capital outlay, information services, research and evaluation, and budgeting.
- The Deputy Director for Community Programs, Tim Howard, oversees operations that provide community-based services to youth and families. Functionally, this division includes community-based services, probation and parole services, regional offices, court service units, detention, interstate compact, Virginia Juvenile Community Crime Control Act (VJCCCA), and diversion efforts.
- The Deputy Director for Institutions, Ed Murray, oversees juvenile correctional center operations, including residential treatment programs and health services for committed youth, as well as facility support services, including maintenance and food service operations.

## Agency Vision

Successful youth, Strong families, Safe communities

## Agency Goals

Reducing recidivism of youth involved with DJJ to strengthen community safety.

Improve successful community functioning of youth involved with DJJ.

Divert from DJJ those youth who are more appropriately served by other partners.

Build effective partnerships to improve outcomes for youth and communities.

Attract, develop, and retain a highly proficient work force.

## Core Values

- All youth and their families are worthy of our best efforts.
- Offering early and effective interventions to limit youth's further involvement in the juvenile justice system.
- Meeting the individual physical, emotional, and educational needs of youth and reducing their risk of reoffending.
- Providing safe and secure confinement for those who are a danger to the community.
- Working in partnership with other agencies and localities.
- Recruiting, supporting, and maintaining a competent and diverse work force.

## Programs and Services

- Secure Confinement and Rehabilitation
- Intake, Probation, and Parole Services
- Community-Based Programs
- Pre- and Post-Dispositional Detention
- Residential Rehabilitation Programs
- Administrative Services

## Customers

- General Public
- Juvenile Offenders and Families
- Department of Juvenile Justice Staff
- State Agencies
- Local Governments and Agencies
- Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Courts
- Victims of Crime



Juveniles from the 31st CSU participating in a graduated sanction community clean-up project at the Ben Lomand Historical Site

## Board of Juvenile Justice

### BIOGRAPHIES

**Marjorie Taylor Arrington**- has served in the Commonwealth's Attorney's office in Suffolk and, more recently, in Norfolk. Taylor Arrington is a graduate of Hampton University and the Mercer University, Walter F. George School of Law. In May 2008, Ms. Taylor Arrington resigned from the Board upon appointment to a judgeship.

**Barbara J. Myers**- was appointed to the board in July 2006. Myers is an Associate Professor of Psychology and the Director of doctoral program in Developmental Psychology at Virginia Commonwealth University in Richmond. Her current research focuses on two distinct populations: families affected by incarceration and families with an autistic child.

**Aida L. Pacheco**- holds an undergraduate degree in Sociology from Princeton University. She is currently working with the Governor's Senior Advisor on Workforce Development. Active in the community, Pacheco sits on a number of boards, including the VA Hispanic Chamber of Commerce.

**Courtney A. Penn**- serves as Assistant to the President at Roanoke College. He is founder and president of Resource Attainment and Career Health (REACH), which promotes the pursuit and appreciation of scholastic academic excellence, and works with families to find funding resources for education.

**Patricia A. Puritz**- has served as the director of the American Bar Association's Juvenile Justice Center since 1985. She is also the president and director of a small, non-profit organization designed to combat juvenile delinquency and improve service delivery to youth and families involved in the justice system. Puritz studied for her Juris Doctor at Antioch School of Law.

**James S. Turpin**- is the General Manager of Democracy Vineyards in Lovingston, Virginia. He has served juvenile justice interests for a number of years in Northern Virginia; one such assignment is through his work as a member of the Northern Virginia Juvenile Detention Home Commission. Turpin is a graduate of the Virginia Military Institute in Lexington.

**Justin M. Wilson**- was appointed to the board in July 2006. He is a Senior System Architect with the National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak). Wilson is a graduate of Virginia Commonwealth University and was recently elected to the Alexandria City Council.



Left to right: Back Row: Courtney A. Penn and James S. Turpin  
Front Row: Aida L. Pacheco and Barbara J. Myers  
Not pictured: Marjorie Taylor Arrington, Patricia A. Puritz, and Justin M. Wilson

## DJJ Historical Timeline (Fiscal Years 1989-2008)

### 1989

- §66-1 creates the Department of Youth Services (DYS) and schedules separation from the Department of Corrections.

### 1990

- §66-1 is amended. The new agency opens under the name “Department of Youth and Family Services” (DYFS).

### 1994

- §16.1-269.1 Transfer and Waiver is amended to lower the age at which juveniles can be tried as adults for violent crimes from 15 to 14 years.
- §16.1-285.1 Commitment of Serious Offender Statute stipulates conditions for certification of a juvenile as an adult for purposes of trial and sentencing and allows court to commit juveniles for a determinate length of time.

### 1995

- §16.1-309.2 establishes the Virginia Juvenile Community Crime Control Act (VJCCCA) to provide funding for local programs and services for low-level juvenile offenders who are before the court or at intake and provides community-based services for juveniles and their families, holding the juveniles responsible for their actions.

### 1996

- Chapter 755 and Chapter 914 of The Acts of the Assembly establish the Juvenile Justice Reform Act.
  - §66-1 is amended to rename the Agency to “Department of Juvenile Justice.”
  - §16.1-269.1 Transfer and Waiver is amended to create provisions for Automatic and Prosecutorial Certification and stipulate preliminary hearings for certification as an adult.
  - §16.1-285 is amended to stipulate that no juvenile committed indeterminately will be held/detained longer than 36 months or beyond the juvenile’s 21<sup>st</sup> birthday.
  - Renames “Learning Centers” to “Juvenile Correctional Centers”
  - §66-10.8 establishes Length of Stay (LOS) guidelines for juveniles indeterminately committed to DJJ and makes such guidelines available for public comment.
  - §16.1-285.1 Commitment of Serious Offender Statute is amended.
    - Court allowed to order parole supervision, but total of commitment and parole time cannot exceed seven years or continue past a juvenile’s 21<sup>st</sup> birthday.
    - Criteria added to determine appropriate placement of committed juveniles.

### 1997

- Expansion of Beaumont and Bon Air JCCs.

### 1998

- Virginia Wilderness Institute (VWI) opens as a private placement for committed youth with a 32-bed capacity.

### 1999

- Culpeper JCC is opened with a capacity of 112 beds. This is the first new facility for DJJ since Oak Ridge JCC opened in 1982.
- Culpeper Detention Home, Virginia’s only statewide detention home, is opened with a capacity of 50 beds (Closed July 1, 2007).

### 2000

- The classification system is implemented for use in JCCs.
- §16.1-278.8(14) is amended to modify the criteria for commitment of a juvenile to DJJ to a minimum of four misdemeanor adjudications.
- Barrett JCC wins Award of Excellence from the Virginia Association of Drug and Alcohol Programs for its Substance Abuse Treatment Program.

### 2002

- §16.1-285.1 is amended to expand criteria for court to determinately commit juveniles who have been transferred to and found guilty by a circuit court for any felony offense.

### 2003

- §16.1-228 is amended to modify the definition of CHINS to include a child under the age of 14 “whose behavior, conduct, or condition presents or results in a serious threat to the well-being and physical safety of another person.”
- §§16.1-272 and 16.1-285.2 are amended to allow a circuit court to utilize a blended sentence option to include time with DJJ and an active DOC sentence.

## DJJ Historical Timeline (CONT.)

### 2003 (CONT.)

- Chapter 648 of The Acts of the Assembly delineates power to hire, fire, and transfer CSU personnel. DJJ will hire probation and probation supervisory staff; judiciary will select the CSU director.
- Statewide implementation of the Detention Assessment Instrument (DAI).

### 2004

- §16.1-260 is amended to enhance informal diversion by intake officers.
- §§16.1-269.6 and 16.1-296 are amended to expedite appeals from juvenile and domestic relations district court to circuit court.
- §§16.1-250, 16.1-266, and 16.1-267 are amended regarding timing of appointment of counsel for detention hearings in juvenile and domestic relations district court to ensure that youth has counsel.
- §16.1-278.8(14) is amended to require prior misdemeanor offenses to be of separate acts or transactions.
- Community Placement Program (CPP) at Shenandoah Valley Juvenile Detention Home opens as a placement alternative for committed youth with a capacity of 10 beds (Closed December 31, 2007).

### 2005

- §§66-25.1:1 through 66-25.1:4 are enacted to grant DJJ the authority to establish a juvenile work release program.
- §16.1-293.1 is enacted to require the development of a mental health transition plan for each committed juvenile upon release from a JCC.
- Barrett JCC is closed due to population reduction and is mothballed.
- Community Placement Program (CPP) at Chesapeake Juvenile Services opens as a placement alternative for committed youth with a capacity of 10 beds.

### 2006

- §16.1-290 is amended to allow the Department of Social Services (DSS) to issue an order for child support against the parents of committed juveniles in addition to the court.
- §§16.1-249.1, 16.1-278.7:01, and 16.1-278.7:02 are created requiring DJJ and local secure facilities to notify state police concerning certain juvenile sexual offenders for purposes of registration in the Sex Offender and Crimes Against Minors Registry. DJJ must also inform that juvenile of his obligation to register.
- §16.1-309.1 is amended to allow social history information relating to criminal street gang activity to be shared with state police and local law enforcement for investigatory or prosecutorial purposes.

### 2007

- REACH, the Department's institutional behavior management program, is implemented in the JCCs.
- §16.1-323 is amended, creating §16.1-323.1 and repealing §§16.1-324 through 16.1-330 relating to the Interstate Compact for Juveniles.
- §§9.1-176.1, 16.1-237, 16.1-299.1, 19.2-303, 19.2-303.3, 19.2-310.2, and 53.1-145 are amended relating to DNA analysis and the data bank.
- §16.1-271 is amended pertaining to a juvenile certified to be tried as an adult but not convicted. If a juvenile is tried as an adult, but is not convicted, jurisdiction over that juvenile for any future alleged delinquent or criminal behavior will be returned to the juvenile court.


### 2008

- §§16.1-272, 53.1-202.2, and 53.1-202.3 are amended to allow a juvenile who is convicted as an adult and committed to DJJ followed by an active term with DOC to be eligible to earn sentence credits for the portion of his or her sentence served in a JCC.
- §§22.1-323.2, 37.2-408, 63.2-1737, and 66-24 are amended and §37.2-408.1 is added to remove the requirement for the Departments of Education (DOE), Juvenile Justice (DJJ), Social Services (DSS), and Mental Health, Mental Retardation, and Substance Abuse Services (DMHMRSAS) to promulgate CORE regulations.


# Department of Juvenile Justice

## Historical Timeline Highlights

Fiscal Years 1989-2009



*Entering the Juvenile Justice System....*



## STEPS IN THE JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM

1. The juvenile enters the system when an offense is committed and reported by a parent, citizen, agency complaint, or the police.
2. If the juvenile entered the system through police contact, a decision is made to either counsel and release the youth back to the community or to arrest. If a parent, citizen, or agency made the complaint, the complaint goes to intake.
3. An intake officer at the court service unit makes the decision whether to take informal action such as crisis-shelter care, detention outreach, or counseling; to take no action; or to file a petition. In some cases, a police officer or the original complainant will appeal to the magistrate if they disagree with the intake officer's decision. The magistrate must certify the charge, and the matter is returned to intake to file a petition.
4. Once a petition has been filed, an intake officer decides if the juvenile should be detained or released to his or her parents/guardians. The decision is based on the juvenile's risk to self, community, or flight and is guided by completion of the Detention Assessment Instrument.
5. If the decision is made to detain the juvenile, a detention hearing is held within 72 hours in the juvenile and domestic relations district court to determine the need for further detention and examine the merits of the charges.
6. A preliminary hearing is held to ensure that the case has enough merit to carry it to trial. Issues of competency, insanity, subpoenas, and witnesses are addressed also. If no probable cause exists, the case is dismissed. If cause is determined, the case moves to the adjudicatory hearing. Also during this phase, issues of transfers and waivers are addressed by the court. If certification is ordered or a direct indictment issued, the case goes to the circuit court (see sections 12-13).
7. Innocence or guilt is determined at the adjudicatory hearing. Witnesses and testimony are presented similar to an adult trial. If found not guilty, the case is dismissed. If found guilty, a dispositional hearing is held.
8. At the dispositional hearing, the pre-disposition report (social history) is used to assist in selecting appropriate sanctions and services. The court decides if the juvenile will be committed to DJJ or face community sanctions such as warnings, restitutions, or fines. A conditional disposition may be imposed such as probation, which includes participation in CSU programs, referral to local services or facilities, to other agencies, to private placement, or to post-dispositional detention. Once the requirements have been met, the juvenile is released by the court.
9. If committed to DJJ, the juvenile must undergo psychological, educational, social, and medical evaluations conducted at RDC.
10. From RDC, the juvenile may go to a privately operated residential facility or a juvenile correctional center (JCC). At the JCC, a committed juvenile receives 24-hour supervision, education, treatment services, recreational services, and a variety of special programs.
11. After completion of the commitment period, a juvenile may be placed on parole or directly released. During parole, the juvenile transitions to the community through agency program efforts and is afforded local services. Some juveniles may need 24-hour residential care and treatment services provided by a halfway house. Upon completion of parole or entry into the adult criminal justice system, the youth is discharged from the juvenile system.
12. A case may be sent into the appeals process following the dispositional hearing. After presentation to the circuit court, the case is reconsidered and the issue of guilt is examined. If the juvenile is found not guilty, the case is dismissed. If found guilty, the circuit court judge administers an appropriate juvenile disposition.
13. If the circuit court received the case through a direct indictment, a trial will take place. If found not guilty, the case is dismissed. If found guilty, the judge will decide whether to render a juvenile disposition or an adult sentence.

## DJJ Operating Expenditures, FY 2008


**GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES**                      **\$216.6 MILLION**

**NON-GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES**                      **\$ 7.6 MILLION**

**TOTAL FY 2008 EXPENDITURES**                      **\$224.2 MILLION**

During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2008, the Department expended a total of \$224.2 million. Of this amount, 23% was in transfer payments to localities for VJCCCA, local detention, and locally run court service units.


The chart below reflects the programs for which these funds were expended.



Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## DJJ Training Unit

The DJJ Training Unit is responsible for providing or facilitating training for the 3,100+ employees and affiliates of the Department. The unit's mission is to provide quality training that addresses specific job functions and duties, matches the experience level of the participants, responds to current topics and trends, and assists individuals in meeting their annual training requirements. Organized as a centralized unit, training is delivered in each of the three geographical regions, as well as in Richmond where the main training facility, Cedar Lodge, is located. On average, each biannual calendar offers 300 training dates in 62 topical areas. The unit supports DJJ's mission by implementing, supporting, or facilitating programs for each division.



Robin Barwick teaching the Supervisor Toolkit at Cedar Lodge

**On-Going Employee Development Programs:** New Employee Orientation • Basic Skills for New Juvenile Correctional Officers (JCOs) – 160 hours • Basic Skills for New Probation Officers – 40 hours • Basic Skills for New Institutional Counselors – 40 hours • Annual In-Service for JCOs – 40 hours • Annual In-Service for Sergeants and Lieutenants – 16-24 hours, 16 sessions a year • Sex Offender Program Training – 21 hours • Substance Abuse Program Training – 21 hours • Handle with Care Instructor Certification – 21 hours (3 days) • Orientation for Non-Security JCC Employees – 130 hours • Annual Re-Certification Programs: suicide prevention, verbal & physical intervention skills, American Red Cross First Aid/CPR • Supervisor Toolkit – 80 hours • Non-Security Staff In-Service – 40 hours

**Special Programs/Initiatives:** Safety and Wellness • Computer Training • Career Management and Personal Development • Field Training Officers

### Statewide Initiatives:

**LMS** – The DJJ Knowledge Center, DJJ's Learning Management System (LMS), manages training through a variety of avenues. It allows the Training Center to manage classes, instructors, locations, and training records. It provides a streamlined system of measuring performance outcomes and the applicability of classes/resource materials. It allows managers, supervisors, and the Certification Unit to access records and reports to insure compliance with regulations, policies, and procedures. All employees will benefit from this system with the following options available: online registration; directions to training locations; online classes and immediate course credit; immediate online access to student transcripts; online research using juvenile and criminal justice links; access to policies, procedures, regulations, resource material, and "Frequently Asked Questions;" survey assessments; and access to a criminal justice glossary.

**MVP** – The Managing Virginia Program (MVP) is a state-wide initiative to standardize training for the Commonwealth's managers and supervisors. DJJ is one of 41 agencies involved in establishing management competencies/criteria and developing a range of training opportunities for managers and supervisors. In response to the pending mandate from the Commonwealth, DJJ has designed a Tool Kit for the Supervisors Program to meet core competencies. All newly hired and promoted supervisors have the opportunity to attend this 10-day course within the first 90 days of hire/promotion.


## Reporting on Race and Ethnicity

Demographic information provides a method of examining what a certain population "looks like" over time, and is typically inclusive of variables such as age, sex, and race. The value of such information is unquestionable, and when discussing juvenile offenders can be particularly useful. In the past, DJJ has collected and reported racial demographics including the category of Hispanic. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, however, "The federal government considers race and Hispanic origin to be two separate and distinct concepts" (*Census 2000 Brief: Overview of Race and Hispanic Origin Issued March 2001*). In an effort to ensure the most accurate data collecting and reporting, DJJ modified its data collection and applied these changes retroactively. Since the beginning of FY 2008, the Juvenile Tracking System has offered new data entry options that separate race from ethnicity to effectively allow a juvenile to be counted as black and Hispanic, for example. To apply these changes to the existing data in the system, any juvenile who was previously categorized as Hispanic race was recoded as an unknown race (since it would be impossible to determine the actual race retroactively) and given the ethnicity designation of Hispanic.

The changes to current and past data collection impact how the information is presented in the *Data Resource Guide*. Racial information for previous years will change due to the recategorization discussed above, and this change is notable in the category of "Other" since it now also captures anyone previously counted as having a Hispanic race. Likewise, for the first time data on Hispanic ethnicity is presented in all demographic tables throughout this book. It is important to note that while it is now an option to show a juvenile's race as white or black and ethnicity as Hispanic – the majority of the data still reflects Hispanic juveniles as having a racial category of "Other." As familiarity with this new option increases and additional training is conducted, it is expected that the data will begin to reflect racial distinctions that expand beyond the "Other" category.



# Community Programs



Juveniles from the 13th CSU participating in an incentive trip to the Marine Corp. Museum in Quantico, VA

## Introduction to Community Programs

The Division of Community Programs is responsible for providing a continuum of community-based services to juvenile offenders. The following briefly describes these services:

### COURT SERVICE UNIT FUNCTIONS

#### Juvenile Intake

Intake services are provided 24 hours a day at each of the 35 court service units (CSUs) across the state. The intake officer on duty, or CSU staff on call after business hours, has the authority to receive, review, and process complaints. This may involve diversion and referral to other community resources for appropriate juveniles charged with less serious offenses.

Based on the information gathered, a determination is made whether or not a petition should be filed with the Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court and, if so, whether or not the juvenile should be released to a parent or another responsible adult, placed in a detention alternative, or detained pending a court hearing. Decisions by DJJ intake officers concerning detention are guided by the completion of the Detention Assessment Instrument (DAI). Implemented in November 2002, the DAI guides detention decisions using standard criteria. An evaluation of the initial implementation of this instrument was completed in 2004 and a validation study was completed in 2006. (See Appendix K for a copy of the instrument.)

#### Investigations and Reports

Social histories make up the majority of the reports completed by CSU personnel. These court-ordered investigations describe the

social adjustment and circumstances of youth before the court or receiving services. A risk assessment instrument is completed at the same time as the social history, classifying the juveniles according to their relative risk of reoffending. (See Appendix H for a copy of this instrument.) The information in the social history and risk assessment provides the basis for CSU personnel to develop appropriate services for the juvenile and the family, determine the level of supervision needed based on risk classification, and make recommendations to the court regarding the most appropriate disposition for the case.

Other reports and investigations completed by CSU personnel may include substance abuse evaluations, case summaries to the Family Assessment and Planning Teams, commitment packets for the Reception and Diagnostic Center, interstate compact reports, transfer reports, and ongoing case documentation.

#### Domestic Relations

In addition to handling delinquency and Child in Need of Service/Supervision complaints, CSUs provide intake services for domestic relations complaints. These complaints include non-support, family abuse, adjudication of custody (permanent and temporary), abuse and neglect, termination of parental rights, visitation rights, paternity, and emancipation. In some CSUs, services such as treatment referral, supervision, and counseling are provided in adult cases of domestic violence.

#### Custody Investigations

Although the majority of custody investigations for the court are performed by the local Department of Social Services, some CSUs perform investigations to provide recommendations to the court on parental custody and visitation based on the best interests of the child and defined criteria in the Code of Virginia. The investigation includes an extensive review of the home environment and background of the child's parents or caretakers, including any individuals living in the home, and the role and relationship of the parents and caretakers of the child.

#### Probation

The most frequently used disposition for those juveniles adjudicated guilty is probation supervision. Juvenile probation



Community Service/ Restitution Officer Tiffany Miles meeting with a juvenile at the 23rd CSU

in Virginia strives to achieve a balanced approach, focusing on the principles of community protection (public safety), accountability, and competency development.

### **Parole Services**

Upon release from state commitment, offenders are placed on parole supervision. With planning initiated when a juvenile is committed to DJJ, parole supervision is designed to assist in the successful transition back to the community. Parole services build on the programs that the juvenile received during the period of secure confinement in the JCCs. Parole supervision focuses upon the balanced approach. Protection of public safety is emphasized through a level system of supervision based on the juvenile's assessed risk of reoffending and adjustment to rules and expectations. The period of parole varies according to the juvenile's needs, level of risk, offense history, and adjustment. Supervision may last until the juvenile's 21st birthday.

Parole officers are assigned to offenders to provide case management services, facilitate appropriate transitional services, and monitor adjustment to the community. Juveniles may receive family and individual counseling, referral to other community services, vocational services, or specialized educational services. These services are provided statewide by a network of approved vendors from which the local CSUs purchase programs and services for paroled juveniles and their families.

### **INTERSTATE COMPACT ON JUVENILES (ICJ)**

The ICJ unit provides for the cooperative supervision of probationers and parolees moving from state to state and the return of runaways, absconders, and escapees. All 50 states, the District of Columbia, the Virgin Islands, and Guam are participants of the Compact. The ICJ mandates each state to provide services per the Compact requirements. Probation and parole services for ICJ cases are to be provided in the same manner and at the same level as provided to residents of that state.

### **VIRGINIA JUVENILE COMMUNITY CRIME CONTROL ACT (VJCCCA)**

Funding is provided to local governments through the VJCCCA to design and implement services to meet the needs of juveniles before the court in their localities.

With a plan approved by the Board of Juvenile Justice, localities may purchase or develop and operate programs and services

that are pre- or post-dispositional, diversionary, residential, or non-residential.

### **COMPREHENSIVE SERVICES ACT (CSA)**

Developed to help at-risk youth and families, the CSA is a child-centered, community-based, collaborative system of services and funding. CSUs may refer juveniles to local, interdisciplinary Family Assessment and Planning Teams (FAPT). Representatives from DJJ, by law, are members of the local FAPT. When local funding is available, the FAPT may assess a youth's strengths and needs in order to develop and implement a service plan to meet those needs.

### **DETENTION**

All secure detention facilities are operated by local governments or multi-jurisdictional commissions. DJJ provides partial funding for construction and operations and serves as the regulatory agency for these facilities. These physically secure residential programs provide temporary care for delinquents and alleged delinquents who require secure custody pending a court appearance. Educational instruction (including remedial services) is mandatory within 24 hours of any youth being detained and is provided by the locality in which the detention facility is located (funded by the Department of Education). Program components include medical and mental health screening, recreational and religious activities, and parental or guardian visitation.

Many detention programs provide secure custody and services for post-dispositional delinquents as an alternative to state commitment as an option for judges pursuant to Va. Code §16.1-284.1. In a post-dispositional "program," secure beds are designated for juveniles for up to 180 days. In these post-dispositional programs, treatment services are coordinated by the detention facility, the CSU, local mental health and social service agencies, and the juvenile's family. These services are tailored to meet the specific needs of that juvenile. Examples of facility-based and community-based services for post-



**Chesapeake Juvenile Services**


dispositional youth include anger management, substance abuse education and treatment, life skills, vocational education, community service, restitution, and victim empathy. During FY 2008, 17 facilities had post-dispositional programs: Blue Ridge, Chesapeake, Chesterfield, Fairfax, James River, Loudoun, Lynchburg, Merrimac, New River Valley, Newport News, Norfolk, Northern Virginia, Northwestern, Rappahannock, Roanoke, Virginia Beach, and W.W. Moore. Out of 1,420 licensed secure detention beds in FY 2008, 221 beds were dedicated to post-dispositional detention. Recidivism rates for post-dispositional programs are provided in the Reoffense Rates section.

## HALFWAY HOUSES

The Department operates three halfway houses and contracts for one privately-operated independent living program. These facilities are designed to provide transitional skills to juveniles released from the JCCs.

Each halfway house program is designed to take advantage of the unique resources available in its community to meet the needs of the residents. Upon completion of the program, the resident will have gained additional skills to promote a continued positive adjustment and reduce the risk of recidivism. Recidivism rates are provided in the Reoffense Rates section.

## DJJ District Court Service Units




Court Service Units		
Region I (Western)	Region II (Northern)	Region III (Eastern)
10 Counties of Appomattox, Buckingham, Charlotte, Cumberland, Halifax, Lunenburg, Mecklenburg, and Prince Edward	14 County of Henrico	1 City of Chesapeake
21 Counties of Henry and Patrick; City of Martinsville	15 Counties of Caroline, Essex, Hanover, King George, Lancaster, Northumberland, Richmond, Spotsylvania, Stafford, and Westmoreland; City of Fredericksburg	2 City of Virginia Beach
22 Counties of Franklin and Pittsylvania; City of Danville	16 Counties of Albemarle, Culpeper, Fluvanna, Goochland, Greene, Louisa, Madison, and Orange; City of Charlottesville	2A Counties of Accomack and Northampton
23 County of Roanoke; City of Salem	17 County of Arlington	3 City of Portsmouth
23A City of Roanoke	17F City of Falls Church	4 City of Norfolk
24 Counties of Amherst, Bedford, Campbell, and Nelson; Cities of Bedford and Lynchburg	18 City of Alexandria	5 Counties of Isle of Wight and Southampton; Cities of Franklin and Suffolk
25 Counties of Alleghany, Augusta, Bath, Botetourt, Craig, Highland, and Rockbridge; Cities of Buena Vista, Covington, Lexington, Staunton, and Waynesboro	19 County of Fairfax; City of Fairfax	6 Counties of Brunswick, Greensville, Prince George, Surry, and Sussex; Cities of Emporia and Hopewell
27 Counties of Carroll, Floyd, Grayson, Montgomery, Pulaski, and Wythe; Cities of Galax and Radford	20L Counties of Fauquier and Rappahannock	7 City of Newport News
28 Counties of Smyth and Washington; City of Bristol	20W Counties of Clarke, Frederick, Page, Rockingham, Shenandoah, and Warren; Cities of Harrisonburg and Winchester	8 City of Hampton
29 Counties of Bland, Buchanan, Dickenson, Giles, Russell, and Tazewell	26 Counties of Clarke, Frederick, Page, Rockingham, Shenandoah, and Warren; Cities of Harrisonburg and Winchester	9 Counties of Charles City, Gloucester, James City, King and Queen, King William, Mathews, Middlesex, New Kent, and York; Cities Poquoson and Williamsburg
30 Counties of Lee, Scott, and Wise; City of Norton	31 County of Prince William; Cities of Manassas and Manassas Park	11 Counties of Amelia, Dinwiddie, Nottoway, and Powhatan; City of Petersburg
		12 County of Chesterfield; City of Colonial Heights
		13 City of Richmond

## Statewide Activity for Court Service Units

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	124,430	127,606	134,204
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	20,106	20,465	18,639
Class 1 Misdemeanor	39,074	39,029	37,996
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	6,598	6,522	6,649
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	12,755	13,134	12,380
Other			
Technical Violations	11,283	10,379	9,634
Traffic	1,028	957	1,305
Other	1,789	1,467	1,355
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>92,633</b>	<b>91,953</b>	<b>87,958</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>217,063</b>	<b>219,559</b>	<b>222,162</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 94.6% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.

### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*


Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	46.0%	45.5%	44.6%
White	46.3%	46.6%	47.8%
Other	7.7%	7.8%	7.6%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	69.3%	69.1%	68.9%
Female	30.7%	30.9%	31.1%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	6.4%	6.2%	5.4%
13	8.1%	7.4%	7.0%
14	13.5%	13.1%	12.4%
15	19.8%	19.3%	18.5%
16	23.7%	24.0%	24.8%
17	24.8%	26.2%	27.7%
18-20	2.8%	2.9%	3.2%
Error/Missing	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>65,373</b>	<b>63,844</b>	<b>61,909</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

- *U.S. Census Data:*
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 23% (from 637,222 to 781,196). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 815,207.
- *Intakes (FY 2008):*
  - 61,909 juvenile intakes and 87,958 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)
- *Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):*
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 8%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 5%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 7%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 3%.
- *Intake Disposition (FY 2008):*
  - A petition was filed in response to 73% of juvenile complaints. 20% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- *Adjudication Time (FY 2008):*
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 87 days.
- *Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):*
  - 10,546 assessments completed; 50% had a moderate score
- *Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):*
  - Intake - Assault, Larceny, or Status Offense
  - Probation - Assault or Larceny
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
  - Commitment - Larceny
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):*
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 26 days
  - Commitment to the State - 14.4 months
- *Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):*
  - Statewide, there were 44,764 detention-eligible intake cases and 10,657 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 4.2 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	66.0%	64.7%	50.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	15.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	6.0%	35.3%	50.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>134,204</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>0</i>
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.8%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%
Alcohol	3.8%	2.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Arson	0.8%	1.5%	1.1%	1.0%
Assault	13.7%	17.2%	17.0%	14.5%
Burglary	3.4%	7.1%	5.7%	10.5%
Contempt of Court	5.0%	2.1%	6.9%	1.6%
Disorderly Conduct	3.5%	3.6%	1.7%	1.7%
Escapes	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%	0.5%
Extortion	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%	0.6%
Failure to Appear	1.6%	0.4%	3.7%	0.6%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.7%	1.5%	1.7%	1.8%
Gangs	0.5%	0.6%	1.5%	1.9%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.2%	0.8%	0.3%
Larceny	13.4%	17.0%	11.3%	18.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	4.6%	2.5%	2.3%	0.8%
Murder	0.1%	0.1%	0.4%	0.2%
Narcotics	6.0%	8.6%	4.8%	4.8%
Obscenity	0.6%	0.5%	0.2%	0.3%
Obstruction of Justice	1.7%	1.8%	2.1%	1.4%
Parole Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.9%	2.5%
Probation Violation	4.9%	2.2%	9.8%	9.6%
Robbery	1.7%	2.3%	6.9%	8.2%
Sex Offense	1.1%	2.8%	3.2%	3.9%
Status Offense	12.2%	6.7%	1.1%	0.3%
Telephone Law	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%
Traffic	4.6%	2.6%	1.8%	2.2%
Trespass	3.2%	2.7%	1.4%	2.3%
Vandalism	7.0%	7.6%	6.2%	6.2%
Weapons	2.3%	3.8%	5.0%	3.6%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>87,958</i>	<i>14,210</i>	<i>18,532</i>	<i>2,646</i>

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	4,493 Probation*	6,727
Post-dispositional Reports	2,659 Parole	554
Transfer Reports	222 Intensive Prob.	290
Custody Investigations	35 Commitments	975

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	5.7%	14.0%	21.8%	42.9%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.3%	3.6%	4.1%	7.0%
- Other	10.6%	24.3%	17.2%	32.2%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	14.8%	18.1%	10.8%	8.0%
- Other	27.5%	21.7%	13.5%	5.1%
Prob./Parole Violation	7.0%	0.6%	17.5%	4.7%
Court Order Violation	6.3%	1.7%	10.8%	0.0%
Status Offense	17.5%	8.8%	1.3%	0.0%
Other	9.3%	7.3%	2.9%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/ Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>61,909</i>	<i>7,001</i>	<i>10,657</i>	<i>816</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>100%</i>	<i>100%</i>	<i>100%</i>	<i>100%</i>


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 1

City of Chesapeake


Region III -- Director: Maury Brickhouse

301 Albemarle Drive  
Chesapeake, Virginia 23322  
757-382-8150

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	3,955	4,131	4,850
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	774	686	622
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,178	1,267	1,178
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	205	201	203
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	488	373	481
Other			
Technical Violations	344	313	253
Traffic	11	8	9
Other	31	24	17
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>3,031</b>	<b>2,872</b>	<b>2,763</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>6,986</b>	<b>7,003</b>	<b>7,613</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 81.6% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	56.8%	54.6%	51.3%
White	40.4%	42.3%	45.3%
Other	2.8%	3.2%	3.5%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	69.9%	70.6%	67.3%
Female	30.1%	29.4%	32.7%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	5.7%	6.2%	7.9%
13	6.4%	5.6%	6.6%
14	13.4%	12.1%	11.3%
15	18.4%	20.8%	15.8%
16	24.9%	24.3%	26.1%
17	27.6%	28.1%	27.8%
18-20	2.2%	2.0%	2.1%
Error/Missing	1.5%	0.8%	2.6%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>2,137</b>	<b>2,016</b>	<b>1,995</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 46% (from 18,449 to 26,873). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 26,910.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 1,995 juvenile intakes and 2,763 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 23%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 9%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 20%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 1%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 75% of juvenile complaints. 21% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 104 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 332 assessments completed; 54% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Status Offense, Assault, or Larceny
  - Probation - Assault
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
  - Commitment - Vandalism or Assault
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 33 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 17.1 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 1st District had 1,357 detention-eligible intake cases and 200 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 6.8 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	67.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	15.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	12.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	5.2%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>4,850</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.7%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	2.7%	3.5%	1.8%	0.0%
Arson	0.7%	1.6%	1.2%	0.0%
Assault	15.9%	22.9%	18.8%	17.9%
Burglary	4.7%	7.9%	11.3%	8.4%
Contempt of Court	5.8%	1.6%	14.6%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.7%	4.5%	1.5%	8.4%
Escapes	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Fraud	1.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.4%	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.4%	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Larceny	16.4%	18.0%	16.4%	10.5%
Miscellaneous/Other	2.3%	2.1%	1.2%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	6.6%	7.8%	3.6%	3.2%
Obscenity	0.5%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	2.0%	2.1%	1.8%	3.2%
Parole Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	3.2%
Probation Violation	3.0%	4.9%	6.6%	9.5%
Robbery	0.9%	1.1%	4.2%	2.1%
Sex Offense	1.3%	1.6%	3.3%	3.2%
Status Offense	16.4%	0.8%	0.3%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.7%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	1.8%	0.6%	1.5%	4.2%
Trespass	4.7%	5.6%	1.8%	2.1%
Vandalism	6.3%	6.0%	4.2%	18.9%
Weapons	1.8%	4.3%	3.3%	5.3%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>2,763</i>	<i>629</i>	<i>335</i>	<i>95</i>

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	174 Probation*	194
Post-dispositional Reports	113 Parole	20
Transfer Reports	7 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	20

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	6.6%	15.8%	23.5%	50.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.0%	3.9%	3.5%	7.1%
- Other	12.2%	24.6%	20.0%	14.3%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	17.0%	25.7%	12.0%	14.3%
- Other	23.1%	21.1%	7.5%	7.1%
Prob./Parole Violation	3.9%	1.4%	8.0%	7.1%
Court Order Violation	7.8%	0.4%	24.5%	0.0%
Status Offense	21.5%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Other	7.0%	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>1,995</i>	<i>284</i>	<i>200</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.22%</i>	<i>4.06%</i>	<i>1.88%</i>	<i>1.72%</i>


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 2

City of Virginia Beach


Region III -- Director: Olymphina A. Perkins

2425 Nimmo Parkway, Bldg. 10-A  
Virginia Beach, Virginia 23456  
757-385-4426

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	8,614	8,068	7,663
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	1,223	1,180	1,194
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,971	1,902	1,695
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	315	350	323
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	842	766	750
Other			
Technical Violations	733	703	532
Traffic	42	33	28
Other	64	38	45
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>5,190</b>	<b>4,972</b>	<b>4,567</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>13,804</b>	<b>13,040</b>	<b>12,230</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 76.5% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	42.7%	41.2%	43.2%
White	49.2%	51.0%	50.6%
Other	8.1%	7.8%	6.2%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>			
	2.0%	2.2%	2.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	71.0%	71.1%	70.1%
Female	29.1%	28.9%	29.9%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	5.9%	5.9%	5.0%
13	6.1%	5.6%	5.4%
14	12.8%	11.4%	11.7%
15	20.9%	19.7%	19.4%
16	24.3%	27.2%	26.5%
17	25.8%	25.9%	27.5%
18-20	3.4%	3.8%	4.0%
Error/Missing	0.8%	0.6%	0.5%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>3,143</b>	<b>3,053</b>	<b>2,758</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 22% (from 43,303 to 52,749). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 51,731.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 2,758 juvenile intakes and 4,567 juvenile complaints (average of 1.7 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints decreased 11%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 12%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 2%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 11%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 72% of juvenile complaints. 16% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 86 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 418 assessments completed; 58% had a moderate score, versus 50% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Assault, Larceny, or Status Offense
  - Probation - Assault
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Robbery or Assault
  - Commitment - Burglary
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 34 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 15.9 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 2nd District had 1,983 detention-eligible intake cases and 491 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 4.0 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/ Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	70.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	15.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	4.3%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	7,663	0	1	0
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.6%	1.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Alcohol	3.5%	4.8%	0.9%	0.4%
Arson	0.8%	1.4%	0.4%	1.2%
Assault	12.9%	18.2%	14.3%	9.7%
Burglary	5.8%	9.0%	7.7%	22.6%
Contempt of Court	3.0%	1.8%	9.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	2.9%	4.1%	1.8%	0.0%
Escapes	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	1.2%
Extortion	0.2%	0.3%	0.7%	0.4%
Failure to Appear	2.8%	0.2%	7.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.8%	2.4%	0.7%	2.3%
Gangs	0.3%	0.3%	1.2%	3.9%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	1.2%
Larceny	12.7%	15.3%	9.8%	20.2%
Miscellaneous/Other	6.8%	4.1%	5.1%	1.2%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Narcotics	5.7%	7.8%	3.4%	2.3%
Obscenity	0.4%	0.6%	0.1%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	2.3%	1.8%	1.5%	0.8%
Parole Violation	1.0%	0.0%	1.9%	3.1%
Probation Violation	7.1%	1.8%	6.9%	7.0%
Robbery	3.7%	3.0%	15.2%	12.5%
Sex Offense	0.7%	2.9%	2.6%	1.2%
Status Offense	11.8%	2.9%	0.7%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	3.0%	2.1%	1.4%	1.9%
Trespass	2.8%	2.9%	0.4%	0.4%
Vandalism	5.6%	7.4%	3.4%	5.1%
Weapons	1.5%	3.6%	3.0%	1.6%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	4,567	665	1,124	257

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	291 Probation*	325
Post-dispositional Reports	54 Parole	33
Transfer Reports	4 Intensive Prob.	1
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	64

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	5.9%	15.5%	29.9%	47.3%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.1%	2.9%	3.5%	3.6%
- Other	12.7%	27.3%	13.0%	34.5%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	14.9%	21.2%	8.8%	1.8%
- Other	23.4%	19.8%	18.3%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	12.4%	0.4%	18.3%	12.7%
Court Order Violation	1.6%	2.2%	6.3%	0.0%
Status Offense	21.4%	3.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Other	6.7%	7.6%	0.4%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	2,758	278	491	55
<i>% of Statewide</i>	4.45%	3.97%	4.61%	6.74%


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 2A

Counties of Accomack and Northampton


Region III -- Director: Sean Milner

23371 Front Street  
Accomac, Virginia 23301  
757-787-5860

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	1,061	1,214	1,229
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	132	77	148
Class 1 Misdemeanor	266	175	233
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	18	22	43
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	54	45	63
Other			
Technical Violations	86	48	69
Traffic	9	1	34
Other	8	9	8
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>573</b>	<b>377</b>	<b>598</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,634</b>	<b>1,591</b>	<b>1,827</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 100% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	63.6%	59.2%	48.2%
White	31.8%	34.7%	41.5%
Other	4.5%	6.1%	10.3%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>			
	1.8%	3.1%	7.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	67.7%	69.4%	76.5%
Female	32.3%	30.6%	23.5%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	7.1%	7.1%	6.2%
13	7.1%	5.4%	6.0%
14	15.7%	10.9%	9.4%
15	22.7%	22.1%	14.9%
16	20.2%	24.8%	28.3%
17	22.2%	24.5%	30.7%
18-20	4.3%	3.4%	3.1%
Error/Missing	0.8%	1.7%	1.4%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>396</b>	<b>294</b>	<b>417</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 19% (from 4,875 to 5,806). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 5,366.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 417 juvenile intakes and 598 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 16%.
  - Juvenile complaints increased 4%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints increased 12%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 17%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 69% of juvenile complaints. 27% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 73 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 87 assessments completed; 16% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Assault or Larceny
  - Probation - Larceny
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Larceny or Contempt of Court
  - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 18 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 10.5 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - District 2A had 302 detention-eligible intake cases and 86 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.5 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	70.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	15.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	10.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>1,229</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%
Alcohol	2.7%	3.2%	0.7%	2.8%
Arson	0.3%	0.0%	0.7%	2.8%
Assault	14.0%	16.8%	12.4%	11.1%
Burglary	4.2%	8.1%	9.0%	5.6%
Contempt of Court	6.0%	3.2%	15.9%	8.3%
Disorderly Conduct	3.7%	7.0%	2.8%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	1.7%	3.8%	1.4%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.7%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	13.2%	21.6%	17.2%	13.9%
Miscellaneous/Other	5.5%	1.1%	1.4%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	4.3%	5.4%	6.2%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	2.8%
Obstruction of Justice	1.2%	3.2%	2.8%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.8%	0.0%	3.4%	5.6%
Probation Violation	4.7%	2.7%	11.0%	8.3%
Robbery	2.2%	0.0%	5.5%	0.0%
Sex Offense	1.7%	3.2%	0.7%	8.3%
Status Offense	9.5%	1.6%	0.7%	2.8%
Telephone Law	0.3%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Traffic	10.0%	4.3%	0.7%	2.8%
Trespass	4.2%	2.2%	0.0%	5.6%
Vandalism	5.9%	9.7%	4.8%	11.1%
Weapons	2.3%	1.1%	2.1%	5.6%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>598</i>	<i>185</i>	<i>145</i>	<i>36</i>

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	72 Probation*	34
Post-dispositional Reports	6 Parole	5
Transfer Reports	3 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	11

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	7.0%	8.2%	12.8%	40.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.4%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%
- Other	12.7%	32.8%	19.8%	30.0%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	16.5%	37.7%	9.3%	10.0%
- Other	20.4%	19.7%	2.3%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	7.2%	0.0%	24.4%	20.0%
Court Order Violation	7.9%	0.0%	26.7%	0.0%
Status Offense	14.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	12.7%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>417</i>	<i>61</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.67%</i>	<i>0.87%</i>	<i>0.81%</i>	<i>1.23%</i>


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

**District 3**  
City of Portsmouth


Region III -- Director: David G. Lively

801 Water Street  
Portsmouth, Virginia 23704  
757-393-8571

**INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008**

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	3,139	3,390	3,599
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	294	335	340
Class 1 Misdemeanor	663	747	673
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	129	133	94
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	262	156	134
Other			
Technical Violations	184	167	122
Traffic	32	31	12
Other	16	15	10
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>1,580</b>	<b>1,584</b>	<b>1,385</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,719</b>	<b>4,974</b>	<b>4,984</b>

**INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>**



\* 98.5% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


**JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\***

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	80.9%	80.6%	78.4%
White	17.3%	17.3%	19.6%
Other	1.8%	2.2%	2.0%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	69.2%	72.1%	75.6%
Female	30.8%	27.9%	24.4%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	5.6%	5.9%	4.0%
13	8.3%	8.0%	7.7%
14	15.9%	15.4%	12.3%
15	23.9%	22.1%	23.9%
16	24.7%	23.6%	26.2%
17	18.8%	21.8%	23.8%
18-20	2.7%	3.1%	1.8%
Error/Missing	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>1,128</b>	<b>1,066</b>	<b>892</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 1% (from 11,278 to 11,389). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 11,390.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 892 juvenile intakes and 1,385 juvenile complaints (average of 1.6 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 15%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 12%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints increased 16%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 49%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 81% of juvenile complaints. 9% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 90 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 185 assessments completed; 24% had a high score, versus 19% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Assault
  - Probation - Assault
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
  - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 23 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 9.0 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 3rd District had 707 detention-eligible intake cases and 202 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.5 eligible intakes per detention placement.

**RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\***



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	81.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	3,599	0	0	0
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	1.4%	0.8%	0.3%	0.0%
Alcohol	1.4%	2.0%	0.8%	0.7%
Arson	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Assault	23.5%	25.7%	20.8%	15.4%
Burglary	5.3%	8.2%	9.5%	10.5%
Contempt of Court	4.1%	2.0%	2.2%	1.4%
Disorderly Conduct	3.4%	2.4%	1.9%	0.7%
Escapes	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	1.4%
Extortion	0.6%	2.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.5%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Larceny	12.1%	16.3%	13.5%	18.9%
Miscellaneous/Other	5.6%	2.0%	1.1%	2.1%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Narcotics	4.5%	8.6%	4.9%	3.5%
Obscenity	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	3.0%	1.2%	4.9%	2.1%
Parole Violation	0.5%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Probation Violation	4.2%	2.0%	9.2%	6.3%
Robbery	2.0%	4.9%	5.1%	9.8%
Sex Offense	2.1%	2.4%	5.1%	4.2%
Status Offense	5.7%	0.4%	0.3%	1.4%
Telephone Law	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Traffic	3.8%	0.4%	0.8%	0.7%
Trespass	3.2%	3.3%	0.8%	10.5%
Vandalism	6.3%	9.8%	5.4%	4.2%
Weapons	5.5%	4.5%	8.9%	5.6%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	1,385	245	370	143

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	171 Probation*	124
Post-dispositional Reports	14 Parole	22
Transfer Reports	5 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	30

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	10.8%	19.0%	31.2%	36.8%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.7%	3.8%	4.0%	2.6%
- Other	10.9%	24.8%	18.8%	34.2%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	25.6%	24.8%	13.4%	21.1%
- Other	19.6%	19.0%	6.9%	5.3%
Prob./Parole Violation	7.1%	0.0%	19.8%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	5.8%	2.9%	3.5%	0.0%
Status Offense	12.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Other	6.5%	5.7%	2.0%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	892	105	202	38
<i>% of Statewide</i>	1.44%	1.50%	1.90%	4.66%


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

**District 4**

City of Norfolk


Region III -- Director: Claudette R. Overton

800 East City Hall Avenue, 3rd Floor  
Norfolk, Virginia 23510  
757-664-7601

**INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008**

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	6,884	7,009	7,073
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	1,030	1,039	878
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,596	1,508	1,315
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	306	302	283
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	918	948	768
Other			
Technical Violations	500	347	172
Traffic	124	162	138
Other	273	176	127
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>4,747</b>	<b>4,482</b>	<b>3,681</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>11,631</b>	<b>11,491</b>	<b>10,754</b>

**INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>**



\* 84.2% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


**JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\***

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	77.2%	77.6%	78.7%
White	19.3%	18.1%	16.9%
Other	3.5%	4.3%	4.4%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>			
	1.3%	2.0%	2.2%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	62.9%	65.5%	62.2%
Female	37.1%	34.5%	37.8%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	8.2%	7.9%	6.2%
13	7.9%	8.2%	8.7%
14	13.0%	13.6%	11.7%
15	20.0%	21.3%	19.5%
16	24.8%	21.9%	24.0%
17	21.7%	22.8%	25.9%
18-20	2.5%	2.3%	2.3%
Error/Missing	1.9%	1.9%	1.8%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>3,162</b>	<b>3,034</b>	<b>2,468</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 10% (from 21,119 to 23,298). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 24,459.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 2,468 juvenile intakes and 3,681 juvenile complaints (average of 1.5 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 3%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 22%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 15%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 16%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 57% of juvenile complaints. 27% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 85 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 453 assessments completed; 4% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Status Offense or Assault
  - Probation - Assault
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
  - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 33 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 23.1 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 4th District had 1,466 detention-eligible intake cases and 479 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.1 eligible intakes per detention placement.

**RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\***



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	64.6%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	14.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	11.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	9.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	7,073	3	1	0
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	2.2%	1.5%	0.5%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.8%	0.7%	0.0%	0.7%
Arson	0.8%	0.7%	2.1%	2.9%
Assault	16.5%	21.3%	19.5%	13.6%
Burglary	2.7%	8.5%	5.6%	15.0%
Contempt of Court	1.5%	0.4%	7.4%	2.1%
Disorderly Conduct	2.9%	7.1%	1.4%	1.4%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Extortion	1.0%	1.5%	0.4%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	2.5%	0.7%	6.1%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	2.6%	0.8%	0.5%	0.7%
Gangs	0.1%	0.7%	0.2%	0.7%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.0%	1.0%	0.7%
Larceny	9.0%	18.2%	9.7%	25.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	7.0%	4.2%	2.5%	0.7%
Murder	0.5%	0.0%	1.7%	0.7%
Narcotics	3.0%	5.4%	2.3%	4.3%
Obscenity	0.5%	0.6%	0.1%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	2.0%	2.8%	1.2%	0.7%
Parole Violation	0.6%	0.0%	0.5%	0.7%
Probation Violation	1.9%	2.8%	5.2%	7.1%
Robbery	4.2%	2.8%	15.2%	16.4%
Sex Offense	1.2%	3.2%	6.4%	1.4%
Status Offense	18.3%	1.7%	0.4%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	7.7%	2.1%	2.0%	1.4%
Trespass	4.1%	3.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Vandalism	4.0%	5.1%	3.0%	0.0%
Weapons	2.0%	4.2%	4.2%	2.9%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	3,681	719	956	140

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	395 Probation*	123
Post-dispositional Reports	24 Parole	50
Transfer Reports	38 Intensive Prob.	116
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	80

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	6.7%	16.3%	30.1%	43.8%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.0%	2.6%	3.5%	8.3%
- Other	8.5%	31.3%	16.1%	37.5%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	18.3%	23.3%	11.5%	4.2%
- Other	20.4%	20.3%	11.3%	4.2%
Prob./Parole Violation	3.6%	0.4%	11.5%	2.1%
Court Order Violation	1.3%	0.9%	11.5%	0.0%
Status Offense	28.9%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Other	11.3%	4.8%	4.4%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	2,468	227	479	48
<i>% of Statewide</i>	3.99%	3.24%	4.49%	5.88%


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 5

Cities of Franklin and Suffolk  
Counties of Isle of Wight and Southampton


Region III -- Director: James E. Gordon

150 North Main Street  
Godwin Courts Building - 2nd Floor  
Suffolk, Virginia 23439  
757-514-4311

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	1,357	1,419	1,414
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	415	419	353
Class 1 Misdemeanor	758	633	669
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	158	84	118
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	144	96	135
Other			
Technical Violations	90	99	71
Traffic	17	12	11
Other	26	33	17
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>1,608</b>	<b>1,376</b>	<b>1,374</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>2,965</b>	<b>2,795</b>	<b>2,788</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 99.7% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	67.7%	70.2%	65.5%
White	29.8%	28.7%	32.3%
Other	2.5%	1.2%	2.2%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>			
	0.8%	0.5%	0.6%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	76.6%	76.0%	76.6%
Female	23.4%	24.0%	23.4%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	5.6%	4.3%	4.8%
13	7.2%	5.8%	5.4%
14	11.5%	11.3%	11.4%
15	20.4%	17.6%	17.0%
16	23.8%	28.4%	27.8%
17	27.6%	27.5%	30.6%
18-20	3.2%	4.3%	2.8%
Error/Missing	0.7%	0.8%	0.2%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>1,033</b>	<b>841</b>	<b>901</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 26% (from 11,686 to 14,694). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 16,061.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 901 juvenile intakes and 1,374 juvenile complaints (average of 1.5 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 4%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 15%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 15%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 6%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 96% of juvenile complaints. 2% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 84 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 181 assessments completed; 47% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Assault or Larceny
  - Probation - Burglary
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
  - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 28 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 11.1 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 5th District had 712 detention-eligible intake cases and 171 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 4.2 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/ Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	68.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	13.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>1,414</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	3.1%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.8%	0.8%	0.3%	0.0%
Assault	17.0%	18.1%	19.7%	14.6%
Burglary	4.1%	20.5%	6.1%	10.4%
Contempt of Court	2.3%	0.0%	3.6%	1.0%
Disorderly Conduct	3.9%	2.4%	0.3%	2.1%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.3%	2.4%	0.3%	1.0%
Failure to Appear	0.5%	0.0%	1.2%	1.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.8%	0.8%	0.3%	3.1%
Gangs	0.7%	0.0%	1.2%	1.0%
Kidnapping	0.4%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Larceny	15.6%	15.0%	12.7%	20.8%
Miscellaneous/Other	7.1%	7.9%	2.7%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Narcotics	6.7%	5.5%	2.4%	5.2%
Obscenity	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.9%	1.6%	0.9%	1.0%
Parole Violation	0.4%	0.0%	1.2%	1.0%
Probation Violation	2.4%	0.0%	5.8%	12.5%
Robbery	2.3%	4.7%	8.8%	2.1%
Sex Offense	2.3%	5.5%	9.4%	5.2%
Status Offense	4.6%	0.8%	0.3%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	3.7%	0.8%	0.9%	2.1%
Trespass	3.6%	1.6%	0.0%	1.0%
Vandalism	8.9%	6.3%	7.0%	7.3%
Weapons	4.2%	3.9%	13.0%	7.3%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>1,374</i>	<i>127</i>	<i>330</i>	<i>96</i>

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	182 Probation*	108
Post-dispositional Reports	8 Parole	19
Transfer Reports	25 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	31

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	7.4%	19.3%	31.6%	23.1%
- Weapons/Narcotics	2.3%	7.2%	6.4%	7.7%
- Other	14.0%	37.3%	22.8%	46.2%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	18.8%	16.9%	8.8%	15.4%
- Other	29.1%	8.4%	9.4%	3.8%
Prob./Parole Violation	4.2%	0.0%	12.9%	3.8%
Court Order Violation	3.4%	0.0%	7.0%	0.0%
Status Offense	11.4%	1.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Other	9.3%	9.6%	0.6%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/ Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>901</i>	<i>83</i>	<i>171</i>	<i>26**</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.46%</i>	<i>1.19%</i>	<i>1.60%</i>	<i>3.19%</i>


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.  
\*\* 4% (1) of state commitments were associated with an institutional offense for which disposition was referred to this CSU.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 6

Cities of Emporia and Hopewell

Counties of Brunswick, Greensville, Prince George, Surry, and Sussex

Region III -- Director: John H. Weigel, III

100 East Broadway, Suite G05


Hopewell, Virginia 23860

804-541-2265

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	1,848	1,950	2,219
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	414	373	280
Class 1 Misdemeanor	702	561	728
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	91	88	107
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	119	114	136
Other			
Technical Violations	52	74	61
Traffic	1	3	11
Other	3	4	12
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>1,382</b>	<b>1,217</b>	<b>1,335</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,230</b>	<b>3,167</b>	<b>3,554</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 97.9% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.

<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	70.8%	66.3%	60.3%
White	28.1%	32.2%	37.6%
Other	1.1%	1.6%	2.1%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	72.8%	76.0%	73.6%
Female	27.3%	24.0%	26.4%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	6.4%	5.8%	4.5%
13	9.9%	7.9%	8.6%
14	15.5%	14.4%	14.4%
15	19.2%	20.8%	20.3%
16	21.7%	22.3%	20.9%
17	24.9%	26.6%	26.6%
18-20	2.1%	1.6%	4.1%
Error/Missing	0.3%	0.6%	0.6%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>921</b>	<b>824</b>	<b>993</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 11% (from 10,938 to 12,145). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 11,315.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 993 juvenile intakes and 1,335 juvenile complaints (average of 1.3 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 20%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 3%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 32%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 14%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 74% of juvenile complaints. 14% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 118 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 120 assessments completed; 63% had a moderate score, versus 50% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Assault
  - Probation - Larceny
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault or Larceny
  - Commitment - Assault
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 28 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 15.6 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 6th District had 749 detention-eligible intake cases and 163 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 4.6 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/ Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	64.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	21.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	11.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	2,219	0	0	0
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.7%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	3.1%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Arson	0.5%	0.8%	1.2%	2.5%
Assault	18.4%	12.8%	18.0%	25.0%
Burglary	2.8%	9.8%	7.8%	5.0%
Contempt of Court	2.6%	0.8%	11.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	9.3%	2.3%	2.4%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.4%	0.8%	1.6%	5.0%
Failure to Appear	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.6%	0.0%	1.6%	2.5%
Gangs	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Larceny	11.4%	30.1%	18.0%	12.5%
Miscellaneous/Other	5.8%	3.8%	1.2%	0.0%
Murder	0.3%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Narcotics	6.9%	7.5%	4.5%	7.5%
Obscenity	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.0%	1.5%	0.8%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Probation Violation	1.8%	0.8%	3.7%	5.0%
Robbery	1.0%	2.3%	3.3%	20.0%
Sex Offense	1.1%	3.8%	2.4%	2.5%
Status Offense	7.6%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	7.1%	6.0%	0.8%	2.5%
Trespass	3.7%	0.8%	2.0%	2.5%
Vandalism	9.3%	9.8%	5.7%	2.5%
Weapons	3.3%	5.3%	5.3%	5.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	1,335	133	245	40

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	107 Probation*	53
Post-dispositional Reports	16 Parole	12
Transfer Reports	14 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	19

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	6.4%	21.3%	22.1%	76.9%
- Weapons/Narcotics	2.1%	8.2%	2.5%	7.7%
- Other	11.0%	34.4%	22.7%	15.4%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	18.9%	9.8%	12.9%	0.0%
- Other	34.1%	16.4%	11.7%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	2.5%	1.6%	6.1%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	2.7%	1.6%	12.9%	0.0%
Status Offense	11.9%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%
Other	10.3%	6.6%	3.1%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	993	61	163	13**
<i>% of Statewide</i>	1.60%	0.87%	1.53%	1.59%


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.  
\*\* 8% (1) of state commitments were associated with an institutional offense for which disposition was referred to this CSU.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

**District 7**  
City of Newport News


Region III -- Director: Aderon L. Gibbs

2600 Washington Ave., 5th Floor  
Newport News, Virginia 23607  
757-926-8781

**INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008**

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	3,791	3,684	3,963
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	949	795	893
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,667	1,659	1,539
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	249	266	313
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	732	1,360	1,204
Other			
Technical Violations	333	382	334
Traffic	16	7	131
Other	59	52	30
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>4,005</b>	<b>4,521</b>	<b>4,444</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>7,796</b>	<b>8,205</b>	<b>8,407</b>

**INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>**



\* 92.3% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


**JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\***

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	74.2%	72.9%	71.2%
White	22.6%	23.3%	24.7%
Other	3.2%	3.8%	4.1%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	1.9%	2.5%	2.2%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	69.3%	65.4%	64.5%
Female	30.7%	34.6%	35.5%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	7.6%	8.1%	5.3%
13	8.9%	7.3%	7.4%
14	15.1%	14.4%	13.2%
15	22.7%	21.0%	20.4%
16	23.5%	24.6%	23.6%
17	19.3%	22.0%	27.2%
18-20	2.0%	1.8%	2.2%
Error/Missing	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>2,782</b>	<b>3,512</b>	<b>3,218</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 20% (from 17,381 to 20,893). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 21,903.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 3,218 juvenile intakes and 4,444 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 5%.
  - Juvenile complaints increased 11%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 6%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 64%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 60% of juvenile complaints. 28% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 84 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 485 assessments completed; 23% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Status Offense
  - Probation - Larceny
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
  - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 40 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 16.4 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 7th District had 1,834 detention-eligible intake cases and 400 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 4.6 eligible intakes per detention placement.

**RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\***



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	66.8%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	15.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	3,963	0	1	0
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.9%	1.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Alcohol	1.8%	2.5%	0.1%	0.0%
Arson	0.6%	2.2%	0.6%	1.2%
Assault	12.0%	14.0%	17.0%	15.2%
Burglary	5.2%	13.7%	8.4%	10.3%
Contempt of Court	4.9%	3.6%	6.2%	0.6%
Disorderly Conduct	0.7%	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%
Escapes	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%
Extortion	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	1.7%	0.2%	6.2%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Fraud	1.0%	1.4%	0.9%	0.6%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.4%	0.6%	1.8%	0.0%
Larceny	10.2%	17.0%	6.2%	24.2%
Miscellaneous/Other	8.5%	2.6%	1.2%	0.6%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Narcotics	6.1%	8.1%	6.6%	10.3%
Obscenity	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.3%	1.6%	2.4%	1.8%
Parole Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.5%	4.2%
Probation Violation	2.1%	4.1%	4.2%	7.3%
Robbery	2.5%	4.8%	11.8%	6.1%
Sex Offense	0.7%	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Status Offense	19.4%	1.7%	1.4%	0.6%
Telephone Law	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Traffic	5.8%	2.7%	0.7%	1.8%
Trespass	4.6%	2.9%	3.0%	1.2%
Vandalism	4.9%	7.5%	5.1%	4.8%
Weapons	3.7%	4.8%	11.1%	7.3%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	4,444	837	866	165

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	331 Probation*	228
Post-dispositional Reports	32 Parole	29
Transfer Reports	13 Intensive Prob.	24
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	64

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	4.8%	21.6%	26.0%	43.8%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.7%	7.7%	8.8%	14.6%
- Other	7.1%	35.9%	14.5%	29.2%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	11.1%	12.9%	6.0%	0.0%
- Other	22.3%	14.6%	18.5%	4.2%
Prob./Parole Violation	3.3%	0.3%	10.0%	8.3%
Court Order Violation	6.7%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%
Status Offense	34.0%	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Other	9.0%	6.6%	0.8%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/ Placements/Commitments</i>	3,218	287	400	48
<i>% of Statewide</i>	5.20%	4.10%	3.75%	5.88%


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

**District 8**  
City of Hampton


Region III -- Director: Michael G. Morton

35 Wine Street  
Hampton, Virginia 23669  
757-727-6184

**INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008**

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	3,479	3,769	3,995
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	646	685	710
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,272	1,249	1,349
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	195	229	210
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	752	615	539
Other			
Technical Violations	89	65	87
Traffic	261	243	166
Other	204	119	41
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>3,419</b>	<b>3,205</b>	<b>3,102</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>6,898</b>	<b>6,974</b>	<b>7,097</b>

**INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>**



\* 94% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


**JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\***

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	69.4%	69.7%	71.5%
White	26.7%	27.0%	24.2%
Other	3.8%	3.3%	4.3%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>			
	1.6%	1.5%	2.6%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	67.8%	69.1%	65.4%
Female	32.2%	30.9%	34.6%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	8.8%	8.2%	7.3%
13	8.7%	8.3%	9.4%
14	12.2%	12.1%	13.9%
15	20.1%	18.7%	18.5%
16	22.4%	23.7%	21.0%
17	25.1%	26.2%	27.2%
18-20	1.7%	2.3%	1.9%
Error/Missing	0.9%	0.7%	0.9%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>2,494</b>	<b>2,306</b>	<b>2,221</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 22% (from 13,300 to 16,182). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 15,319.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 2,221 juvenile intakes and 3,102 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 15%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 9%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints increased 10%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 28%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 58% of juvenile complaints. 32% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 79 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 170 assessments completed; 18% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Larceny or Assault
  - Probation - Larceny
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
  - Commitment - Burglary or Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 21 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 18.2 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 8th District had 1,460 detention-eligible intake cases and 274 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 5.3 eligible intakes per detention placement.

**RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\***



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	77.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	3,995	0	0	0
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	1.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%
Arson	0.6%	1.6%	0.6%	0.0%
Assault	15.9%	15.6%	23.0%	13.2%
Burglary	4.4%	8.0%	11.3%	17.6%
Contempt of Court	0.1%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	3.8%	3.0%	2.7%	2.9%
Escapes	2.0%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%
Extortion	1.0%	0.7%	0.8%	2.9%
Failure to Appear	1.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.7%	0.7%	1.9%	0.0%
Gangs	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	1.5%
Kidnapping	0.6%	1.8%	2.7%	0.0%
Larceny	16.2%	19.3%	17.4%	17.6%
Miscellaneous/Other	10.7%	2.5%	1.3%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Narcotics	4.1%	4.4%	3.3%	7.4%
Obscenity	0.9%	0.0%	0.3%	1.5%
Obstruction of Justice	1.8%	4.1%	1.7%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.8%	1.5%
Probation Violation	2.2%	2.8%	1.6%	5.9%
Robbery	2.4%	8.5%	11.1%	8.8%
Sex Offense	1.0%	6.9%	2.4%	5.9%
Status Offense	8.5%	2.3%	0.6%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.3%	0.9%	0.2%	0.0%
Traffic	8.5%	0.5%	1.7%	1.5%
Trespass	3.0%	1.6%	0.2%	1.5%
Vandalism	5.1%	6.0%	3.9%	4.4%
Weapons	2.8%	8.0%	6.3%	5.9%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	3,102	435	638	68

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	162 Probation*	81
Post-dispositional Reports	1 Parole	24
Transfer Reports	6 Intensive Prob.	17
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	36

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	6.3%	36.4%	41.2%	41.7%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.7%	3.7%	4.4%	8.3%
- Other	9.5%	27.1%	24.8%	33.3%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	16.1%	15.9%	6.6%	8.3%
- Other	29.7%	12.1%	17.2%	4.2%
Prob./Parole Violation	3.3%	0.0%	5.1%	4.2%
Court Order Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense	21.4%	1.9%	0.7%	0.0%
Other	12.8%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	2,221	107	274	24
<i>% of Statewide</i>	3.59%	1.53%	2.57%	2.94%


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 9

Region III -- Director: Thomas A. Gooding


4093 Ironbound Road, Suite D  
Williamsburg, Virginia 23188  
757-564-2460

Cities of Poquoson and Williamsburg and Counties of Charles City, Gloucester, James City, King and Queen, King William, Mathews, Middlesex, New Kent, and York

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	3,038	3,201	3,213
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	694	541	505
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,253	1,302	1,416
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	320	272	246
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	205	215	268
Other			
Technical Violations	101	97	72
Traffic	41	19	30
Other	25	26	32
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>2,639</b>	<b>2,472</b>	<b>2,569</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>5,677</b>	<b>5,673</b>	<b>5,782</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 88.1% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	26.9%	26.4%	27.5%
White	69.0%	69.3%	69.2%
Other	4.1%	4.3%	3.3%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	73.8%	70.8%	71.3%
Female	26.2%	29.2%	28.7%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	5.4%	4.8%	6.2%
13	6.0%	7.1%	5.9%
14	13.2%	11.3%	11.5%
15	17.8%	16.5%	18.5%
16	24.8%	24.8%	23.1%
17	29.3%	32.4%	32.2%
18-20	3.1%	2.5%	2.1%
Error/Missing	0.5%	0.6%	0.5%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>1,530</b>	<b>1,552</b>	<b>1,635</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 32% (from 20,292 to 26,797). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 26,360.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 1,635 juvenile intakes and 2,569 juvenile complaints (average of 1.6 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 6%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 3%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 27%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 31%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 74% of juvenile complaints. 20% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 106 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 126 assessments completed; 20% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Assault
  - Probation - Assault
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
  - Commitment - Assault
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 25 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 15.2 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 9th District had 1,341 detention-eligible intake cases and 235 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 5.7 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	73.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	13.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	9.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	3,213	3	0	0
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	11.5%	3.4%	2.3%	0.0%
Arson	2.5%	1.7%	5.0%	5.3%
Assault	15.6%	23.3%	18.1%	15.8%
Burglary	3.6%	11.2%	7.8%	10.5%
Contempt of Court	1.2%	0.9%	2.7%	2.6%
Disorderly Conduct	3.6%	2.6%	1.5%	0.0%
Escapes	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Extortion	0.5%	1.7%	0.2%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.6%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Larceny	11.7%	10.3%	13.2%	13.2%
Miscellaneous/Other	5.4%	6.0%	1.3%	2.6%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Narcotics	7.0%	7.8%	8.2%	2.6%
Obscenity	1.2%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	2.0%	0.9%	1.3%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Probation Violation	1.4%	1.7%	3.8%	5.3%
Robbery	0.8%	1.7%	5.7%	7.9%
Sex Offense	1.6%	4.3%	2.1%	7.9%
Status Offense	7.2%	2.6%	0.8%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.6%	1.7%	1.1%	0.0%
Traffic	5.8%	3.4%	0.8%	7.9%
Trespass	4.6%	3.4%	1.5%	7.9%
Vandalism	8.4%	9.5%	9.9%	10.5%
Weapons	2.1%	1.7%	6.9%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	2,569	116	476	38

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	45 Probation*	80
Post-dispositional Reports	8 Parole	7
Transfer Reports	0 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	18

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	5.7%	19.0%	26.0%	40.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.2%	7.9%	4.3%	13.3%
- Other	12.6%	28.6%	26.8%	26.7%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	20.2%	23.8%	17.9%	6.7%
- Other	39.4%	12.7%	10.6%	13.3%
Prob./Parole Violation	2.2%	0.0%	8.9%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	0.9%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%
Status Offense	9.9%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Other	7.9%	7.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/ Placements/Commitments</i>	1,635	63	235	15
<i>% of Statewide</i>	2.64%	0.90%	2.21%	1.84%


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 10

Counties of Appomattox, Buckingham, Charlotte, Cumberland, Halifax, Lunenburg, Mecklenburg, and Prince Edward


Region I -- Director: Charles L. Watts, Jr.

151 Morton Lane  
Appomattox, Virginia 24522  
434-352-8224

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	3,453	3,292	3,335
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	396	438	351
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,115	880	902
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	151	133	162
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	327	281	268
Other			
Technical Violations	363	434	313
Traffic	11	18	21
Other	15	19	16
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>2,378</b>	<b>2,203</b>	<b>2,033</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>5,831</b>	<b>5,495</b>	<b>5,368</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 99.2% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	60.9%	58.6%	57.7%
White	38.0%	39.1%	40.6%
Other	1.0%	2.3%	1.7%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	70.1%	72.8%	68.7%
Female	29.9%	27.2%	31.3%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	8.2%	6.5%	7.3%
13	9.0%	9.7%	8.6%
14	12.9%	14.2%	14.2%
15	18.5%	18.1%	19.3%
16	23.7%	21.8%	21.8%
17	23.5%	26.4%	24.4%
18-20	2.3%	2.2%	2.9%
Error/Missing	2.0%	1.2%	1.5%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>1,727</b>	<b>1,650</b>	<b>1,498</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 6% (from 15,512 to 16,489). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 15,026.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 1,498 juvenile intakes and 2,033 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints decreased 3%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 15%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 11%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 18%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 82% of juvenile complaints. 13% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 102 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 193 assessments completed; 8% had a high score, versus 19% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Assault
  - Probation - Assault
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
  - Commitment - Assault
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 26 days, same as statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 13.5 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 10th District had 1,127 detention-eligible intake cases and 206 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 5.5 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/ Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	59.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	19.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	14.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	3,335	1	0	0
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	1.8%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	4.5%	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%
Arson	0.8%	1.4%	3.8%	3.8%
Assault	19.4%	18.8%	28.7%	22.6%
Burglary	3.3%	13.7%	6.1%	5.7%
Contempt of Court	12.2%	7.9%	18.4%	1.9%
Disorderly Conduct	4.6%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.2%	1.8%	1.4%	18.9%
Gangs	0.1%	0.0%	1.0%	5.7%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Larceny	8.5%	15.9%	11.3%	13.2%
Miscellaneous/Other	2.8%	0.7%	2.4%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Narcotics	3.9%	6.1%	0.7%	3.8%
Obscenity	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.8%	0.7%	1.7%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation Violation	3.0%	1.4%	3.4%	5.7%
Robbery	0.5%	2.9%	2.4%	0.0%
Sex Offense	1.0%	0.0%	2.7%	1.9%
Status Offense	12.9%	2.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.6%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	3.1%	2.5%	1.4%	1.9%
Trespass	2.5%	3.2%	0.7%	1.9%
Vandalism	9.4%	10.8%	6.5%	13.2%
Weapons	2.1%	5.1%	5.1%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	2,033	277	293	53

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	89 Probation*	129
Post-dispositional Reports	54 Parole	4
Transfer Reports	1 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	15

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	5.3%	12.9%	21.8%	30.8%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.3%	2.1%	1.0%	0.0%
- Other	8.3%	32.9%	19.4%	46.2%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	21.4%	20.0%	18.9%	15.4%
- Other	20.0%	14.3%	3.4%	7.7%
Prob./Parole Violation	3.9%	1.4%	4.9%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	15.9%	7.9%	26.2%	0.0%
Status Offense	17.3%	0.7%	1.0%	0.0%
Other	6.5%	7.9%	3.4%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	1,498	140	206	13
<i>% of Statewide</i>	2.42%	2.00%	1.93%	1.59%


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 11

City of Petersburg  
Counties of Amelia, Dinwiddie, Nottoway, and Powhatan


Region III -- Director: Frances Hayes Brown

20 East Tabb Street, Suite 103  
Petersburg, Virginia 23803  
804-733-2371

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	2,481	2,664	2,641
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	527	647	678
Class 1 Misdemeanor	735	724	747
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	150	129	133
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	270	185	231
Other			
Technical Violations	197	182	229
Traffic	48	30	40
Other	17	9	12
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>1,944</b>	<b>1,906</b>	<b>2,070</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,425</b>	<b>4,570</b>	<b>4,711</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 99% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.

<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	71.3%	70.5%	67.8%
White	26.4%	27.4%	29.5%
Other	2.2%	2.1%	2.7%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>			
	1.1%	0.9%	1.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	75.9%	72.0%	72.8%
Female	24.1%	28.0%	27.2%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	6.0%	5.5%	5.5%
13	9.1%	6.4%	7.5%
14	12.2%	12.4%	11.1%
15	18.3%	21.6%	18.4%
16	24.0%	22.5%	25.9%
17	27.8%	27.8%	27.7%
18-20	2.4%	2.5%	2.9%
Error/Missing	0.2%	1.2%	0.9%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>1,204</b>	<b>994</b>	<b>1,087</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 22% (from 10,126 to 12,350). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 12,139.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 1,087 juvenile intakes and 2,070 juvenile complaints (average of 1.9 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 6%.
  - Juvenile complaints increased 6%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints increased 29%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 14%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 81% of juvenile complaints. 5% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 88 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 286 assessments completed; 21% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Assault
  - Probation - Assault or Larceny
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault or Larceny
  - Commitment - Assault
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 25 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 16.9 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 11th District had 799 detention-eligible intake cases and 278 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 2.9 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/ Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	63.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	18.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	9.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>2,641</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	1.4%	0.4%	0.0%	1.2%
Arson	0.8%	1.3%	1.0%	1.2%
Assault	15.4%	19.9%	16.5%	20.0%
Burglary	5.4%	11.0%	8.7%	9.4%
Contempt of Court	6.9%	3.0%	7.7%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	2.5%	2.1%	0.6%	1.2%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Extortion	0.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.8%	2.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.2%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.0%	1.8%	2.4%
Larceny	10.7%	19.9%	16.5%	15.3%
Miscellaneous/Other	4.0%	2.1%	1.8%	0.0%
Murder	0.4%	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%
Narcotics	4.9%	5.1%	1.8%	1.2%
Obscenity	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
Obstruction of Justice	1.6%	2.5%	1.8%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.8%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Probation Violation	3.3%	1.3%	4.9%	15.3%
Robbery	2.5%	0.8%	7.7%	5.9%
Sex Offense	1.6%	1.3%	2.5%	2.4%
Status Offense	9.7%	5.9%	0.1%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.4%	0.4%	0.9%	0.0%
Traffic	7.1%	2.5%	1.5%	4.7%
Trespass	1.2%	3.4%	1.0%	1.2%
Vandalism	9.3%	6.4%	8.0%	9.4%
Weapons	6.0%	5.1%	11.2%	8.2%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>2,070</i>	<i>236</i>	<i>678</i>	<i>85</i>

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	127 Probation*	112
Post-dispositional Reports	8 Parole	31
Transfer Reports	4 Intensive Prob.	4
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	39

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	11.4%	18.6%	28.4%	41.2%
- Weapons/Narcotics	2.3%	2.5%	4.3%	5.9%
- Other	15.5%	33.9%	26.3%	20.6%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	16.9%	19.5%	12.9%	17.6%
- Other	18.2%	11.0%	6.1%	14.7%
Prob./Parole Violation	5.6%	0.8%	11.2%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	5.7%	3.4%	9.4%	0.0%
Status Offense	15.9%	9.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Other	8.5%	0.8%	1.1%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>1,087</i>	<i>118</i>	<i>278</i>	<i>34**</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.76%</i>	<i>1.69%</i>	<i>2.61%</i>	<i>4.17%</i>

\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.


\*\* 15% (5) of state commitments occurred as a result of an institutional offense.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 12

City of Colonial Heights  
County of Chesterfield


Region III -- Director: Gayle Turner

7000 Lucy Corr Boulevard  
Chesterfield, Virginia 23832  
804-748-1372

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	5,210	5,535	5,638
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	1,374	1,115	1,175
Class 1 Misdemeanor	4,053	2,955	2,918
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	486	406	464
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	953	542	262
Other			
Technical Violations	581	446	435
Traffic	11	4	4
Other	163	66	59
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>7,621</b>	<b>5,534</b>	<b>5,317</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>12,831</b>	<b>11,069</b>	<b>10,955</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 100% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	46.6%	49.4%	44.2%
White	50.2%	47.3%	52.2%
Other	3.2%	3.3%	3.6%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	1.7%	1.8%	2.1%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	67.1%	69.4%	72.4%
Female	32.9%	30.6%	27.6%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	7.5%	6.0%	4.5%
13	9.5%	8.0%	7.7%
14	15.4%	14.2%	11.7%
15	20.2%	18.9%	16.8%
16	22.4%	23.1%	25.6%
17	22.3%	25.7%	29.7%
18-20	2.4%	3.3%	3.8%
Error/Missing	0.4%	0.8%	0.4%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>5,277</b>	<b>3,810</b>	<b>3,754</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 33% (from 27,880 to 37,144). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 38,644.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 3,754 juvenile intakes and 5,317 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 8%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 30%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 14%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 73%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 54% of juvenile complaints. 38% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 85 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 662 assessments completed; 35% had a high score, versus 19% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Larceny
  - Probation - Assault
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
  - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 27 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 17.5 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 12th District had 3,282 detention-eligible intake cases and 482 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 6.8 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/ Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	67.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	23.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	8.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	5,638	0	0	0
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.4%	1.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Alcohol	6.5%	2.3%	1.8%	1.1%
Arson	1.0%	1.3%	0.9%	0.0%
Assault	14.1%	22.3%	15.6%	13.8%
Burglary	3.8%	7.5%	5.9%	5.3%
Contempt of Court	5.7%	5.2%	9.1%	5.3%
Disorderly Conduct	3.2%	2.3%	1.1%	2.1%
Escapes	0.1%	0.3%	0.4%	1.1%
Extortion	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	2.8%	3.0%	7.1%	3.2%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.5%	0.3%	0.6%	1.1%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Larceny	19.9%	18.4%	12.4%	16.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	3.1%	0.7%	0.9%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Narcotics	9.2%	6.6%	4.9%	3.2%
Obscenity	0.8%	0.0%	2.2%	1.1%
Obstruction of Justice	1.8%	2.3%	3.4%	2.1%
Parole Violation	0.9%	0.7%	1.2%	4.3%
Probation Violation	1.6%	1.0%	6.9%	6.4%
Robbery	1.6%	2.6%	7.7%	11.7%
Sex Offense	1.2%	3.9%	4.4%	5.3%
Status Offense	3.6%	2.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Traffic	1.2%	1.3%	1.2%	1.1%
Trespass	4.4%	3.9%	0.6%	6.4%
Vandalism	8.2%	6.6%	3.3%	6.4%
Weapons	2.3%	3.6%	6.0%	3.2%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	5,317	305	816	94

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	143 Probation*	126
Post-dispositional Reports	12 Parole	23
Transfer Reports	1 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	38

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	4.8%	26.2%	22.6%	45.2%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.9%	1.6%	2.7%	0.0%
- Other	14.3%	27.8%	18.9%	19.4%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	16.4%	15.1%	8.7%	16.1%
- Other	41.0%	20.6%	18.7%	9.7%
Prob./Parole Violation	2.7%	1.6%	11.4%	6.5%
Court Order Violation	7.8%	4.0%	14.9%	0.0%
Status Offense	3.9%	0.8%	0.2%	0.0%
Other	8.3%	2.4%	1.0%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	3.2%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	3,754	126	482	31**
<i>% of Statewide</i>	6.06%	1.80%	4.52%	3.80%

\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.


\*\* 10% (3) of state commitments occurred as a result of an institutional offense.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

**District 13**  
City of Richmond


Region III -- Director: Stephanie C. Garrison

1600 Oliver Hill Way  
Richmond, Virginia 23219  
804-646-2901

**INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008**

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	2,903	3,464	3,358
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	974	1,096	1,085
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,248	1,335	1,357
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	207	183	224
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	227	301	447
Other			
Technical Violations	304	253	202
Traffic	12	10	22
Other	14	37	31
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>2,986</b>	<b>3,215</b>	<b>3,368</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>5,889</b>	<b>6,679</b>	<b>6,726</b>

**INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>**



\* 100% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


**JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\***

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	96.2%	94.7%	94.3%
White	3.1%	3.9%	4.7%
Other	0.7%	1.4%	1.0%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>			
	0.5%	1.0%	0.9%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	74.7%	74.6%	75.3%
Female	25.3%	25.5%	24.7%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	5.7%	5.4%	3.6%
13	9.2%	8.1%	7.8%
14	14.5%	14.6%	12.6%
15	22.4%	21.0%	22.0%
16	22.1%	23.6%	28.1%
17	22.0%	23.3%	22.3%
18-20	3.2%	3.5%	3.0%
Error/Missing	0.8%	0.4%	0.8%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>1,898</b>	<b>1,992</b>	<b>2,139</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 12% (from 16,137 to 18,037). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 17,985.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 2,139 juvenile intakes and 3,368 juvenile complaints (average of 1.6 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 16%.
  - Juvenile complaints increased 13%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints increased 11%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 97%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 75% of juvenile complaints. 24% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 78 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 903 assessments completed; 30% had a high score, versus 19% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Assault
  - Probation - Assault
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
  - Commitment - Robbery
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 32 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 17.7 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 13th District had 1,682 detention-eligible intake cases and 715 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 2.4 eligible intakes per detention placement.

**RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\***



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/ Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	59.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	21.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	10.2%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	3,358	0	2	0
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	1.0%	1.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.7%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.7%	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%
Assault	18.7%	16.3%	17.5%	14.2%
Burglary	3.1%	5.6%	3.0%	0.7%
Contempt of Court	1.2%	1.0%	3.0%	0.7%
Disorderly Conduct	6.2%	4.7%	1.3%	0.0%
Escapes	1.0%	0.6%	2.0%	2.2%
Extortion	0.9%	0.8%	0.4%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.4%	0.5%	1.0%	0.7%
Gangs	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.7%
Kidnapping	0.4%	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%
Larceny	8.6%	12.2%	8.3%	3.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	6.7%	2.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Murder	0.7%	0.5%	0.9%	0.7%
Narcotics	8.1%	11.8%	14.5%	13.4%
Obscenity	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	2.9%	3.4%	2.7%	1.5%
Parole Violation	1.0%	0.0%	1.0%	7.5%
Probation Violation	3.9%	3.4%	4.4%	7.5%
Robbery	4.8%	3.2%	12.2%	32.8%
Sex Offense	0.5%	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%
Status Offense	8.3%	9.2%	2.1%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	3.4%	4.2%	2.9%	0.7%
Trespass	5.5%	5.5%	3.9%	0.0%
Vandalism	3.7%	3.9%	2.0%	0.0%
Weapons	5.9%	6.9%	12.3%	11.9%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	3,368	621	1,448	134

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	54 Probation*	268
Post-dispositional Reports	199 Parole	42
Transfer Reports	7 Intensive Prob.	20
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	91

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	11.4%	17.8%	27.8%	52.5%
- Weapons/Narcotics	5.4%	12.2%	15.7%	23.0%
- Other	12.3%	22.4%	16.5%	4.9%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	18.0%	14.3%	6.0%	4.9%
- Other	23.6%	18.5%	15.0%	4.9%
Prob./Parole Violation	7.5%	1.4%	10.9%	9.8%
Court Order Violation	1.6%	1.0%	4.8%	0.0%
Status Offense	15.8%	9.4%	3.1%	0.0%
Other	4.4%	2.8%	0.1%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	2,139	286	715	61**
<i>% of Statewide</i>	3.46%	4.09%	6.71%	7.48%


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.  
\*\* 2% (1) of state commitments were associated with an institutional offense for which disposition was referred to this CSU.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

**District 14**  
County of Henrico


Region II -- Director: Kay D. Frye

4201 E. Parham Road  
Richmond, Virginia 23228  
804-501-4692

**INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008**

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	4,335	5,657	5,797
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	771	1,045	832
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,977	2,211	2,310
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	381	296	311
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	381	450	477
Other			
Technical Violations	368	313	539
Traffic	28	28	25
Other	16	9	10
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>3,922</b>	<b>4,352</b>	<b>4,504</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>8,257</b>	<b>10,009</b>	<b>10,301</b>

**INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>**



\* 88.1% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


**JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\***

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	62.1%	61.9%	62.6%
White	33.3%	33.4%	32.2%
Other	4.7%	4.7%	5.3%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	71.0%	66.5%	65.6%
Female	29.0%	33.5%	34.4%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	7.1%	7.5%	6.5%
13	10.3%	9.5%	7.6%
14	13.2%	12.7%	12.8%
15	18.2%	17.8%	19.5%
16	22.8%	24.5%	24.6%
17	26.0%	25.8%	27.0%
18-20	1.8%	1.8%	1.9%
Error/Missing	0.6%	0.3%	0.2%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>2,706</b>	<b>2,875</b>	<b>2,963</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 34% (from 20,817 to 27,958). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 31,619.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 2,963 juvenile intakes and 4,504 juvenile complaints (average of 1.5 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 34%.
  - Juvenile complaints increased 15%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints increased 8%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 25%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 76% of juvenile complaints. 15% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 101 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 387 assessments completed; 28% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Larceny or Assault
  - Probation - Assault
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault or Probation Violation
  - Commitment - Assault
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 19 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 12.3 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 14th District had 2,376 detention-eligible intake cases and 995 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 2.4 eligible intakes per detention placement.

**RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\***



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	70.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	11.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	1.8%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	5,797	0	1	0
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	1.6%	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%
Alcohol	4.4%	4.5%	1.0%	1.1%
Arson	0.9%	1.6%	0.7%	1.1%
Assault	15.5%	16.8%	17.5%	23.9%
Burglary	3.2%	5.4%	5.0%	4.3%
Contempt of Court	4.1%	2.6%	9.5%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	7.8%	8.9%	3.6%	4.3%
Escapes	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.4%	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	1.9%	0.4%	8.2%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.1%	2.2%	1.2%	1.1%
Gangs	0.4%	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Larceny	15.9%	12.3%	10.4%	17.4%
Miscellaneous/Other	2.0%	1.1%	0.4%	1.1%
Murder	0.1%	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Narcotics	3.6%	8.0%	3.4%	8.7%
Obscenity	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.8%	1.5%	1.5%	3.3%
Parole Violation	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	1.1%
Probation Violation	6.5%	0.2%	15.7%	10.9%
Robbery	1.9%	1.5%	4.1%	8.7%
Sex Offense	0.4%	1.0%	0.4%	1.1%
Status Offense	9.0%	14.1%	2.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Traffic	3.1%	1.5%	1.3%	2.2%
Trespass	5.2%	4.1%	2.7%	3.3%
Vandalism	5.9%	7.1%	5.3%	3.3%
Weapons	1.7%	2.6%	1.8%	3.3%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	4,504	821	1,423	92

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	112 Probation*	439
Post-dispositional Reports	341 Parole	20
Transfer Reports	13 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	2 Commitments	49

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	4.3%	8.5%	12.5%	41.7%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.9%	1.7%	2.2%	8.3%
- Other	9.4%	14.7%	15.7%	33.3%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	16.3%	19.8%	13.1%	8.3%
- Other	37.6%	28.9%	19.3%	8.3%
Prob./Parole Violation	10.1%	0.0%	22.7%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	5.0%	3.3%	11.4%	0.0%
Status Offense	11.6%	17.8%	1.3%	0.0%
Other	4.9%	5.4%	1.7%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	2,963	484	995	36
<i>% of Statewide</i>	4.79%	6.91%	9.34%	4.41%


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 15

City of Fredericksburg and Counties of Caroline, Essex, Hanover, King George, Lancaster, Northumberland, Richmond, Spotsylvania, Stafford, and Westmoreland


Region II -- Director: Michael J. Mastropaolo

601 Caroline Street, Suite 400, 4th Floor  
Fredericksburg, Virginia 22401  
540-372-1068

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	9,806	10,486	11,536
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	1,315	1,516	1,231
Class 1 Misdemeanor	2,878	3,356	3,019
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	433	472	515
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	655	692	757
Other			
Technical Violations	678	725	582
Traffic	58	68	56
Other	23	23	17
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>6,040</b>	<b>6,852</b>	<b>6,177</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>15,846</b>	<b>17,338</b>	<b>17,713</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 96.7% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	37.9%	35.3%	36.5%
White	56.6%	58.8%	56.2%
Other	5.5%	5.9%	7.3%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	69.8%	70.4%	69.2%
Female	30.2%	29.6%	30.9%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	6.7%	6.3%	5.4%
13	8.1%	8.2%	6.7%
14	13.8%	13.1%	11.2%
15	19.7%	18.4%	19.2%
16	22.4%	23.0%	24.7%
17	25.4%	26.8%	28.5%
18-20	3.1%	3.4%	3.3%
Error/Missing	0.9%	0.7%	1.2%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>4,082</b>	<b>4,345</b>	<b>3,964</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 51% (from 32,310 to 48,880). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 55,329.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 3,964 juvenile intakes and 6,177 juvenile complaints (average of 1.6 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 18%.
  - Juvenile complaints increased 2%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 6%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 16%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 72% of juvenile complaints. 21% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 99 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 797 assessments completed; 13% had a high score, versus 19% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Larceny
  - Probation - Larceny or Assault
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Vandalism or Assault
  - Commitment - Probation Violation or Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 23 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 10.1 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 15th District had 3,016 detention-eligible intake cases and 891 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.4 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/ Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	60.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	18.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	10.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	11.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>11,536</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	1.0%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%
Alcohol	5.1%	2.7%	3.5%	1.2%
Arson	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%	0.4%
Assault	11.9%	17.0%	13.8%	15.7%
Burglary	3.0%	6.0%	4.9%	8.1%
Contempt of Court	3.0%	3.8%	6.6%	3.2%
Disorderly Conduct	5.0%	6.8%	3.3%	3.6%
Escapes	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%
Extortion	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%	2.4%
Failure to Appear	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	2.3%	1.5%	2.1%	1.2%
Gangs	0.4%	1.0%	1.0%	1.2%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Larceny	16.2%	17.8%	10.0%	18.1%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.2%	1.3%	0.6%	0.4%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Narcotics	6.9%	8.3%	5.7%	1.2%
Obscenity	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.8%	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Parole Violation	0.6%	0.0%	0.9%	0.4%
Probation Violation	5.8%	3.1%	13.3%	19.4%
Robbery	0.4%	1.6%	1.1%	1.6%
Sex Offense	1.8%	3.8%	4.9%	7.7%
Status Offense	12.1%	3.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Telephone Law	0.9%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Traffic	3.9%	3.2%	2.4%	2.4%
Trespass	1.9%	2.1%	1.0%	2.0%
Vandalism	10.7%	8.4%	15.5%	6.9%
Weapons	1.8%	2.9%	2.4%	1.2%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>6,177</i>	<i>678</i>	<i>1,642</i>	<i>248</i>

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	402 Probation*	356
Post-dispositional Reports	62 Parole	29
Transfer Reports	27 Intensive Prob.	49
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	61

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	5.3%	13.0%	14.9%	43.3%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.2%	3.3%	2.9%	1.7%
- Other	10.9%	32.1%	14.5%	38.3%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	14.3%	19.6%	11.4%	8.3%
- Other	31.1%	20.4%	13.7%	6.7%
Prob./Parole Violation	9.2%	1.4%	25.7%	1.7%
Court Order Violation	4.3%	1.9%	11.9%	0.0%
Status Offense	16.4%	3.8%	0.9%	0.0%
Other	7.4%	4.6%	3.8%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/ Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>3,964</i>	<i>368</i>	<i>891</i>	<i>60**</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>6.40%</i>	<i>5.26%</i>	<i>8.36%</i>	<i>7.35%</i>

\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.


\*\* 2% (1) of state commitments occurred as a result of an institutional offense.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 16

City of Charlottesville and Counties of Albemarle, Culpeper, Fluvanna, Goochland, Greene, Louisa, Madison, and Orange


Region II -- Director: Martha W. Carroll

407 East High Street  
Charlottesville, Virginia 22902  
434-979-7191

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	5,140	5,312	5,927
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	723	552	487
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,239	1,197	1,008
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	169	191	179
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	369	466	459
Other			
Technical Violations	482	466	584
Traffic	15	10	21
Other	27	7	5
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>3,024</b>	<b>2,889</b>	<b>2,743</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>8,164</b>	<b>8,201</b>	<b>8,670</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 98.4% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	36.3%	35.0%	36.4%
White	56.8%	56.3%	55.8%
Other	6.9%	8.7%	7.8%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>3.5%</b>
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	67.6%	69.2%	68.4%
Female	32.4%	30.8%	31.6%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	5.0%	6.2%	5.0%
13	8.1%	7.9%	7.0%
14	13.5%	14.1%	13.4%
15	19.8%	18.3%	18.9%
16	26.4%	23.6%	23.6%
17	23.7%	26.2%	27.6%
18-20	3.3%	2.9%	3.4%
Error/Missing	0.3%	0.9%	1.0%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>2,243</b>	<b>2,121</b>	<b>2,122</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 35% (from 21,496 to 28,972). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 31,361.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 2,122 juvenile intakes and 2,743 juvenile complaints (average of 1.3 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 15%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 9%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 33%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 24%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 83% of juvenile complaints. 14% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 77 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 349 assessments completed; 59% had a moderate score, versus 50% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Status Offense
  - Probation - Assault or Larceny
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
  - Commitment - Larceny, Assault, or Probation Violation
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 22 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 12.4 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 16th District had 1,549 detention-eligible intake cases and 277 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 5.6 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	77.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	8.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	5,927	0	0	0
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Alcohol	2.9%	3.7%	0.7%	0.0%
Arson	0.9%	2.9%	2.7%	1.4%
Assault	10.7%	17.6%	17.0%	13.9%
Burglary	2.6%	5.9%	6.4%	6.9%
Contempt of Court	11.8%	3.0%	10.1%	4.2%
Disorderly Conduct	2.7%	2.7%	0.7%	1.4%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.5%	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	4.0%	1.0%	3.9%	2.8%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	3.2%	0.7%	0.7%	1.4%
Gangs	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.3%	0.5%	0.0%
Larceny	11.1%	17.6%	9.6%	15.3%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.7%	1.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	5.7%	9.8%	3.7%	9.7%
Obscenity	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.2%	0.8%	1.7%	1.4%
Parole Violation	0.9%	0.0%	0.2%	6.9%
Probation Violation	8.2%	1.8%	14.3%	15.3%
Robbery	0.9%	1.9%	5.7%	6.9%
Sex Offense	1.5%	3.0%	5.9%	4.2%
Status Offense	16.7%	9.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%	0.0%
Traffic	3.9%	2.2%	2.5%	2.8%
Trespass	1.9%	2.2%	1.0%	1.4%
Vandalism	5.4%	8.7%	6.1%	4.2%
Weapons	1.7%	3.0%	5.2%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	2,743	735	407	72

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	210 Probation*	370
Post-dispositional Reports	75 Parole	20
Transfer Reports	2 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	34

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	5.8%	16.0%	26.4%	43.8%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.9%	1.7%	3.6%	9.4%
- Other	9.8%	20.9%	15.2%	25.0%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	11.1%	18.3%	11.2%	6.3%
- Other	23.1%	23.3%	10.1%	12.5%
Prob./Parole Violation	9.3%	0.3%	18.1%	3.1%
Court Order Violation	13.1%	3.2%	15.2%	0.0%
Status Offense	21.1%	9.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	5.8%	7.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	2,122	344	277	32**
<i>% of Statewide</i>	3.43%	4.91%	2.60%	3.92%


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.  
\*\*3% (1) of state commitments were associated with an institutional offense for which disposition was referred to this CSU.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

**District 17**  
County of Arlington


Region II -- Director: Patricia Romano  
Locally Operated

1425 N. Courthouse Road, Suite 5100  
Arlington, Virginia 22201  
703-228-4600

**INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008**

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	749	765	930
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	305	284	306
Class 1 Misdemeanor	289	376	339
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	110	146	116
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	175	208	192
Other			
Technical Violations	407	436	396
Traffic	7	23	23
Other	123	156	198
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>1,416</b>	<b>1,629</b>	<b>1,570</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>2,165</b>	<b>2,394</b>	<b>2,500</b>

**INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>**



\* 100% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


**JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\***

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	39.1%	38.4%	44.8%
White	25.6%	29.0%	33.5%
Other	35.3%	32.6%	21.8%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	<b>31.5%</b>	<b>34.9%</b>	<b>30.2%</b>
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	69.7%	70.5%	69.4%
Female	30.4%	29.5%	30.6%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	2.5%	2.6%	2.2%
13	5.0%	4.8%	5.3%
14	12.2%	10.1%	10.9%
15	22.0%	17.7%	19.3%
16	24.7%	27.9%	27.5%
17	29.5%	32.4%	31.8%
18-20	3.7%	4.3%	2.9%
Error/Missing	0.4%	0.3%	0.1%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>1,150</b>	<b>1,320</b>	<b>1,291</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 29% (from 9,370 to 12,101). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 13,330.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 1,291 juvenile intakes and 1,570 juvenile complaints (average of 1.2 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 24%.
  - Juvenile complaints increased 11%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints increased less than 1%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 10%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 74% of juvenile complaints. 5% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 62 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 188 assessments completed; 49% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Misc./Other, Contempt of Court, Larceny, Probation Violation, or Status Offense
  - Probation - Status Offense
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Probation Violation or Larceny
  - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 18 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 12.4 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 17th District had 810 detention-eligible intake cases and 317 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 2.6 eligible intakes per detention placement.

**RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\***



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	53.8%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	25.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	10.9%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	930	2	0	0
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	3.7%	3.8%	0.3%	0.0%
Arson	0.2%	0.8%	0.5%	2.8%
Assault	3.1%	7.1%	8.9%	2.8%
Burglary	3.4%	2.9%	2.4%	11.1%
Contempt of Court	11.3%	0.4%	17.5%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.6%	1.3%	0.5%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	3.6%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	2.8%
Fraud	2.4%	2.5%	3.2%	0.0%
Gangs	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	12.0%	18.3%	18.3%	38.9%
Miscellaneous/Other	12.9%	2.5%	2.4%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	4.7%	5.4%	2.2%	8.3%
Obscenity	0.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.5%	1.7%	1.9%	2.8%
Parole Violation	1.8%	0.0%	1.9%	8.3%
Probation Violation	12.1%	0.4%	19.9%	11.1%
Robbery	1.1%	1.3%	3.5%	2.8%
Sex Offense	0.5%	1.7%	3.0%	2.8%
Status Offense	12.2%	35.8%	4.8%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.1%	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Traffic	7.5%	4.6%	1.6%	0.0%
Trespass	0.6%	2.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Vandalism	4.3%	2.1%	1.1%	5.6%
Weapons	0.6%	3.3%	0.5%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	1,570	240	372	36

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	0 Probation*	317
Post-dispositional Reports	0 Parole	12
Transfer Reports	0 Intensive Prob.	1
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	18

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	3.0%	8.7%	11.0%	27.8%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.8%	1.9%	1.3%	5.6%
- Other	11.9%	16.4%	14.2%	44.4%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	2.6%	6.3%	7.3%	5.6%
- Other	14.9%	22.7%	12.0%	5.6%
Prob./Parole Violation	16.7%	0.0%	25.6%	11.1%
Court Order Violation	12.9%	0.5%	20.5%	0.0%
Status Offense	14.3%	38.2%	5.0%	0.0%
Other	22.9%	5.3%	2.8%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/ Placements/Commitments</i>	1,291	207	317	18
<i>% of Statewide</i>	2.09%	2.96%	2.97%	2.21%


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

**District 17F**  
City of Falls Church


Region II -- Director: Earl J. Conklin  
Locally Operated

200 Little Falls Street, Suite 207  
Falls Church, Virginia 22046  
703-241-7630

**INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008**

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	37	20	23
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	21	12	9
Class 1 Misdemeanor	58	62	25
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	26	18	12
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	9	5	4
Other			
Technical Violations	28	25	20
Traffic	41	2	6
Other	2	0	3
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>102</b>

**INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>**



\* 100% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


**JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\***

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	8.6%	11.6%	15.9%
White	72.8%	62.3%	69.8%
Other	18.5%	26.1%	14.3%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>			
	13.3%	17.4%	11.1%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	65.6%	81.2%	68.3%
Female	34.4%	18.8%	31.8%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	2.7%	0.0%	3.2%
13	1.3%	1.5%	6.4%
14	12.6%	4.4%	6.4%
15	11.3%	23.2%	4.8%
16	19.2%	47.8%	28.6%
17	37.1%	20.3%	39.7%
18-20	13.9%	2.9%	9.5%
Error/Missing	2.0%	0.0%	1.6%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>63</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 48% (from 800 to 1,186). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 1,049.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 63 juvenile intakes and 79 juvenile complaints (average of 1.3 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints decreased 38%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 57%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 57%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 56%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 85% of juvenile complaints. 4% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 108 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 8 assessments completed; 0% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Probation Violation
  - Probation - Alcohol or Status Offense
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Probation Violation
  - There were no commitments to the state in FY 2008.
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 16 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 5.9 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - District 17F had 46 detention-eligible intake cases and 18 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 2.6 eligible intakes per detention placement.

**RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\***



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	39.1%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	21.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	21.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	17.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	23	1	0	0
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	8.9%	22.2%	8.7%	0.0%
Arson	2.5%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	5.1%	0.0%	8.7%	0.0%
Burglary	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	2.5%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	2.5%	11.1%	4.3%	0.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	17.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	3.8%	11.1%	13.0%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation Violation	25.3%	0.0%	43.5%	0.0%
Robbery	2.5%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense	5.1%	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	11.4%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	1.3%	11.1%	8.7%	0.0%
Weapons	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	79	9	23	0

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	2 Probation*	14
Post-dispositional Reports	1 Parole	1
Transfer Reports	0 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	0

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	1.6%	12.5%	5.6%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Other	9.5%	25.0%	5.6%	0.0%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	3.2%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%
- Other	28.6%	37.5%	27.8%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	30.2%	0.0%	55.6%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense	4.8%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/ Placements/Commitments</i>	63	8	18	0
<i>% of Statewide</i>	0.10%	0.11%	0.17%	0.00%


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

**District 18**  
City of Alexandria


Region II -- Director: Lillian B. Brooks

520 King Street  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314  
703-838-4144

**INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008**

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	1,769	1,404	1,350
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	248	192	211
Class 1 Misdemeanor	489	368	381
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	80	58	73
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	296	225	258
Other			
Technical Violations	130	110	83
Traffic	25	1	103
Other	15	15	43
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>1,283</b>	<b>969</b>	<b>1,152</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,052</b>	<b>2,373</b>	<b>2,502</b>

**INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>**



\* 100% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


**JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\***

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	60.6%	57.6%	59.5%
White	11.5%	18.2%	28.5%
Other	27.9%	24.2%	12.0%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	<b>25.4%</b>	<b>20.6%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	68.4%	63.7%	66.8%
Female	31.6%	36.3%	33.2%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	10.2%	10.6%	10.3%
13	8.1%	9.0%	7.7%
14	12.0%	14.1%	12.5%
15	16.4%	18.1%	13.2%
16	22.8%	22.3%	22.9%
17	25.8%	22.8%	26.2%
18-20	1.5%	1.0%	2.5%
Error/Missing	3.1%	2.0%	4.8%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>1,022</b>	<b>785</b>	<b>924</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 20% (from 6,347 to 7,622). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 8,291.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 924 juvenile intakes and 1,152 juvenile complaints (average of 1.2 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints decreased 24%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 10%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 15%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 13%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 59% of juvenile complaints. 27% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 86 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 163 assessments completed; 42% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Status Offense
  - Probation - Larceny
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
  - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 25 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 15.5 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 18th District had 504 detention-eligible intake cases and 146 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.5 eligible intakes per detention placement.

**RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\***



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	68.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	17.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	9.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>1,350</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	4.9%	1.9%	1.4%	0.0%
Arson	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	10.5%	15.9%	23.7%	10.0%
Burglary	1.4%	1.4%	3.8%	20.0%
Contempt of Court	1.4%	3.4%	0.9%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.5%	1.9%	0.5%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.7%	2.4%	3.8%	0.0%
Gangs	0.3%	0.5%	0.9%	10.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	14.7%	21.3%	18.5%	35.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	5.4%	8.2%	1.4%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	2.4%	4.8%	3.3%	5.0%
Obscenity	0.2%	1.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	2.0%	1.0%	2.4%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.4%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Probation Violation	5.4%	0.5%	10.4%	10.0%
Robbery	5.0%	6.3%	16.1%	10.0%
Sex Offense	1.0%	1.9%	1.9%	0.0%
Status Offense	22.4%	15.9%	0.9%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	13.5%	3.9%	2.4%	0.0%
Trespass	2.6%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	3.3%	2.9%	3.8%	0.0%
Weapons	0.6%	2.9%	0.9%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>1,152</i>	<i>207</i>	<i>211</i>	<i>20</i>

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	105 Probation*	176
Post-dispositional Reports	12 Parole	14
Transfer Reports	0 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	3 Commitments	14

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	6.8%	15.6%	27.4%	54.5%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.6%	1.5%	4.8%	9.1%
- Other	7.5%	15.6%	17.8%	36.4%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	8.1%	9.6%	14.4%	0.0%
- Other	22.6%	23.7%	13.7%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	7.1%	0.0%	17.8%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	1.7%	3.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Status Offense	26.8%	21.5%	1.4%	0.0%
Other	18.6%	9.6%	1.4%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>924</i>	<i>135</i>	<i>146</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.49%</i>	<i>1.93%</i>	<i>1.37%</i>	<i>1.35%</i>


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 19

City of Fairfax  
County of Fairfax


Region II -- Director: James Dedes  
Locally Operated

4000 Chain Bridge Road  
Fairfax, Virginia 22030  
703-246-3343

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	7,969	7,487	8,794
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	1,632	1,756	1,443
Class 1 Misdemeanor	2,704	2,709	2,459
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	513	505	548
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	839	810	751
Other			
Technical Violations	1,163	942	1,078
Traffic	46	30	79
Other	453	413	440
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>7,350</b>	<b>7,165</b>	<b>6,798</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>15,319</b>	<b>14,652</b>	<b>15,592</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 99.9% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	28.7%	30.2%	27.5%
White	44.1%	45.0%	47.5%
Other	27.2%	24.8%	25.0%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	<b>19.7%</b>	<b>18.7%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	71.5%	69.8%	72.5%
Female	28.5%	30.2%	27.5%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	3.4%	3.0%	2.7%
13	6.8%	6.9%	5.4%
14	12.0%	11.1%	11.4%
15	19.5%	18.7%	17.5%
16	24.8%	25.6%	26.6%
17	27.9%	29.1%	29.9%
18-20	5.3%	5.4%	6.3%
Error/Missing	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>5,708</b>	<b>5,391</b>	<b>5,418</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 25% (from 88,062 to 110,320). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 114,515.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 5,418 juvenile intakes and 6,798 juvenile complaints (average of 1.3 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 10%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 8%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 12%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 10%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 76% of juvenile complaints. 19% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 74 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 1,056 assessments completed; 45% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Larceny
  - Probation - Larceny
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Probation Violation
  - Commitment - Assault
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 25 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 15.3 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 19th District had 3,756 detention-eligible intake cases and 994 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.8 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	61.2%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	25.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>8,794</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	4.8%	3.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Arson	1.0%	1.8%	2.2%	0.0%
Assault	9.6%	15.4%	16.0%	24.4%
Burglary	2.4%	3.0%	3.2%	9.0%
Contempt of Court	4.8%	0.5%	5.3%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%	3.8%
Escapes	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Extortion	0.3%	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.9%	0.1%	1.8%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.8%	2.5%	2.4%	3.8%
Gangs	1.1%	1.9%	3.5%	6.4%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Larceny	15.8%	21.1%	11.3%	11.5%
Miscellaneous/Other	7.9%	2.6%	3.4%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	7.5%	10.0%	3.8%	2.6%
Obscenity	0.8%	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.2%	1.1%	1.3%	1.3%
Parole Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Probation Violation	9.6%	1.9%	20.1%	14.1%
Robbery	1.5%	1.7%	5.4%	14.1%
Sex Offense	0.9%	2.1%	2.4%	5.1%
Status Offense	11.0%	12.3%	0.5%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%
Traffic	4.7%	3.8%	3.3%	0.0%
Trespass	2.8%	2.8%	1.9%	1.3%
Vandalism	5.4%	6.2%	6.0%	2.6%
Weapons	1.6%	1.8%	1.3%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>6,798</i>	<i>1,468</i>	<i>1,484</i>	<i>78</i>

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	175 Probation*	993
Post-dispositional Reports	680 Parole	29
Transfer Reports	2 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	9 Commitments	40

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	5.0%	8.6%	19.9%	58.1%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.2%	2.6%	1.6%	0.0%
- Other	11.5%	23.6%	16.1%	32.3%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	9.3%	15.9%	8.1%	6.5%
- Other	25.0%	24.3%	9.5%	3.2%
Prob./Parole Violation	12.2%	0.5%	30.3%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	6.3%	0.5%	8.9%	0.0%
Status Offense	13.1%	13.3%	0.2%	0.0%
Other	16.4%	10.6%	5.4%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/ Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>5,418</i>	<i>1,029</i>	<i>994</i>	<i>31</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>8.75%</i>	<i>14.70%</i>	<i>9.33%</i>	<i>3.80%</i>


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

**District 20L**  
County of Loudoun


Region II -- Director: Mark V. Crowley

18 E. Market Street  
Leesburg, Virginia 20178  
703-777-0303

**INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008**

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	1,383	1,767	2,067
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	382	554	387
Class 1 Misdemeanor	654	819	834
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	160	198	183
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	221	199	208
Other			
Technical Violations	275	269	238
Traffic	4	5	9
Other	11	11	11
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>1,707</b>	<b>2,055</b>	<b>1,870</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,090</b>	<b>3,822</b>	<b>3,937</b>

**INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>**



\* 97.3% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


**JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\***

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	15.1%	17.1%	16.6%
White	61.5%	58.2%	64.6%
Other	23.4%	24.7%	18.9%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>21.0%</b>
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	71.1%	72.0%	72.4%
Female	28.9%	28.0%	27.6%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	2.9%	5.5%	3.5%
13	5.9%	5.4%	4.5%
14	11.3%	10.0%	11.2%
15	18.6%	18.0%	19.6%
16	24.2%	26.0%	28.3%
17	33.3%	30.6%	28.9%
18-20	3.7%	3.5%	3.5%
Error/Missing	0.3%	0.9%	0.6%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>1,179</b>	<b>1,288</b>	<b>1,273</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 108% (from 9,045 to 18,825). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 32,934.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 1,273 juvenile intakes and 1,870 juvenile complaints (average of 1.5 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 49%.
  - Juvenile complaints increased 10%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints increased 1%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 6%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 67% of juvenile complaints. 27% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 100 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 115 assessments completed; 68% had a moderate score, versus 50% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Larceny
  - Probation - Larceny
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Probation Violation
  - Commitment - Assault
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 15 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 12.1 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - District 20L had 1,020 detention-eligible intake cases and 232 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 4.4 eligible intakes per detention placement.

**RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\***



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	59.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>2,067</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.6%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	10.2%	7.6%	0.9%	3.3%
Arson	1.7%	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%
Assault	10.4%	14.0%	15.8%	26.7%
Burglary	2.7%	4.3%	2.4%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	5.2%	4.0%	13.1%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.3%	1.8%	1.8%	0.0%
Escapes	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.4%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.6%	5.4%	1.5%	0.0%
Gangs	0.5%	0.7%	0.6%	10.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	14.2%	16.9%	15.2%	16.7%
Miscellaneous/Other	3.7%	3.2%	0.3%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%
Narcotics	8.3%	10.4%	0.6%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.8%	1.4%	2.7%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Probation Violation	6.9%	1.4%	25.0%	23.3%
Robbery	0.3%	0.7%	0.6%	10.0%
Sex Offense	1.5%	2.9%	3.9%	3.3%
Status Offense	9.5%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Traffic	3.2%	0.7%	1.5%	3.3%
Trespass	3.3%	3.6%	0.9%	3.3%
Vandalism	9.7%	11.9%	7.4%	0.0%
Weapons	1.2%	3.6%	1.5%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>1,870</i>	<i>278</i>	<i>336</i>	<i>30</i>

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	22 Probation*	176
Post-dispositional Reports	82 Parole	5
Transfer Reports	2 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	11

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	5.1%	15.9%	13.4%	75.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.9%	2.2%	0.4%	0.0%
- Other	14.3%	31.9%	16.4%	25.0%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	11.7%	12.6%	10.3%	0.0%
- Other	34.7%	26.4%	11.6%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	7.5%	0.5%	28.9%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	6.0%	1.1%	17.7%	0.0%
Status Offense	12.3%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	7.5%	6.6%	0.4%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/ Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>1,273</i>	<i>182</i>	<i>232</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.06%</i>	<i>2.60%</i>	<i>2.18%</i>	<i>0.98%</i>


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 20W

Counties of Fauquier and Rappahannock


Region II -- Director: Kenneth E. Smith

9 Court Street  
Warrenton, Virginia 20186  
540-347-8634

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	732	747	967
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	168	132	52
Class 1 Misdemeanor	175	148	164
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	42	32	44
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	35	42	46
Other			
Technical Violations	69	58	60
Traffic	13	3	7
Other	1	0	5
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>503</b>	<b>415</b>	<b>378</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,235</b>	<b>1,162</b>	<b>1,345</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 100% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	24.5%	24.5%	15.7%
White	71.0%	72.7%	80.3%
Other	4.5%	2.9%	4.0%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	72.9%	73.0%	70.9%
Female	27.1%	27.0%	29.1%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	7.0%	5.4%	4.0%
13	6.7%	4.7%	5.4%
14	7.0%	9.7%	11.7%
15	20.1%	19.8%	12.7%
16	25.2%	29.1%	34.8%
17	31.9%	29.5%	26.4%
18-20	1.9%	1.4%	4.0%
Error/Missing	0.3%	0.4%	1.0%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>314</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>299</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 31% (from 6,030 to 7,891). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 8,264.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 299 juvenile intakes and 378 juvenile complaints (average of 1.3 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 32%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 25%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 69%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 31%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 88% of juvenile complaints. 6% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 87 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 104 assessments completed; 53% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Assault, Probation Violation, or Status Offense
  - Probation - Narcotics or Assault
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Probation Violation
  - Commitment - Parole Violation or Probation Violation
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 32 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 5.9 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - District 20W had 221 detention-eligible intake cases and 39 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 5.7 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	67.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	15.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	11.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	5.4%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	967	1	0	0
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	8.5%	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	13.0%	16.7%	14.3%	0.0%
Burglary	1.1%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	2.4%	1.4%	3.6%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.3%	0.7%	5.4%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	1.1%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.6%	0.7%	1.8%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	6.9%	10.1%	7.1%	9.1%
Miscellaneous/Other	2.6%	1.4%	1.8%	0.0%
Murder	0.3%	0.0%	1.8%	9.1%
Narcotics	10.3%	18.1%	7.1%	0.0%
Obscenity	1.6%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Parole Violation	1.9%	0.0%	3.6%	27.3%
Probation Violation	11.6%	4.3%	23.2%	27.3%
Robbery	1.1%	0.7%	1.8%	0.0%
Sex Offense	2.4%	0.7%	7.1%	18.2%
Status Offense	12.2%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	9.8%	7.2%	8.9%	0.0%
Trespass	1.1%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	4.2%	7.2%	5.4%	0.0%
Weapons	2.4%	3.6%	3.6%	9.1%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	378	138	56	11

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	11 Probation*	82
Post-dispositional Reports	37 Parole	2
Transfer Reports	0 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	7

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	4.7%	4.0%	12.8%	50.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.3%	1.0%	2.6%	0.0%
- Other	7.0%	12.0%	7.7%	16.7%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	15.4%	21.0%	17.9%	0.0%
- Other	28.8%	32.0%	20.5%	16.7%
Prob./Parole Violation	15.4%	3.0%	33.3%	16.7%
Court Order Violation	2.7%	1.0%	5.1%	0.0%
Status Offense	13.7%	9.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	11.0%	17.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	299	100	39	6
<i>% of Statewide</i>	0.48%	1.43%	0.37%	0.74%


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 21

City of Martinsville  
Counties of Henry and Patrick


Region I -- Director: Robert W. Foster

3160 Kings Mountain Road, Suite E  
Martinsville, Virginia 24112  
276-634-4865

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	3,468	3,252	3,424
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	261	203	175
Class 1 Misdemeanor	532	482	465
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	107	101	71
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	151	198	153
Other			
Technical Violations	90	112	84
Traffic	3	10	14
Other	1	1	1
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>1,145</b>	<b>1,107</b>	<b>963</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,613</b>	<b>4,359</b>	<b>4,387</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 93.4% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	55.5%	46.7%	44.3%
White	40.7%	47.4%	50.7%
Other	3.7%	5.9%	5.0%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	67.5%	66.8%	65.9%
Female	32.5%	33.2%	34.1%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	7.1%	5.4%	7.2%
13	6.8%	6.7%	8.7%
14	14.8%	13.1%	11.9%
15	22.1%	21.9%	18.9%
16	24.9%	23.3%	22.4%
17	23.2%	26.1%	28.8%
18-20	0.7%	2.9%	1.7%
Error/Missing	0.4%	0.7%	0.3%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>827</b>	<b>837</b>	<b>704</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 2% (from 9,541 to 9,763). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 8,445.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 704 juvenile intakes and 963 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints decreased 1%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 16%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 33%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 1%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 62% of juvenile complaints. 26% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 90 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 198 assessments completed; 43% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Assault or Status Offense
  - Probation - Larceny
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
  - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 20 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 15.1 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 21st District had 518 detention-eligible intake cases and 88 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 5.9 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	68.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	16.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	3,424	0	0	0
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	1.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	3.8%	5.6%	0.7%	10.0%
Arson	0.7%	3.1%	2.1%	0.0%
Assault	16.0%	13.8%	15.8%	5.0%
Burglary	4.2%	6.9%	8.2%	15.0%
Contempt of Court	1.6%	0.0%	2.7%	10.0%
Disorderly Conduct	5.3%	5.6%	0.7%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.6%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	2.6%	0.3%	6.8%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Larceny	12.1%	19.4%	8.9%	30.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.5%	0.3%	5.5%	0.0%
Murder	0.3%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%
Narcotics	4.8%	7.8%	1.4%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.9%	0.9%	1.4%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Probation Violation	5.9%	1.6%	11.0%	10.0%
Robbery	0.9%	0.0%	5.5%	0.0%
Sex Offense	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%	5.0%
Status Offense	15.9%	11.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	8.3%	3.8%	4.8%	5.0%
Trespass	1.0%	0.9%	0.7%	0.0%
Vandalism	6.3%	7.8%	9.6%	5.0%
Weapons	3.6%	5.3%	9.6%	5.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	963	319	146	20

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	131 Probation*	172
Post-dispositional Reports	60 Parole	14
Transfer Reports	8 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	8

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	5.0%	11.4%	29.5%	25.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.4%	2.5%	2.3%	0.0%
- Other	10.5%	17.7%	17.0%	62.5%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	18.9%	15.8%	4.5%	0.0%
- Other	28.0%	33.5%	14.8%	12.5%
Prob./Parole Violation	8.1%	0.0%	19.3%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	1.7%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%
Status Offense	20.3%	14.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	6.1%	4.4%	8.0%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/ Placements/Commitments</i>	704	158	88	8
<i>% of Statewide</i>	1.14%	2.26%	0.83%	0.98%


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 22

City of Danville

Counties of Franklin and Pittsylvania

Region I -- Director: Mary C. Elgin

275 South Main Street, Suite 53


Rocky Mount, Virginia 24151

540-483-3050

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	3,627	3,343	3,491
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	244	190	286
Class 1 Misdemeanor	701	642	893
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	96	80	134
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	386	372	347
Other			
Technical Violations	383	369	403
Traffic	6	13	133
Other	7	6	15
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>1,823</b>	<b>1,672</b>	<b>2,211</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>5,450</b>	<b>5,015</b>	<b>5,702</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 100% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	50.2%	47.0%	42.2%
White	48.5%	50.2%	55.1%
Other	1.4%	2.8%	2.7%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>			
	1.0%	1.5%	2.1%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	64.7%	66.7%	66.2%
Female	35.3%	33.3%	33.8%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	5.6%	7.1%	5.9%
13	9.7%	8.9%	4.8%
14	13.2%	15.0%	12.3%
15	19.4%	17.0%	17.7%
16	24.5%	22.8%	22.7%
17	23.2%	25.0%	30.3%
18-20	3.7%	3.2%	5.6%
Error/Missing	0.8%	1.0%	0.7%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>1,525</b>	<b>1,362</b>	<b>1,632</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 9% (from 15,641 to 17,118). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 15,478.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 1,632 juvenile intakes and 2,211 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints decreased 4%.
  - Juvenile complaints increased 21%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints increased 17%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 10%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 76% of juvenile complaints. 15% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 91 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 250 assessments completed; 17% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Status Offense
  - Probation - Assault or Larceny
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault or Larceny
  - Commitment - Larceny or Burglary
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 27 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 11.0 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 22nd District had 1,012 detention-eligible intake cases and 245 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 4.1 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	65.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	16.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	14.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	3.5%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>3,491</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	2.5%	2.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Arson	0.3%	0.5%	1.7%	0.8%
Assault	11.0%	14.7%	16.1%	13.3%
Burglary	2.8%	6.0%	8.0%	15.6%
Contempt of Court	9.6%	2.1%	4.0%	1.6%
Disorderly Conduct	2.8%	4.1%	0.9%	2.3%
Escapes	0.1%	0.7%	0.2%	0.0%
Extortion	0.2%	0.2%	0.5%	2.3%
Failure to Appear	1.7%	0.5%	0.9%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.7%	3.5%	9.9%	9.4%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	9.0%	14.5%	14.4%	16.4%
Miscellaneous/Other	7.4%	5.1%	9.0%	4.7%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Narcotics	4.6%	12.4%	1.7%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.5%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.8%	1.8%	1.4%	0.8%
Parole Violation	0.8%	0.0%	1.7%	10.2%
Probation Violation	3.8%	6.0%	7.3%	3.1%
Robbery	1.2%	0.0%	4.0%	6.3%
Sex Offense	0.5%	3.2%	1.4%	3.1%
Status Offense	13.9%	2.3%	0.2%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.5%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%
Traffic	11.1%	5.1%	1.9%	2.3%
Trespass	4.5%	2.5%	1.9%	1.6%
Vandalism	7.2%	7.1%	5.0%	4.7%
Weapons	2.4%	3.5%	6.1%	1.6%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>2,211</i>	<i>434</i>	<i>423</i>	<i>128</i>

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	174 Probation*	111
Post-dispositional Reports	19 Parole	8
Transfer Reports	14 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	34

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	3.7%	8.2%	15.9%	42.1%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.7%	6.0%	4.9%	0.0%
- Other	5.5%	24.6%	20.8%	39.5%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	12.0%	20.9%	15.1%	5.3%
- Other	24.5%	20.1%	8.6%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	4.8%	0.7%	12.7%	13.2%
Court Order Violation	11.2%	2.2%	6.5%	0.0%
Status Offense	19.2%	3.7%	0.4%	0.0%
Other	17.4%	13.4%	15.1%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>1,632</i>	<i>134</i>	<i>245</i>	<i>38**</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.64%</i>	<i>1.91%</i>	<i>2.30%</i>	<i>4.66%</i>


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.  
\*\*3% (1) of state commitments were associated with an institutional offense for which disposition was referred to this CSU.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 23

City of Salem  
County of Roanoke


Region I -- Director: David W. Davis, II

400 East Main Street  
Salem, Virginia 24153  
540-387-6123

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	1,418	1,546	1,498
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	172	209	205
Class 1 Misdemeanor	660	608	727
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	157	105	109
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	258	268	210
Other			
Technical Violations	123	92	60
Traffic	14	21	13
Other	6	27	19
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>1,390</b>	<b>1,330</b>	<b>1,343</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>2,808</b>	<b>2,876</b>	<b>2,841</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 93.8% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	13.3%	14.2%	13.9%
White	83.8%	84.0%	83.4%
Other	2.8%	1.8%	2.7%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	66.8%	63.4%	61.8%
Female	33.3%	36.7%	38.3%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	7.8%	4.9%	6.6%
13	9.7%	6.1%	6.2%
14	14.4%	11.2%	11.3%
15	19.3%	21.4%	18.8%
16	21.8%	23.5%	26.3%
17	25.5%	30.9%	29.1%
18-20	1.3%	1.6%	1.6%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.5%	0.1%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>1,206</b>	<b>1,094</b>	<b>1,051</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 11% (from 10,666 to 11,858). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 11,572.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 1,051 juvenile intakes and 1,343 juvenile complaints (average of 1.3 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 6%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 3%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints increased 19%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 19%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 67% of juvenile complaints. 25% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 150 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 95 assessments completed; 59% had a moderate score, versus 50% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Larceny or Assault
  - Probation - Larceny
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Contempt of Court
  - Commitment - Vandalism
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 24 days, versus 26 statewide
  - There were no releases from state commitments in FY 2008.
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 23rd District had 756 detention-eligible intake cases and 136 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 5.6 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	64.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	17.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	13.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>1,498</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	5.7%	0.8%	4.5%	0.0%
Arson	0.4%	0.8%	1.1%	6.7%
Assault	16.1%	7.4%	15.7%	0.0%
Burglary	2.8%	5.7%	0.6%	13.3%
Contempt of Court	4.5%	7.4%	24.2%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	5.3%	2.5%	1.7%	6.7%
Escapes	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	1.3%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.2%	2.5%	2.2%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	17.6%	21.3%	11.2%	13.3%
Miscellaneous/Other	2.1%	4.9%	1.7%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	6.3%	8.2%	8.4%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.9%	2.5%	2.2%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Sex Offense	1.0%	13.1%	7.3%	20.0%
Status Offense	15.4%	0.8%	1.7%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.5%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Traffic	4.9%	1.6%	3.9%	0.0%
Trespass	2.8%	2.5%	0.6%	13.3%
Vandalism	7.3%	12.3%	5.6%	26.7%
Weapons	1.1%	4.9%	1.1%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>1,343</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>178</i>	<i>15</i>

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	72 Probation*	36
Post-dispositional Reports	6 Parole	1
Transfer Reports	1 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	4

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	2.3%	19.4%	16.2%	50.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.6%	3.2%	3.7%	0.0%
- Other	9.8%	32.3%	11.0%	50.0%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	19.4%	6.5%	13.2%	0.0%
- Other	34.7%	19.4%	16.2%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	5.4%	9.7%	30.9%	0.0%
Status Offense	19.1%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
Other	8.7%	9.7%	7.4%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/ Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>1,051</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>136</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.70%</i>	<i>0.44%</i>	<i>1.28%</i>	<i>0.49%</i>


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

**District 23A**  
City of Roanoke


Region I -- Director: Rodney C. Hubbard

215 Church Avenue, S.W.  
Roanoke, Virginia 24011  
540-853-2615

**INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008**

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	2,462	2,400	2,485
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	406	515	325
Class 1 Misdemeanor	946	1,259	990
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	107	148	124
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	265	244	169
Other			
Technical Violations	130	182	180
Traffic	4	8	2
Other	55	28	15
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>1,913</b>	<b>2,384</b>	<b>1,805</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,375</b>	<b>4,784</b>	<b>4,290</b>

**INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>**



\* 81.6% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


**JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\***

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	63.3%	64.0%	64.8%
White	34.1%	32.7%	32.0%
Other	2.6%	3.3%	3.2%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>			
	1.2%	1.7%	1.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	62.0%	64.8%	62.6%
Female	38.0%	35.2%	37.4%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	10.3%	7.5%	6.0%
13	9.0%	10.3%	8.7%
14	15.6%	16.8%	17.6%
15	20.2%	19.3%	20.2%
16	21.8%	22.4%	22.8%
17	21.8%	21.9%	22.4%
18-20	1.3%	1.6%	2.3%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>1,483</b>	<b>1,619</b>	<b>1,373</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- *U.S. Census Data:*
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 5% (from 8,471 to 8,876). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 9,372.
- *Intakes (FY 2008):*
  - 1,373 juvenile intakes and 1,805 juvenile complaints (average of 1.3 complaints per intake)
- *Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):*
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 1%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 6%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 20%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 36%.
- *Intake Disposition (FY 2008):*
  - A petition was filed in response to 73% of juvenile complaints. 19% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- *Adjudication Time (FY 2008):*
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 94 days.
- *Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):*
  - 200 assessments completed; 10% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- *Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):*
  - Intake - Larceny or Assault
  - Probation - Larceny or Assault
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Failure to Appear or Assault
  - Commitment - Narcotics
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):*
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 27 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 13.8 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- *Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):*
  - District 23A had 1,060 detention-eligible intake cases and 313 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.4 eligible intakes per detention placement.

**RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\***



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/ Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	60.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>2,485</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	3.0%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.8%	0.5%	0.7%	1.2%
Arson	0.4%	0.5%	1.2%	0.0%
Assault	14.7%	15.8%	15.5%	12.3%
Burglary	1.7%	6.4%	0.5%	3.7%
Contempt of Court	2.8%	2.5%	3.5%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	10.3%	4.5%	1.6%	1.2%
Escapes	0.1%	0.0%	0.7%	1.2%
Extortion	0.6%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	4.7%	1.5%	16.2%	9.9%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.6%	1.5%	2.3%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	14.8%	16.3%	6.5%	9.9%
Miscellaneous/Other	5.7%	3.5%	12.9%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	1.2%
Narcotics	5.8%	11.9%	6.7%	22.2%
Obscenity	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	2.2%	3.0%	4.2%	4.9%
Parole Violation	0.6%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Probation Violation	2.2%	0.5%	6.0%	11.1%
Robbery	1.4%	2.0%	5.3%	1.2%
Sex Offense	0.3%	2.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Status Offense	9.3%	3.5%	0.7%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.9%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%
Traffic	2.2%	1.5%	2.3%	2.5%
Trespass	4.9%	3.5%	2.8%	3.7%
Vandalism	6.1%	9.4%	3.2%	9.9%
Weapons	2.6%	6.9%	4.8%	3.7%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>1,805</i>	<i>202</i>	<i>433</i>	<i>81</i>

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	122 Probation*	91
Post-dispositional Reports	24 Parole	20
Transfer Reports	1 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	24

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	5.7%	22.3%	19.2%	38.9%
- Weapons/Narcotics	2.2%	9.6%	5.8%	27.8%
- Other	10.1%	23.4%	9.6%	16.7%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	16.3%	17.0%	6.4%	11.1%
- Other	38.0%	18.1%	26.8%	5.6%
Prob./Parole Violation	3.6%	0.0%	9.3%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	3.4%	2.1%	4.2%	0.0%
Status Offense	10.2%	1.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Other	10.4%	6.4%	18.5%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/ Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>1,373</i>	<i>94</i>	<i>313</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.22%</i>	<i>1.34%</i>	<i>2.94%</i>	<i>2.21%</i>


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 24

Cities of Bedford and Lynchburg  
Counties of Amherst, Bedford, Campbell, and Nelson


Region I -- Director: Robert G. Wade

901 Church Street, 2nd Floor  
Lynchburg, Virginia 24504  
434-455-2660

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	4,897	5,333	5,415
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	447	488	339
Class 1 Misdemeanor	899	1,011	929
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	180	176	167
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	441	690	623
Other			
Technical Violations	512	476	411
Traffic	6	13	11
Other	15	12	3
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>2,500</b>	<b>2,866</b>	<b>2,483</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>7,397</b>	<b>8,199</b>	<b>7,898</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 99% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.

<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	46.2%	43.8%	42.3%
White	51.2%	53.5%	54.4%
Other	2.7%	2.7%	3.3%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	0.7%	0.7%	1.1%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	67.3%	62.3%	65.7%
Female	32.8%	37.7%	34.3%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	5.1%	7.7%	7.2%
13	8.3%	6.5%	7.6%
14	13.5%	15.2%	12.5%
15	19.3%	20.1%	16.4%
16	22.5%	23.1%	25.7%
17	26.8%	22.4%	25.4%
18-20	2.8%	1.7%	1.5%
Error/Missing	1.8%	3.2%	3.8%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>2,000</b>	<b>2,253</b>	<b>1,988</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 17% (from 21,365 to 24,949). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 24,408.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 1,988 juvenile intakes and 2,483 juvenile complaints (average of 1.2 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 11%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 1%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 24%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 41%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 88% of juvenile complaints. 10% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 88 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 283 assessments completed; 32% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Status Offense
  - Probation - Assault
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
  - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 29 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 9.3 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 24th District had 1,269 detention-eligible intake cases and 256 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 5.0 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	57.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	18.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	15.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>5,415</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	1.2%	1.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Alcohol	1.5%	1.6%	0.7%	0.0%
Arson	0.2%	1.1%	0.7%	1.0%
Assault	14.5%	17.0%	18.3%	14.6%
Burglary	1.9%	8.6%	4.4%	9.4%
Contempt of Court	11.1%	5.7%	6.9%	1.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.9%	2.7%	0.7%	1.0%
Escapes	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Extortion	0.7%	0.8%	0.2%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	1.5%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Fraud	0.8%	1.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.3%	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Larceny	8.3%	12.4%	11.6%	31.3%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.3%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	6.2%	8.4%	8.9%	2.1%
Obscenity	0.3%	0.3%	0.7%	2.1%
Obstruction of Justice	0.7%	2.4%	0.7%	1.0%
Parole Violation	0.4%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
Probation Violation	3.8%	2.7%	6.4%	6.3%
Robbery	0.7%	0.8%	3.7%	0.0%
Sex Offense	1.9%	4.1%	9.4%	10.4%
Status Offense	24.8%	13.5%	1.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.7%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%
Traffic	2.4%	1.6%	1.7%	0.0%
Trespass	2.8%	1.6%	1.0%	1.0%
Vandalism	7.0%	6.8%	8.9%	13.5%
Weapons	2.6%	4.1%	4.2%	5.2%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>2,483</i>	<i>370</i>	<i>405</i>	<i>96</i>

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	115 Probation*	203
Post-dispositional Reports	83 Parole	9
Transfer Reports	4 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	21 Commitments	24

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	4.5%	9.4%	23.4%	32.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.3%	6.1%	5.9%	12.0%
- Other	5.2%	23.1%	13.3%	44.0%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	15.8%	20.8%	16.8%	8.0%
- Other	18.2%	16.0%	11.7%	4.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	5.0%	1.9%	12.1%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	14.8%	5.2%	14.8%	0.0%
Status Offense	30.0%	13.7%	1.6%	0.0%
Other	5.1%	3.8%	0.4%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/ Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>1,988</i>	<i>212</i>	<i>256</i>	<i>25</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.21%</i>	<i>3.03%</i>	<i>2.40%</i>	<i>3.06%</i>


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 25

Cities of Buena Vista, Covington, Lexington, Staunton, and Waynesboro  
Counties of Alleghany, Augusta, Bath, Botetourt, Craig, Highland, and Rockbridge


Region I -- Director: Gary L. Conway

6 East Johnson Street, 3rd Floor  
Staunton, Virginia 24402  
540-245-5315

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	4,008	4,031	4,149
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	404	452	360
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,040	1,159	964
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	160	231	143
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	340	406	399
Other			
Technical Violations	152	129	168
Traffic	23	24	30
Other	23	15	11
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>2,142</b>	<b>2,416</b>	<b>2,075</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>6,150</b>	<b>6,447</b>	<b>6,224</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 99.5% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	15.5%	14.4%	14.3%
White	82.1%	82.7%	83.6%
Other	2.4%	2.9%	2.1%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	0.6%	1.3%	0.9%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	69.9%	68.8%	64.8%
Female	30.1%	31.2%	35.3%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	7.0%	7.1%	7.3%
13	9.7%	7.3%	7.2%
14	15.0%	14.0%	13.5%
15	19.3%	19.4%	17.8%
16	22.9%	24.4%	22.7%
17	22.9%	24.2%	28.1%
18-20	2.5%	2.7%	2.8%
Error/Missing	0.8%	0.9%	0.5%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>1,581</b>	<b>1,726</b>	<b>1,617</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 13% (from 19,714 to 22,250). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 21,363.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 1,617 juvenile intakes and 2,075 juvenile complaints (average of 1.3 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 4%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 3%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 11%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 17%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 72% of juvenile complaints. 17% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 113 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 178 assessments completed; 25% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Status Offense
  - Probation - Larceny
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
  - Commitment - Larceny or Traffic
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 21 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 9.2 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 25th District had 1,105 detention-eligible intake cases and 157 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 7.0 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	67.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	12.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	14.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>4,149</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	7.0%	2.2%	4.4%	0.0%
Arson	0.8%	3.2%	0.9%	4.1%
Assault	13.3%	11.7%	25.4%	6.1%
Burglary	3.8%	8.8%	3.9%	4.1%
Contempt of Court	5.7%	1.9%	3.5%	2.0%
Disorderly Conduct	5.7%	1.6%	3.1%	4.1%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Extortion	0.7%	1.9%	0.0%	2.0%
Failure to Appear	0.4%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.7%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Larceny	10.9%	18.6%	11.8%	18.4%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.4%	3.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	6.8%	13.2%	6.6%	4.1%
Obscenity	0.6%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.3%	1.6%	4.4%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.4%	0.0%	2.6%	4.1%
Probation Violation	1.9%	0.3%	8.8%	6.1%
Robbery	0.1%	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense	1.8%	5.4%	4.8%	6.1%
Status Offense	18.9%	2.8%	3.1%	0.0%
Telephone Law	1.2%	0.3%	0.4%	4.1%
Traffic	4.2%	3.5%	1.3%	18.4%
Trespass	1.6%	1.9%	0.0%	2.0%
Vandalism	7.4%	11.7%	4.8%	12.2%
Weapons	1.6%	2.8%	4.8%	2.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>2,075</i>	<i>317</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>49</i>

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	101 Probation*	92
Post-dispositional Reports	50 Parole	10
Transfer Reports	2 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	14

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	5.0%	17.0%	17.2%	30.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.0%	4.5%	3.2%	5.0%
- Other	8.2%	33.9%	17.2%	35.0%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	14.6%	13.4%	19.1%	15.0%
- Other	31.3%	19.6%	15.3%	5.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	2.7%	0.0%	15.9%	10.0%
Court Order Violation	7.1%	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%
Status Offense	23.4%	3.6%	4.5%	0.0%
Other	6.8%	8.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/ Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>1,617</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>157</i>	<i>20</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.61%</i>	<i>1.60%</i>	<i>1.47%</i>	<i>2.45%</i>


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 26

Cities of Harrisonburg and Winchester

Counties of Clarke, Frederick, Page, Rockingham, Shenandoah, and Warren


Region II -- Director: Roderick D. Jones

Judicial Center, 2nd Floor  
5 North Kent Street  
Winchester, Virginia 22601  
540-667-5770 ext:339

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	4,504	4,407	4,440
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	700	845	958
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,519	1,426	1,710
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	168	233	202
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	347	334	294
Other			
Technical Violations	729	631	671
Traffic	10	8	10
Other	6	8	7
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>3,479</b>	<b>3,485</b>	<b>3,852</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>7,983</b>	<b>7,892</b>	<b>8,292</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 96.6% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	14.0%	13.4%	13.7%
White	78.7%	77.5%	74.8%
Other	7.3%	9.1%	11.5%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>10.2%</b>
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	70.8%	70.6%	71.1%
Female	29.2%	29.4%	28.9%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	4.3%	5.6%	4.4%
13	6.7%	6.3%	8.3%
14	13.9%	13.6%	13.3%
15	20.2%	18.4%	17.6%
16	25.1%	24.2%	24.5%
17	25.4%	27.3%	27.3%
18-20	3.0%	3.4%	3.8%
Error/Missing	1.5%	1.1%	0.9%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>2,299</b>	<b>2,316</b>	<b>2,386</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 27% (from 24,319 to 30,975). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 32,777.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 2,386 juvenile intakes and 3,852 juvenile complaints (average of 1.6 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints decreased 1%.
  - Juvenile complaints increased 11%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints increased 37%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 15%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 84% of juvenile complaints. 13% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 71 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 192 assessments completed; 63% had a moderate score, versus 50% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Larceny
  - Probation - Larceny
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Gangs
  - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 25 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 13.9 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 26th District had 2,002 detention-eligible intake cases and 309 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 6.5 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	64.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	14.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	17.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>4,440</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	3.1%	1.1%	1.2%	2.3%
Arson	0.9%	2.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Assault	9.5%	16.2%	10.5%	5.7%
Burglary	2.8%	6.8%	4.7%	10.3%
Contempt of Court	13.3%	1.7%	3.2%	5.7%
Disorderly Conduct	2.2%	0.9%	1.4%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Extortion	0.5%	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	3.0%	0.6%	5.1%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	4.2%	2.8%	5.0%	4.6%
Gangs	4.0%	2.3%	16.5%	10.3%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Larceny	15.6%	23.0%	11.9%	20.7%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.8%	2.3%	0.8%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Narcotics	4.9%	6.5%	2.8%	0.0%
Obscenity	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%
Obstruction of Justice	1.3%	0.9%	1.0%	3.4%
Parole Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Probation Violation	3.6%	2.6%	9.4%	5.7%
Robbery	0.5%	1.7%	2.4%	1.1%
Sex Offense	1.8%	5.7%	4.3%	10.3%
Status Offense	7.4%	0.6%	0.4%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.4%	0.3%	0.6%	2.3%
Traffic	2.3%	1.7%	0.8%	1.1%
Trespass	1.8%	2.0%	1.1%	4.6%
Vandalism	12.2%	13.9%	13.0%	10.3%
Weapons	1.7%	4.0%	2.4%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>3,852</i>	<i>352</i>	<i>723</i>	<i>87</i>

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	56 Probation*	157
Post-dispositional Reports	96 Parole	7
Transfer Reports	1 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	30

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	5.3%	16.0%	19.4%	27.3%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.0%	4.1%	3.2%	0.0%
- Other	12.1%	36.7%	24.6%	59.1%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	12.7%	17.8%	10.0%	13.6%
- Other	31.0%	18.9%	13.6%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	5.4%	1.2%	21.0%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	16.9%	1.8%	6.8%	0.0%
Status Offense	10.4%	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%
Other	5.2%	3.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/ Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>2,386</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>309</i>	<i>22</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.85%</i>	<i>2.41%</i>	<i>2.90%</i>	<i>2.70%</i>


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 27

Cities of Galax and Radford

Counties of Carroll, Floyd, Grayson, Montgomery, Pulaski, and Wythe

Region I -- Director: Kenneth M. Miller

143 3rd Street, N.W., Suite 2


Pulaski, Virginia 24301

540-980-7735

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	3,758	3,717	4,242
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	328	324	385
Class 1 Misdemeanor	976	865	1,147
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	185	217	218
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	298	322	339
Other			
Technical Violations	277	242	195
Traffic	44	74	75
Other	6	19	11
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>2,114</b>	<b>2,063</b>	<b>2,370</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>5,872</b>	<b>5,780</b>	<b>6,612</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 99.5% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.

<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.

### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	10.4%	10.4%	11.4%
White	87.7%	85.8%	85.8%
Other	1.9%	3.8%	2.8%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>			
	0.9%	2.8%	2.2%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	67.0%	68.7%	71.1%
Female	33.0%	31.3%	28.9%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	6.5%	5.2%	6.1%
13	7.0%	7.8%	8.5%
14	11.9%	10.9%	11.3%
15	20.0%	16.4%	16.6%
16	22.5%	24.9%	21.4%
17	26.2%	29.8%	30.8%
18-20	4.8%	4.4%	4.2%
Error/Missing	1.1%	0.6%	1.0%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>1,601</b>	<b>1,546</b>	<b>1,559</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 3% (from 19,537 to 20,063). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 19,288.

#### Intakes (FY 2008):

- 1,559 juvenile intakes and 2,370 juvenile complaints (average of 1.5 complaints per intake)

#### Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):

- Domestic relations complaints increased 13%.
- Juvenile complaints increased 12%.
- Juvenile felony complaints increased 17%.
- CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 14%.

#### Intake Disposition (FY 2008):

- A petition was filed in response to 67% of juvenile complaints. 20% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.

#### Adjudication Time (FY 2008):

- The average time from intake to adjudication was 92 days.

#### Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):

- 242 assessments completed; 53% had a moderate score, versus 50% statewide

#### Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):

- Intake - Larceny or Status Offense
- Probation - Assault
- Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
- Commitment - Larceny


#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):

- Pre-dispositional Detention - 25 days, versus 26 statewide
- Commitment to the State - 16.1 months, versus 14.4 statewide

#### Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):

- The 27th District had 1,085 detention-eligible intake cases and 153 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 7.1 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	62.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	16.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	13.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	4,242	0	0	0
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	1.4%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	4.1%	2.4%	1.6%	0.0%
Arson	0.7%	2.4%	1.2%	0.0%
Assault	12.0%	17.9%	23.5%	4.8%
Burglary	2.9%	6.3%	10.0%	28.6%
Contempt of Court	2.5%	2.4%	8.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.6%	3.2%	1.2%	4.8%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.4%	1.8%	1.6%	4.8%
Failure to Appear	0.6%	0.0%	6.8%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.8%	1.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Gangs	0.9%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Larceny	15.2%	14.5%	7.2%	33.3%
Miscellaneous/Other	2.2%	2.1%	2.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	5.2%	4.5%	2.0%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.8%	1.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.4%	3.4%	2.4%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation Violation	5.4%	2.4%	6.0%	14.3%
Robbery	0.8%	0.3%	5.6%	0.0%
Sex Offense	0.8%	1.3%	5.6%	0.0%
Status Offense	13.6%	7.4%	0.4%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.9%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	9.5%	4.5%	1.6%	0.0%
Trespass	1.9%	2.4%	0.8%	0.0%
Vandalism	12.0%	12.9%	7.2%	9.5%
Weapons	1.9%	2.6%	0.8%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	2,370	379	251	21

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	79 Probation*	194
Post-dispositional Reports	116 Parole	4
Transfer Reports	2 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	4

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	4.7%	14.4%	24.8%	20.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.8%	2.6%	1.3%	0.0%
- Other	9.2%	24.6%	21.6%	60.0%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	16.4%	22.6%	18.3%	20.0%
- Other	27.6%	16.9%	11.8%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	8.2%	0.0%	9.8%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	2.9%	3.1%	11.1%	0.0%
Status Offense	19.1%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	11.1%	3.6%	1.3%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	1,559	195	153	5
<i>% of Statewide</i>	2.52%	2.79%	1.44%	0.61%


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 28

City of Bristol  
Counties of Smyth and Washington


Region I -- Director: Randall T. Blevins

193 East Main Street  
Abingdon, Virginia 24210  
276-676-6284

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	2,192	2,180	2,685
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	154	198	181
Class 1 Misdemeanor	508	546	440
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	60	70	97
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	254	295	139
Other			
Technical Violations	589	400	219
Traffic	19	6	8
Other	14	10	15
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>1,598</b>	<b>1,525</b>	<b>1,099</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,790</b>	<b>3,705</b>	<b>3,784</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 100% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	8.1%	6.4%	6.7%
White	90.9%	92.4%	91.0%
Other	1.1%	1.2%	2.2%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>			
	0.7%	0.9%	2.1%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	65.5%	65.2%	61.1%
Female	34.5%	34.8%	38.9%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	7.4%	5.1%	4.1%
13	12.3%	9.2%	5.6%
14	12.3%	13.1%	13.6%
15	17.3%	19.7%	18.5%
16	25.3%	24.0%	23.0%
17	23.4%	27.0%	32.4%
18-20	1.3%	1.2%	1.9%
Error/Missing	0.8%	0.7%	0.9%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>1,006</b>	<b>997</b>	<b>756</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) decreased 5% (from 10,423 to 9,945). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 9,531.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 756 juvenile intakes and 1,099 juvenile complaints (average of 1.5 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 22%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 31%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints increased 18%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 45%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 91% of juvenile complaints. 7% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 97 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 186 assessments completed; 47% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Assault
  - Probation - Larceny, Assault, or Narcotics
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
  - Commitment - Burglary
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 29 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 12.7 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 28th District had 513 detention-eligible intake cases and 92 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 5.6 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/ Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	68.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	11.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	2,685	0	0	0
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	4.5%	6.9%	1.3%	0.0%
Arson	0.5%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	17.1%	14.9%	26.0%	7.7%
Burglary	3.3%	5.7%	9.1%	30.8%
Contempt of Court	12.9%	5.1%	16.9%	3.8%
Disorderly Conduct	1.3%	2.9%	1.9%	0.0%
Escapes	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Extortion	0.2%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	2.3%	1.7%	1.9%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	2.6%	1.7%	4.5%	0.0%
Gangs	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	9.2%	15.4%	8.4%	23.1%
Miscellaneous/Other	3.1%	4.6%	3.2%	7.7%
Murder	0.3%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Narcotics	4.9%	15.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.9%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%
Probation Violation	6.9%	2.3%	9.1%	11.5%
Robbery	0.5%	0.0%	3.9%	11.5%
Sex Offense	1.3%	0.6%	1.9%	0.0%
Status Offense	12.6%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	3.9%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespass	2.6%	1.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Vandalism	3.9%	7.4%	2.6%	0.0%
Weapons	1.0%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	1,099	175	154	26

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	77 Probation*	111
Post-dispositional Reports	61 Parole	1
Transfer Reports	6 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	2

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	6.3%	6.7%	21.7%	50.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.1%	4.8%	2.2%	0.0%
- Other	5.3%	12.5%	12.0%	25.0%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	17.6%	16.3%	22.8%	0.0%
- Other	24.1%	28.8%	9.8%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	6.1%	0.0%	8.7%	25.0%
Court Order Violation	15.3%	2.9%	22.8%	0.0%
Status Offense	15.7%	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	8.5%	19.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	756	104	92	4
<i>% of Statewide</i>	1.22%	1.49%	0.86%	0.49%


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 29

Counties of Bland, Buchanan, Dickenson, Giles, Russell, and Tazewell


Region I -- Director: Ronald W. Belay

507 Wenonah Avenue  
Pearisburg, Virginia 24134  
540-921-3626

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	4,218	3,931	3,974
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	177	241	205
Class 1 Misdemeanor	367	621	317
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	65	94	108
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	262	361	424
Other			
Technical Violations	111	88	67
Traffic	11	7	9
Other	33	55	44
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>1,026</b>	<b>1,467</b>	<b>1,174</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>5,244</b>	<b>5,398</b>	<b>5,148</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 98.6% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	4.6%	3.4%	3.9%
White	93.6%	96.3%	94.6%
Other	1.7%	0.3%	1.5%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	65.3%	67.3%	63.3%
Female	34.7%	32.7%	36.7%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	8.2%	9.7%	8.4%
13	8.3%	7.6%	8.6%
14	10.9%	13.6%	13.8%
15	19.4%	18.5%	17.9%
16	22.9%	20.3%	21.8%
17	24.0%	24.1%	23.8%
18-20	3.1%	2.9%	1.9%
Error/Missing	3.3%	3.3%	3.9%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>818</b>	<b>946</b>	<b>907</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) decreased 23% (from 19,040 to 14,657). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 12,917.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 907 juvenile intakes and 1,174 juvenile complaints (average of 1.3 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints decreased 6%.
  - Juvenile complaints increased 14%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints increased 16%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 62%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 83% of juvenile complaints. 15% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 86 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 171 assessments completed; 46% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Status Offense
  - Probation - Assault
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Larceny or Probation Violation
  - Commitment - Sex Offense
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 19 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 15.8 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 29th District had 414 detention-eligible intake cases and 87 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 4.8 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	67.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	11.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	11.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	3,974	0	0	0
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	2.0%	3.7%	1.6%	0.0%
Arson	0.5%	4.7%	0.8%	0.0%
Assault	9.5%	16.6%	12.9%	16.7%
Burglary	3.7%	7.3%	8.1%	16.7%
Contempt of Court	1.4%	0.7%	4.8%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.9%	2.0%	2.4%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.7%	2.0%	2.4%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	9.2%	12.6%	16.1%	0.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	7.9%	5.6%	10.5%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	4.8%	13.6%	1.6%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.3%	1.3%	1.6%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation Violation	4.3%	1.3%	15.3%	16.7%
Robbery	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%
Sex Offense	1.4%	5.6%	5.6%	33.3%
Status Offense	36.1%	3.7%	0.8%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.3%	0.3%	0.8%	0.0%
Traffic	4.6%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespass	1.9%	1.3%	0.8%	0.0%
Vandalism	5.4%	10.3%	6.5%	0.0%
Weapons	1.6%	3.7%	7.3%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	1,174	301	124	6

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	65 Probation*	138
Post-dispositional Reports	72 Parole	4
Transfer Reports	5 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	7

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	4.4%	14.8%	20.7%	66.7%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.3%	4.0%	3.4%	0.0%
- Other	6.5%	15.4%	25.3%	33.3%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	11.0%	24.8%	11.5%	0.0%
- Other	15.3%	18.8%	6.9%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	5.2%	0.0%	21.8%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	1.9%	0.7%	6.9%	0.0%
Status Offense	45.4%	4.7%	1.1%	0.0%
Other	8.9%	16.8%	2.3%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/ Placements/Commitments</i>	907	149	87	3
<i>% of Statewide</i>	1.47%	2.13%	0.82%	0.37%


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 30

City of Norton  
Counties of Lee, Scott, and Wise


Region I -- Director: R. Wayne McClelland

122 Municipal Avenue  
Gate City, Virginia 24251  
276-386-9561

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	2,145	2,459	2,284
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	162	178	84
Class 1 Misdemeanor	374	550	396
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	95	86	52
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	253	324	225
Other			
Technical Violations	112	103	99
Traffic	4	10	9
Other	16	17	10
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>1,016</b>	<b>1,268</b>	<b>875</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,161</b>	<b>3,727</b>	<b>3,159</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 100% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	1.9%	3.5%	2.7%
White	96.4%	96.1%	96.2%
Other	1.7%	0.4%	1.1%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	64.0%	62.7%	67.2%
Female	36.0%	37.4%	32.8%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	9.3%	9.2%	6.5%
13	8.2%	5.7%	7.3%
14	13.7%	15.7%	11.8%
15	19.1%	19.9%	17.4%
16	22.0%	24.3%	26.5%
17	24.8%	22.5%	26.9%
18-20	1.2%	1.5%	2.7%
Error/Missing	1.7%	1.2%	0.9%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>806</b>	<b>913</b>	<b>743</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) decreased 17% (from 11,570 to 9,585). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 8,760.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 743 juvenile intakes and 875 juvenile complaints (average of 1.2 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints increased 6%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 14%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 48%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 11%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 87% of juvenile complaints. 8% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 101 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 222 assessments completed; 52% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Status Offense
  - Probation - Assault or Status Offense
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Status Offense
  - Commitment - Status Offense
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 20 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 11.4 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 30th District had 490 detention-eligible intake cases and 95 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 5.2 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	58.8%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	16.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	20.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	2,284	1	0	0
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	1.1%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	9.6%	5.9%	1.6%	0.0%
Arson	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	15.5%	19.5%	17.1%	25.0%
Burglary	2.1%	1.7%	6.5%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	1.3%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	2.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.3%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Extortion	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.1%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Fraud	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	8.5%	13.6%	4.9%	0.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.7%	2.5%	2.4%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	4.1%	13.1%	8.1%	0.0%
Obscenity	1.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.0%	0.8%	2.4%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation Violation	9.8%	0.0%	18.7%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	1.1%	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%
Status Offense	25.6%	19.1%	21.1%	50.0%
Telephone Law	0.7%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	3.4%	4.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Trespass	1.7%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	5.8%	10.6%	9.8%	25.0%
Weapons	1.7%	2.5%	1.6%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	875	236	123	4

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	22 Probation*	123
Post-dispositional Reports	123 Parole	2
Transfer Reports	1 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	1

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	3.5%	7.4%	7.4%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.9%	0.8%	2.1%	0.0%
- Other	3.9%	8.2%	9.5%	100.0%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	17.2%	27.0%	18.9%	0.0%
- Other	27.5%	25.4%	7.4%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	11.4%	0.0%	24.2%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	1.5%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%
Status Offense	28.7%	21.3%	27.4%	0.0%
Other	5.4%	9.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	743	122	95	1
<i>% of Statewide</i>	1.20%	1.74%	0.89%	0.12%


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## District 31

Cities of Manassas and Manassas Park  
County of Prince William


Region II -- Director: James D. Rankin, Jr.

9540 Center Street, Suite 200  
Manassas, Virginia 20110  
703-792-6200

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006-2008

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	4,600	4,572	4,536
<b>Juvenile Complaints</b>			
Felony	1,244	1,153	966
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,612	1,717	1,760
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	324	267	320
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	227	226	220
Other			
Technical Violations	518	601	545
Traffic	11	12	5
Other	18	8	15
<b>Total Juvenile Complaints</b>	<b>3,954</b>	<b>3,984</b>	<b>3,831</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>8,554</b>	<b>8,556</b>	<b>8,367</b>

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 100% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.  
<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2006-2008\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	36.9%	41.8%	37.5%
White	43.9%	37.3%	44.6%
Other	19.2%	20.9%	18.0%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	16.3%	18.9%	17.9%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	74.7%	74.4%	72.5%
Female	25.3%	25.7%	27.5%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	6.5%	4.8%	4.8%
13	7.6%	6.9%	6.6%
14	14.5%	14.3%	12.7%
15	18.8%	21.5%	20.1%
16	26.8%	22.2%	25.8%
17	23.3%	27.2%	26.4%
18-20	2.3%	2.6%	3.5%
Error/Missing	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
<b>Total Juvenile Cases</b>	<b>2,834</b>	<b>2,819</b>	<b>2,900</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 40% (from 30,382 to 42,556). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2007 was 49,996.
- **Intakes (FY 2008):**
  - 2,900 juvenile intakes and 3,831 juvenile complaints (average of 1.3 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2006-2008):**
  - Domestic relations complaints decreased 1%.
  - Juvenile complaints decreased 3%.
  - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 22%.
  - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 3%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2008):**
  - A petition was filed in response to 76% of juvenile complaints. 23% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2008):**
  - The average time from intake to adjudication was 74 days.
- **Risk Assessment / YASI (FY 2008):**
  - 561 assessments completed; 43% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **Most Common Juvenile Offense Category (FY 2008):**
  - Intake - Assault or Larceny
  - Probation - Assault
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
  - Commitment - Assault
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2008):**
  - Pre-dispositional Detention - 23 days, versus 26 statewide
  - Commitment to the State - 10.5 months, versus 14.4 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2008):**
  - The 31st District had 2,441 detention-eligible intake cases and 435 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 5.6 eligible intakes per detention placement.

### RISK ASSESSMENT / YASI RESULTS, FY 2008\*



\* Data is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because some CSUs began using the YASI during FY 2008. Data presented combines the Risk Assessment Instrument and the YASI when applicable and includes YASI results of "no risk" in the "low risk" category.

Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Domestic Relations/ Child Welfare Offenses</b>				
Custody	66.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	17.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	1.9%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>4,536</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<b>Juvenile Offenses</b>				
Abusive Language	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Alcohol	2.5%	1.6%	0.1%	0.0%
Arson	1.1%	0.6%	0.4%	0.0%
Assault	15.1%	21.2%	17.6%	18.3%
Burglary	3.0%	7.2%	3.7%	4.9%
Contempt of Court	3.7%	0.8%	5.4%	1.2%
Disorderly Conduct	4.2%	3.7%	0.7%	1.2%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.4%	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	5.2%	1.2%	10.6%	3.7%
Family Offense	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	2.8%	1.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Gangs	0.8%	1.9%	4.6%	13.4%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.0%	1.8%	2.4%
Larceny	14.6%	15.4%	10.1%	14.6%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.9%	1.2%	3.7%	1.2%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Narcotics	7.8%	7.8%	2.7%	7.3%
Obscenity	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	2.6%	2.3%	3.1%	1.2%
Parole Violation	0.3%	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Probation Violation	9.1%	1.8%	14.3%	11.0%
Robbery	1.7%	5.6%	7.8%	12.2%
Sex Offense	0.9%	3.9%	1.6%	0.0%
Status Offense	5.5%	6.9%	0.1%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Traffic	2.6%	1.8%	0.6%	0.0%
Trespass	2.9%	1.7%	0.3%	2.4%
Vandalism	6.8%	7.1%	4.5%	2.4%
Weapons	2.3%	3.6%	2.8%	2.4%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>3,831</i>	<i>899</i>	<i>670</i>	<i>82</i>

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	97 Probation*	391
Post-dispositional Reports	110 Parole	21
Transfer Reports	3 Intensive Prob.	58
Custody Investigations	0 Commitments	32

\* Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2008\*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
<b>Felonies:</b>				
- Against Persons	6.3%	15.3%	20.5%	44.4%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.0%	2.1%	1.6%	2.8%
- Other	15.4%	19.9%	18.9%	44.4%
<b>Class 1 Misdemeanors:</b>				
- Against Persons	15.5%	18.0%	7.8%	5.6%
- Other	28.7%	25.3%	12.4%	2.8%
Prob./Parole Violation	12.0%	0.5%	22.8%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	5.3%	0.2%	11.7%	0.0%
Status Offense	6.7%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	9.1%	8.7%	4.4%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>2,900</i>	<i>438</i>	<i>435</i>	<i>36</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>4.68%</i>	<i>6.26%</i>	<i>4.08%</i>	<i>4.41%</i>


\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2008 RELEASES



\* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under Va. Code §16.1 - 284.1)

## PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION (DAYS), FY 2008 RELEASES\*



\* Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the Code of Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Probation data is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodological changes.

## CSU Trends, FY 2006-2008

### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, NEW PROBATION CASES\*, PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION PLACEMENTS, AND COMMITMENTS TO DJJ

Region	District	Juvenile Intake Cases			New Probation Cases			Pre-D Detention			Commitments to DJJ		
		2006	2007	2008	2006	2007	2008	2006	2007	2008	2006	2007	2008
1	10	1,727	1,650	1,498	124	160	140	272	278	206	11	6	13
	21	827	837	704	201	169	158	159	123	88	11	17	8
	22	1,525	1,362	1,632	126	127	134	242	206	245	32	27	38
	23	1,206	1,094	1,051	45	33	31	213	207	136	3	2	4
	23A	1,483	1,619	1,373	78	75	94	343	342	313	21	21	18
	24	2,000	2,253	1,988	269	265	212	342	475	256	17	22	25
	25	1,581	1,726	1,617	101	116	112	209	190	157	11	24	20
	27	1,601	1,546	1,559	197	198	195	197	171	153	8	3	5
	28	1,006	997	756	118	108	104	119	118	92	1	3	4
	29	818	946	907	157	113	149	87	83	87	1	9	3
	30	806	913	743	184	179	122	132	117	95	5	4	1
2	14	2,706	2,875	2,963	341	441	484	796	883	995	36	48	36
	15	4,082	4,345	3,964	433	397	368	988	1,007	891	64	62	60
	16	2,243	2,121	2,122	375	332	344	280	272	277	42	40	32
	17	1,150	1,320	1,291	238	257	207	311	334	317	21	28	18
	17F	151	69	63	17	13	8	25	23	18	0	1	0
	18	1,022	785	924	163	134	135	177	146	146	18	14	11
	19	5,708	5,391	5,418	934	973	1,029	1,150	1,002	994	31	32	31
	20L	1,179	1,288	1,273	75	176	182	271	321	232	4	9	8
	20W	314	278	299	88	150	100	80	58	39	7	6	6
	26	2,299	2,316	2,386	144	158	169	306	319	309	19	25	22
	31	2,834	2,819	2,900	523	513	438	502	571	435	35	39	36
3	1	2,137	2,016	1,995	293	272	284	320	271	200	17	12	14
	2	3,143	3,053	2,758	307	340	278	650	572	491	48	52	55
	2A	396	294	417	105	55	61	62	53	86	10	12	10
	3	1,128	1,066	892	120	133	105	254	240	202	32	24	38
	4	3,162	3,034	2,468	272	256	227	636	570	479	60	41	48
	5	1,033	841	901	117	108	83	190	156	171	30	31	26
	6	921	824	993	50	58	61	162	169	163	12	20	13
	7	2,782	3,512	3,218	317	271	287	462	467	400	51	56	48
	8	2,494	2,306	2,221	108	100	107	245	288	274	26	28	24
	9	1,530	1,552	1,635	92	85	63	232	237	235	18	16	15
	11	1,204	994	1,087	105	100	118	263	227	278	56	33	34
	12	5,277	3,810	3,754	118	151	126	612	479	482	72	44	31
		13	1,898	1,992	2,139	252	223	286	766	718	715	91	53
<b>Total</b>		<b>65,373</b>	<b>63,844</b>	<b>61,909</b>	<b>7,187</b>	<b>7,239</b>	<b>7,001</b>	<b>12,055</b>	<b>11,693</b>	<b>10,657</b>	<b>921</b>	<b>864</b>	<b>816</b>

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

- To account for variations in reporting across jurisdictions a single intake case includes all complaints reported for a single juvenile, on a single day, within a single locality.
- *Between FY 2006 and FY 2008:*
  - Juvenile Intake Cases decreased 5.3%.
  - New Probation Cases decreased 2.6%.
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention Placements decreased 11.6%.
  - Commitments to DJJ decreased 11.4%.

## Virginia Juvenile Community Crime Control Act (VJCCCA)

A legislative study on the lack of alternatives to detention and substantial revisions to the juvenile code led the 1995 Virginia General Assembly to pass the Virginia Juvenile Community Crime Control Act (VJCCCA) “to establish a community-based system of progressive intensive sanctions and services that correspond to the severity of offense and treatment needs” (Va. Code §16.1-309.2). The purpose of the VJCCCA is “to deter crime by providing immediate, effective punishment that emphasizes accountability of the juvenile offender for his actions as well as reduces the pattern of repeat offending” (Va. Code §16.1-309.2).

Since January 1996, funding has been allocated to each local governing body (an independent city or county) through a formula based on factors including the number and types of arrests in a locality and the average daily cost for serving a child. In order to maintain their commitment to youth, participating localities must maintain the same level of contribution to these programs that they made in 1995.

### LOCALITIES DEVELOP PLANS

To participate in VJCCCA, each jurisdiction must develop a plan for how it will use the funding and have that plan approved by the Board of Juvenile Justice. Some localities have combined programs and funding across jurisdictions. Communities are given substantial autonomy and flexibility to address local juvenile crime patterns. Development of the plan requires consultation with judges, CSU directors, and Comprehensive Services Act Community Policy and Management Teams (an interagency body that manages the expenditure of state funding to serve children and families). The local governing body designates who will be responsible for managing the plan. In over one-half of the localities, this responsibility has been delegated to the CSU.

All funding must be used to serve “juveniles before intake on complaints or the court on petitions alleging that the juvenile is a child in need of services, child in need of supervision or delinquent” (Va. Code §16.1-309.2). Local governing bodies may provide services directly or purchase them from other public or private agencies. No specific types of programs or services are required, although a menu of permissible activities is in place. The intent is for programs and services to be developed to fit the needs of each locality.

### PROGRAMS INCLUDED

In FY 2008, VJCCCA served over 12,500 youth through residential and non-residential programs and services including less secure detention; group homes; family-oriented group homes; crisis intervention and shelter care; outreach detention and electronic monitoring; intensive supervision; substance abuse assessment and treatment; sex offender assessment and treatment; mental health assessments; individual, group, and family counseling; home-based, in-home, or family preservation services; mentoring; community service; restitution/restorative justice; after-school or extended day services; academic improvement; employment/vocational programs; shoplifting programs; law-related education; anger management; parenting skills; life skills; recreation and wilderness programs; and individually purchased services to meet specific needs.

### SERVICES PROVIDED, FY 2008\*


Services	
Juveniles Placed	12,692
Total Program Placements	21,910
Average Placements per Juvenile	1.73
Juveniles Eligible for Detention	80.4%

### JUVENILE DEMOGRAPHICS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2008\*

Demographics	Total	%
<b>Race</b>		
Black	5,869	46.2%
White	6,113	48.2%
Other	710	5.6%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	509	4.0%
<b>Sex</b>		
Male	8,846	69.7%
Female	3,846	30.3%
<b>Age</b>		
Under 13	447	3.5%
13	850	6.7%
14	1,601	12.6%
15	2,480	19.5%
16	3,145	24.8%
17	3,574	28.2%
18 or 19	595	4.7%
<b>Total Juveniles</b>	<b>12,692</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>1</sup>Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

### FUNDING SOURCE, FY 2008



\*Locality of King George is not included in the tables.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book.

**PLACEMENTS BY STATUS, FY 2008\***


Dispositional Status	Residential Status	
	Residential	Non-Residential
Pre-Dispositional	1,804 (8.2%)	9,485 (43.3%)
Post-Dispositional	511 (2.3%)	10,110 (46.1%)

**PLACEMENTS BY TYPE OF SERVICE, FY 2008\***

Service Type	Total	%
<b>Public Safety</b>	<b>8,220</b>	<b>37.5%</b>
Outreach/Electronic Monitoring	4,276	19.5%
Less Secure Detention	469	2.1%
Intensive Supervision, Surveillance	2,134	9.7%
Crisis Intervention and Sheltercare	1,341	6.1%
<b>Competency</b>	<b>8,233</b>	<b>37.6%</b>
Anger Management Programs	935	4.3%
Case Management	78	0.4%
Home-Based or Family Preservation	418	1.9%
Individual, Group, Family Counseling	221	1.0%
Shoplifting Programs	529	2.4%
Employment/Vocational	181	0.8%
Academic Improvement Programs	6	0.0%
After School or Extended Day	711	3.2%
Parenting Skills	106	0.5%
Life Skills	141	0.6%
Mentoring	116	0.5%
Law Related Education	565	2.6%
Subst. Abuse Education/Treatment	3,040	13.9%
Sex Offender Education/Treatment	7	0.0%
Recreation and Wilderness	161	0.7%
Substance Abuse Assessment	692	3.2%
Mental Health Assessment	317	1.4%
Sex Offender Assessment	9	0.0%
<b>Accountability</b>	<b>4,755</b>	<b>21.7%</b>
Restitution/Restorative Justice	383	1.7%
Community Service	4,372	20.0%
<b>Group Homes</b>	<b>382</b>	<b>1.7%</b>
Family Oriented Group Homes	6	0.0%
Group Homes	376	1.7%
<b>Individually Purchased Services</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>1.3%</b>
<b>Missing</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>0.2%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>21,910</b>	<b>100%</b>

- *Program Services Provided (FY 2008):*
  - 12,692 juveniles received services in 21,910 program placements for an average of 1.73 placements per juvenile.
  - 80% of FY 2008 juveniles met the statutory criteria for detention.
- *VJCCCA Funding (FY 2008):*
  - These data are preliminary, based on budget appropriations of FY 2008. They do not reflect final actual expenditures as these data were not fully reconciled at the time of printing. It is not anticipated, however, that the actual expenditures will vary significantly from these preliminary budget appropriation figures.
  - The State and Localities were expected to spend a combined total of \$29,392,193 on services.
  - Nearly one-half of funding expended on VJCCCA was state funding.
- *Placements by Status (FY 2008):*
  - Residential program placements typically provide more intensive public safety and/or competency services than non-residential programming; 11% of placements fell into this category in FY 2008. Non-residential program placements accounted for 89%.
  - Almost half of the program placements were post-dispositional.
- *Placements by Type of Service (FY 2008):*
  - 38% of VJCCCA program placements focused on public safety through electronic monitoring, outreach detention, surveillance and intensive supervision, less secure detention, and crisis intervention.
  - Competency services accounted for 38% of program placements.
  - Accountability programs (e.g., community service, restitution, and restorative justice) provided services to 22% of VJCCCA placements.
- *Program Placement Completions (FY 2008) as Reported by VJCCCA Programs:*
  - 83% completed the programs satisfactorily. The specific criteria for satisfactory completion are determined by each program.
  - 12% were unsatisfactory completions.
  - 5% left the programs due to unrelated reasons such as status changes, program closures, or juvenile relocation.

**PLACEMENTS BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2008\***



\*Locality of King George is not included in the tables.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book.




The Office on Youth and Human Services partners with the Department of Public Works on landscaping projects around the city of Colonial Heights. During the recent City Wide Clean-Up, community service youth spread mulch in the Butterfly Garden at Fort Clifton Park.



## Service Areas for Virginia's Juvenile Detention Homes

*Italics indicate that an area is served by more than one detention home.*



**Blue Ridge Juvenile Detention Home** – City of Charlottesville and counties of Albemarle, Culpeper, Fluvanna, and Greene

**Chesapeake Juvenile Services** – Cities of Chesapeake, Franklin, Portsmouth, and Suffolk, and counties of Isle of Wight and Southampton

**Chesterfield Juvenile Detention Home** – City of Colonial Heights and Chesterfield County

**Crater Juvenile Detention Home** – Cities of Hopewell, Emporia, and Petersburg, and counties of Brunswick, Dinwiddie, Greenville, Prince George, Surry, and Sussex

**Fairfax County Juvenile Detention Center** – Fairfax City and County

**Henrico Juvenile Detention Home** – Henrico County

**Highlands Juvenile Detention Center** – Cities of Bristol and Norton and counties of Buchanan, Dickenson, Lee, Russell, Scott, Smyth, Tazewell, Washington, and Wise

**James River Juvenile Detention Center** – Counties of Goochland, Henrico, and Powhatan

**Loudoun County Juvenile Detention Center** – Counties of Fauquier, Loudoun, and Rappahannock

**Lynchburg Regional Juvenile Detention Center** – Cities of Bedford and Lynchburg and counties of Amherst, Appomattox, Bedford, Campbell, Charlotte, and Nelson

**Merrimac Center** – Cities of Poquoson and Williamsburg and counties of Caroline, Charles City, Essex, Gloucester, Hanover, James City, King & Queen, King William, Lancaster, Mathews, Middlesex, New Kent, Northumberland, Richmond, Westmoreland, and York

**New River Valley Juvenile Detention Home** – Cities of Galax and Radford and counties of Bland, Carroll, Floyd, Giles, Grayson, Montgomery, Pulaski, and Wythe

**Newport News Secure Detention** – Cities of Hampton and Newport News

**Norfolk Juvenile Detention Center** – City of Norfolk and counties of Accomack and Northampton

**Northern Virginia Juvenile Detention Home** – Cities of Alexandria and Falls Church and Arlington County

**Northwestern Regional Juvenile Detention Center** – City of Winchester and counties of Clarke, Frederick, Page, Shenandoah, and Warren

**Piedmont Regional Juvenile Detention Center** – Counties of Amelia, Buckingham, Cumberland, Lunenburg, Nottoway, and Prince Edward

**Prince William County Juvenile Detention Home** – Cities of Manassas and Manassas Park and Prince William County

**Rappahannock Juvenile Detention Center** – City of Fredericksburg and counties of King George, Louisa, Madison, Orange, Spotsylvania, and Stafford

**Richmond Juvenile Detention Home** – City of Richmond

**Roanoke Valley Juvenile Detention Center** – Cities of Covington, Richmond, and Salem, and counties of Alleghany, Botetourt, Craig, Franklin, and Roanoke

**Shenandoah Valley Juvenile Center** – Cities of Buena Vista, Harrisonburg, Lexington, Staunton, and Waynesboro, and counties of Augusta, Bath, Highland, Rockbridge, and Rockingham

**Virginia Beach Juvenile Detention Center** – City of Virginia Beach


**W. W. Moore, Jr. Home for Juveniles** – Cities of Danville and Martinsville and counties of Halifax, Henry, Mecklenburg, Patrick, and Pittsylvania

## Statewide Activity for Detention Homes

Total Capacity: 1,420 beds

In FY 2008, there were 24 secure detention facilities throughout the Commonwealth. These community-based, residential facilities provide temporary care for delinquents and alleged delinquents requiring secure custody pending court disposition or placement. Twelve of these facilities are locally operated and 12 are run by commissions (political entities comprised of three or more localities). Culpeper Detention Home, the only state-operated detention facility in the Commonwealth, closed on July 1, 2007; statewide FY 2006-2007 data include Culpeper information. According to the Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Databook, Virginia ranked 8th for the number of detained youth per 100,000 in 2006 among the 38 states with an upper age of jurisdiction of 17.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	16.2%	16.4%	16.8%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	24.5%	21.6%	22.6%
15+ (Secure Detention)	55.9%	58.8%	56.8%
Missing	3.4%	3.2%	3.8%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	54.2%	54.3%	55.0%
White	37.7%	37.3%	36.6%
Other	8.1%	8.4%	8.5%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	5.7%	6.3%	7.1%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	78.0%	78.0%	78.5%
Female	22.0%	22.0%	21.5%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	1.8%	1.8%	1.2%
13	4.9%	4.8%	4.2%
14	12.8%	11.5%	11.1%
15	21.7%	20.9%	20.5%
16	27.8%	27.9%	28.4%
17	30.5%	32.6%	34.3%
18-20	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>17,576</b>	<b>17,698</b>	<b>16,577</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

#### Source of Admissions:

- 47.8%, 34.7%, and 17.6% of admissions were from the Northern, Eastern, and Western regions respectively.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

#### Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 6,001 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 3,433 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 9,434 juveniles and 16,577 detention admissions.

#### Admission Trends:

- Admissions decreased 6% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 6% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.

#### DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 56.8% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
- Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 25.7% had mandatory overrides.

#### Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Probation/Parole Violation (17.5%) or Assault (16.3%)
- Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (22.6%)

#### Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 44.1% of Pre-D; 18.8% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 23.3% of Pre-D; 29.0% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 17.8% of Pre-D; 23.1% of Post-D

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 6% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 5% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

#### Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by a specific detention home.

#### Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 369,141. This is a 4.3% decrease from the previous fiscal year (385,560 CCD).

#### Dispositional Status:

- 12,108 pre-dispositional admissions
- 4,025 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 422 weekend admissions
- 444 post-dispositional admissions with programs


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.00%	0.02%	0.12%	0.00%
Alcohol	0.47%	1.49%	2.76%	1.13%
Arson	1.53%	0.45%	0.42%	0.90%
Assault	18.93%	12.25%	12.00%	11.26%
Burglary	7.14%	3.74%	3.18%	12.84%
Contempt of Court	3.70%	17.54%	21.49%	5.41%
Custody	0.00%	0.06%	0.05%	0.00%
Desertion/Support	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Disorderly Conduct	0.80%	1.26%	2.14%	0.90%
Escapes	0.65%	0.35%	0.07%	0.68%
Extortion	0.58%	0.56%	0.57%	1.35%
Failure to Appear	1.46%	11.53%	1.86%	2.93%
Family Offense	0.01%	0.04%	0.05%	0.00%
Fraud	1.02%	0.72%	0.62%	1.13%
Gangs	1.20%	0.48%	0.40%	0.68%
Kidnapping	0.58%	0.06%	0.05%	0.00%
Larceny	10.24%	9.21%	8.77%	9.46%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.91%	2.89%	0.72%	1.58%
Murder	1.03%	0.25%	0.10%	1.13%
Narcotics	5.01%	5.35%	4.30%	6.53%
Obscenity	0.04%	0.08%	0.20%	0.00%
Obstruction of Justice	1.35%	1.16%	0.99%	1.13%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	17.48%	17.52%	21.74%	30.86%
Robbery	12.54%	2.71%	0.84%	3.60%
Sex Offense	3.77%	1.03%	0.57%	0.45%
Spousal Abuse	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.04%	2.77%	6.29%	0.68%
Telephone Law	0.21%	0.12%	0.05%	0.00%
Traffic	0.74%	1.47%	4.05%	0.45%
Trespass	0.43%	1.09%	1.29%	1.35%
Vandalism	2.50%	2.73%	2.93%	2.03%
Weapons	3.40%	1.07%	1.24%	1.58%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	33.33%	8.04%	5.22%	10.14%
- Weapons/Narcotics	4.90%	2.46%	1.37%	6.76%
- Other	19.60%	12.99%	9.99%	22.52%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	9.99%	10.93%	11.30%	9.91%
- Other	7.98%	20.35%	18.53%	11.49%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	17.55%	18.07%	22.16%	31.53%
Court Order Violation	3.69%	19.19%	23.80%	4.95%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.04%	3.02%	4.55%	0.68%
Others	1.68%	4.96%	2.96%	2.03%
Offense Information Missing	1.25%	0.00%	0.12%	0.00%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>7,267</b>	<b>4,841</b>	<b>4,025</b>	<b>444</b>


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2012



In FY 2002, the Department of Juvenile Justice, in conjunction with the Governor's Inmate Population Forecasting Committee, began producing a projection of local juvenile detention homes' average daily population. The forecast accounts for the population decline from FY 2006 to FY 2008 and projects a continued decrease over the next few years. The most recent forecast predicts that the average daily population in detention homes will decrease 1% (11 juveniles) between FY 2008 and FY 2009. The forecast beyond FY 2008 projects the detention home population will decrease 4% between FY 2008 and FY 2012.

## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008



All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## Blue Ridge Juvenile Detention Home

Superintendent: Charles S. Edwards  
Capacity: 40 beds

195 Peregrory Lane  
Charlottesville, Virginia 22902  
434-951-9340

Owned by: A commission of the city of Charlottesville and counties of Albemarle, Fluvanna, and Greene. Primary users: Members of the commission as well as Culpeper County.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	3.2%	10.3%	7.2%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	12.2%	15.7%	21.6%
15+ (Secure Detention)	77.8%	61.3%	53.2%
Missing	6.9%	12.7%	18.0%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	49.6%	54.1%	57.2%
White	45.5%	39.1%	34.2%
Other	4.9%	6.8%	8.6%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	2.3%	3.1%	4.4%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	79.7%	80.6%	79.6%
Female	20.3%	19.4%	20.4%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	0.8%	0.3%	0.5%
13	2.9%	4.7%	5.2%
14	10.9%	9.2%	12.0%
15	22.3%	18.6%	22.1%
16	28.8%	26.8%	24.6%
17	33.5%	39.9%	35.4%
18-20	0.8%	0.5%	0.2%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>385</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>407</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

#### • Source of Admissions:

- 92.4% of admissions were from the 16th and 11th Districts. See pages 54-55 and 44-45, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

#### • Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 212 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 87 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 299 juveniles and 407 detention admissions.

#### • Admission Trends:

- Admissions increased 6% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 7% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.

#### • DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 53.2% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
- Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 23.4% had mandatory overrides.

#### • Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Assault (22.4%)
- Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (33.9%) or Contempt of Court (32.2%)

#### • Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 46.2% of Pre-D; 13.2% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 20.3% of Pre-D; 15.7% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 18.5% of Pre-D; 33.9% of Post-D

#### • Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 12% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 8% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

#### • Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

#### • Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 8,495. This is a 5.9% decrease from the previous fiscal year (9,030 CCD).

#### • Dispositional Status:

- 286 pre-dispositional admissions
- 112 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 6 weekend admissions
- 9 post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	26.1%	7.1%	5.4%	33.3%
Burglary	6.1%	7.1%	0.9%	11.1%
Contempt of Court	6.5%	28.6%	34.8%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	2.6%	16.1%	4.5%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	5.2%	5.4%	5.4%	33.3%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	17.0%	23.2%	34.8%	22.2%
Robbery	10.9%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	3.5%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.4%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.4%	3.6%	4.5%	0.0%
Trespass	0.9%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	4.3%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Weapons	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	33.0%	3.6%	4.5%	22.2%
- Weapons/Narcotics	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Other	16.1%	14.3%	6.3%	22.2%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	12.2%	8.9%	3.6%	22.2%
- Other	6.5%	17.9%	10.7%	11.1%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	17.0%	25.0%	34.8%	22.2%
Court Order Violation	7.0%	28.6%	34.8%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.4%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Others	0.4%	1.8%	0.9%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	3.5%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>9</b>


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008



## Chesapeake Juvenile Services


Superintendent: Sam Taylor  
Capacity: 100 beds

420 Albemarle Drive  
Chesapeake, Virginia 23320  
757-382-6364

Formerly the Tidewater Detention Home

Owned by: The city of Chesapeake. Primary users: Cities of Chesapeake, Franklin, Portsmouth, and Suffolk, and counties of Isle of Wight and Southampton.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	9.8%	9.1%	9.2%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	19.9%	13.8%	15.9%
15+ (Secure Detention)	68.9%	75.4%	71.0%
Missing	1.4%	1.6%	4.0%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	72.1%	71.2%	71.1%
White	25.5%	26.1%	26.7%
Other	2.4%	2.7%	2.2%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	0.8%	0.6%	1.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	78.1%	78.4%	80.2%
Female	21.9%	21.6%	19.8%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	2.7%	1.9%	1.8%
13	5.6%	4.8%	3.7%
14	13.5%	11.1%	8.3%
15	22.3%	23.2%	18.2%
16	25.4%	27.0%	30.5%
17	30.3%	31.7%	37.4%
18-20	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Error/Missing	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>1,059</b>	<b>964</b>	<b>834</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

#### • Source of Admissions:

- 92.6% of admissions were from the 1st, 3rd, and 5th Districts. See pages 22-23, 28-29, and 32-33, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

#### • Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 462 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 158 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 620 juveniles and 834 detention admissions.

#### • Admission Trends:

- Admissions decreased 21% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 13% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.

#### • DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 71.0% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
- Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 12.9% had mandatory overrides.

#### • Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Assault (21.0%)
- Post-D - Contempt of Court (28.8%)

#### • Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 54.0% of Pre-D; 19.8% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 18.1% of Pre-D; 32.2% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 13.5% of Pre-D; 14.7% of Post-D

#### • Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 13% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 5% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

#### • Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

#### • Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 22,067. This is a 4.7% decrease from the previous fiscal year (23,155 CCD).

#### • Dispositional Status:

- 657 pre-dispositional admissions
- 163 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 4 weekend admissions
- 14 post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.7%	0.8%	2.5%	0.0%
Arson	0.7%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	21.2%	20.6%	19.6%	21.4%
Burglary	11.9%	7.6%	6.1%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	2.4%	24.4%	30.1%	14.3%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	1.3%	1.8%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	8.4%	13.9%	8.6%	21.4%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	4.8%	3.8%	3.7%	7.1%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	2.1%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	10.7%	18.9%	14.7%	14.3%
Robbery	14.6%	0.4%	0.6%	21.4%
Sex Offense	5.7%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	1.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.2%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.8%	1.2%	0.0%
Vandalism	2.4%	0.8%	3.7%	0.0%
Weapons	6.7%	0.8%	1.8%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	43.0%	6.3%	4.9%	21.4%
- Weapons/Narcotics	6.4%	1.7%	0.0%	14.3%
- Other	21.2%	16.8%	12.3%	14.3%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	8.1%	16.8%	17.2%	14.3%
- Other	4.1%	11.8%	16.0%	7.1%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	10.7%	18.5%	14.7%	14.3%
Court Order Violation	2.4%	24.8%	30.7%	14.3%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	1.7%	1.8%	0.0%
Others	0.2%	1.7%	2.5%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>419</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>14</b>


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008




## Chesterfield Juvenile Detention Home

Director: D. Joe Campbell  
Capacity: 90 beds

9700 Krause Road  
Chesterfield, Virginia 23832  
804-748-1460

Owned by: The county of Chesterfield. Primary users: City of Colonial Heights and Chesterfield County.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	12.2%	30.9%	28.9%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	30.1%	21.2%	22.2%
15+ (Secure Detention)	52.1%	45.0%	48.2%
Missing	5.7%	2.9%	0.6%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	48.1%	52.2%	48.1%
White	47.7%	44.5%	47.7%
Other	4.2%	3.3%	4.2%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	1.2%	1.6%	2.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	79.3%	77.2%	80.7%
Female	20.7%	22.8%	19.3%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	1.7%	0.3%	0.9%
13	4.4%	2.6%	2.2%
14	13.9%	8.2%	7.9%
15	22.1%	20.6%	15.8%
16	24.0%	29.9%	28.6%
17	33.4%	37.8%	43.6%
18-20	0.2%	0.4%	0.9%
Error/Missing	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>932</b>	<b>728</b>	<b>784</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- *Source of Admissions:*
  - 99.7% of admissions were from the 12th District. See pages 46-47 for data pertaining to this CSU.
  - Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.
- *Juveniles Admitted to Detention:*
  - 347 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 145 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 492 juveniles and 784 detention admissions.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Admissions decreased 16% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and increased 8% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- *DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):*
  - Of the juveniles who were detained, 48.2% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
  - Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 81.1% had mandatory overrides.
- *Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Pre-D - Contempt of Court (15.2%) or Assault (14.0%)
  - Post-D - Contempt of Court (18.5%) or Larceny (17.8%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Felony - 43.3% of Pre-D; 26.9% of Post-D
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 27.3% of Pre-D; 37.0% of Post-D
  - Probation/Parole Violation - 11.7% of Pre-D; 14.1% of Post-D
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - ADP decreased 16% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and increased 14% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
  - ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- *Average Length of Stay (LOS):*
  - In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.
- *Child Care Days (CCD):*
  - Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 17,769. This is a 12.8% increase from the previous fiscal year (15,758 CCD).
- *Dispositional Status:*
  - 487 pre-dispositional admissions
  - 283 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 22 weekend admissions
  - 14 post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	6.3%	1.1%	7.1%
Arson	0.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	15.7%	9.7%	7.8%	7.1%
Burglary	8.7%	6.3%	6.7%	21.4%
Contempt of Court	14.3%	17.4%	18.7%	14.3%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	0.0%
Escapes	0.3%	1.4%	0.4%	7.1%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	14.9%	4.9%	3.2%	7.1%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.9%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Larceny	7.6%	10.4%	18.4%	7.1%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	0.9%	14.6%	6.7%	7.1%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.2%	4.2%	2.5%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	11.4%	12.5%	14.1%	7.1%
Robbery	9.6%	1.4%	1.8%	7.1%
Sex Offense	3.8%	1.4%	0.4%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	0.7%	0.4%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.9%	2.1%	10.2%	7.1%
Trespass	0.0%	0.7%	2.1%	0.0%
Vandalism	0.3%	3.5%	2.5%	0.0%
Weapons	6.7%	0.7%	1.1%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	28.3%	6.9%	5.3%	21.4%
- Weapons/Narcotics	3.2%	1.4%	0.0%	7.1%
- Other	19.8%	16.0%	20.1%	28.6%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	9.3%	6.9%	6.7%	7.1%
- Other	12.5%	33.3%	31.1%	14.3%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	11.4%	12.5%	14.5%	7.1%
Court Order Violation	14.6%	17.4%	18.4%	14.3%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%
Others	0.6%	4.9%	3.2%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>14</b>


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008




## Crater Juvenile Detention Home

Superintendent: Jack Scott  
Capacity: 22 beds

6102 County Drive  
Disputanta, Virginia 23842  
804-732-3803

Owned by: A commission of the cities of Emporia, Hopewell, and Petersburg, and counties of Dinwiddie, Prince George, Surry, and Sussex. Primary users: Members of the commission as well as the counties of Brunswick and Greensville.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	7.3%	6.8%	7.0%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	16.8%	10.2%	15.3%
15+ (Secure Detention)	75.2%	81.4%	77.7%
Missing	0.7%	1.5%	0.0%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	80.3%	82.5%	77.2%
White	18.9%	16.4%	19.0%
Other	0.8%	1.1%	3.7%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	0.4%	0.7%	1.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	85.7%	81.8%	84.1%
Female	14.3%	18.2%	15.9%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	1.0%	1.4%	0.8%
13	5.6%	3.2%	3.1%
14	13.5%	7.8%	12.4%
15	20.7%	21.9%	20.1%
16	25.7%	29.2%	32.1%
17	33.5%	36.6%	31.5%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>502</b>	<b>566</b>	<b>483</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- *Source of Admissions:*
  - 99.6% of admissions were from the 11th and 6th Districts. See pages 44-45 and 34-35, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
  - Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.
- *Juveniles Admitted to Detention:*
  - 147 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 116 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 263 juveniles and 483 detention admissions.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Admissions decreased 4% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 15% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- *DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):*
  - Of the juveniles who were detained, 77.7% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
  - Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 6.0% had mandatory overrides.
- *Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Pre-D - Assault (19.5%)
  - Post-D - Larceny (28.6%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Felony - 60.0% of Pre-D; 42.9% of Post-D
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 21.2% of Pre-D; 19.0% of Post-D
  - Probation/Parole Violation - 7.8% of Pre-D; 14.3% of Post-D
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - ADP decreased 22% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 5% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
  - ADP has equaled or exceeded capacity for 2 of the last 3 fiscal years.
- *Average Length of Stay (LOS):*
  - In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.
- *Child Care Days (CCD):*
  - Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 7,788. This is a 2.0% decrease from the previous fiscal year (7,950 CCD).
- *Dispositional Status:*
  - 462 pre-dispositional admissions
  - 21 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 5 weekend admissions
  - This detention home does not offer post-dispositional programs.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	1.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	20.3%	17.9%	4.8%	0.0%
Burglary	10.0%	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	0.7%	21.0%	9.5%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%
Fraud	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	17.0%	17.9%	28.6%	0.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	3.3%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	5.3%	11.7%	14.3%	0.0%
Robbery	18.7%	1.9%	14.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense	4.7%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	6.2%	9.5%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.0%	1.2%	9.5%	0.0%
Trespass	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	7.3%	1.9%	4.8%	0.0%
Weapons	4.3%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	44.7%	6.2%	19.0%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	5.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Other	29.3%	17.9%	23.8%	0.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	10.0%	19.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Other	4.3%	14.8%	19.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	5.3%	12.3%	14.3%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	0.7%	21.6%	9.5%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	6.2%	14.3%	0.0%
Others	0.7%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008




## Fairfax County Juvenile Detention Center

Superintendent: George Corbin  
Capacity: 99 beds

10650 Page Avenue  
Fairfax, Virginia 22030  
703-246-2844

Owned by: Fairfax County. Primary users: Fairfax City and County.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	25.8%	22.4%	26.6%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	32.3%	27.5%	24.3%
15+ (Secure Detention)	37.5%	43.6%	41.9%
Missing	4.3%	6.5%	7.1%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	36.0%	39.7%	34.8%
White	34.6%	32.8%	38.0%
Other	29.4%	27.5%	27.2%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	24.2%	22.0%	25.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	78.4%	78.0%	79.7%
Female	21.6%	22.0%	20.3%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	0.5%	1.3%	1.0%
13	5.2%	5.7%	3.6%
14	13.3%	10.7%	11.5%
15	21.0%	19.6%	20.8%
16	28.0%	27.7%	29.7%
17	31.7%	34.4%	33.2%
18-20	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>1,221</b>	<b>1,070</b>	<b>1,057</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- *Source of Admissions:*
  - 99.4% of admissions were from the 19th District. See pages 62-63 for data pertaining to this CSU.
  - Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.
- *Juveniles Admitted to Detention:*
  - 455 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 222 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 677 juveniles and 1,057 detention admissions.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Admissions decreased 13% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 1% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- *DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):*
  - Of the juveniles who were detained, 41.9% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
  - Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 29.8% had mandatory overrides.
- *Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Pre-D - Probation/Parole Violation (30.5%)
  - Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (27.1%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Felony - 37.5% of Pre-D; 50.8% of Post-D
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 17.6% of Pre-D; 10.2% of Post-D
  - Probation/Parole Violation - 30.5% of Pre-D; 27.1% of Post-D
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - ADP decreased 2% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 1% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
  - ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- *Average Length of Stay (LOS):*
  - In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.
- *Child Care Days (CCD):*
  - Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 29,248. This is a 0.6% decrease from the previous fiscal year (29,414 CCD).
- *Dispositional Status:*
  - 998 pre-dispositional admissions
  - 33 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 18 weekend admissions
  - 26 post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	1.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%
Assault	17.5%	4.3%	9.1%	11.5%
Burglary	3.2%	1.2%	3.0%	7.7%
Contempt of Court	1.6%	26.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.7%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	9.8%	3.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.2%	0.8%	3.0%	0.0%
Gangs	2.3%	0.4%	3.0%	7.7%
Kidnapping	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	11.6%	8.3%	12.1%	11.5%
Miscellaneous/Other	3.8%	7.5%	0.0%	11.5%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	2.4%	3.5%	6.1%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	32.5%	24.4%	36.4%	15.4%
Robbery	9.4%	0.8%	3.0%	7.7%
Sex Offense	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	3.8%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.5%	5.1%	3.0%	3.8%
Trespass	0.8%	1.2%	0.0%	3.8%
Vandalism	3.2%	2.0%	15.2%	7.7%
Weapons	1.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	25.8%	2.8%	18.2%	26.9%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.6%	1.6%	6.1%	0.0%
- Other	18.5%	8.3%	21.2%	30.8%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	9.3%	5.1%	6.1%	0.0%
- Other	7.0%	16.5%	3.0%	11.5%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	32.5%	24.4%	36.4%	15.4%
Court Order Violation	1.6%	29.9%	6.1%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	3.8%
Others	3.6%	10.6%	3.0%	11.5%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>744</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>26</b>


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008




## Henrico Juvenile Detention Home

Superintendent: Michael D. Bingham  
Capacity: 20 beds

4201 East Parham Road  
Richmond, Virginia 23273  
804-501-4329

Owned and primarily used by Henrico County.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	12.0%	13.7%	13.8%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	19.4%	19.3%	20.7%
15+ (Secure Detention)	68.4%	66.9%	65.5%
Missing	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	74.0%	73.1%	70.8%
White	22.1%	22.8%	24.1%
Other	4.0%	4.2%	5.2%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	2.6%	2.4%	4.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	79.7%	78.7%	75.9%
Female	20.3%	21.3%	24.1%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	2.7%	1.7%	1.0%
13	5.7%	5.5%	3.6%
14	15.8%	13.5%	12.7%
15	20.6%	19.3%	19.9%
16	27.5%	28.9%	27.7%
17	27.3%	30.6%	34.7%
18-20	0.2%	0.5%	0.4%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>1,314</b>	<b>1,686</b>	<b>1,871</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

#### • Source of Admissions:

- 100.0% of admissions were from the 14th District. See pages 50-51 for data pertaining to this CSU.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

#### • Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 352 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 444 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 796 juveniles and 1,871 detention admissions.

#### • Admission Trends:

- Admissions increased 42% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 11% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.

#### • DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 65.5% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
- Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 37.4% had mandatory overrides.

#### • Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Probation/Parole Violation (23.0%)
- Post-D - Contempt of Court (22.1%)

#### • Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 37.3% of Pre-D; 12.3% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 26.8% of Pre-D; 37.6% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 23.4% of Pre-D; 20.5% of Post-D

#### • Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP increased 4% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 22% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- ADP has consistently exceeded capacity.

#### • Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

#### • Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 10,151. This is a 18.4% increase from the previous fiscal year (8,572 CCD).

#### • Dispositional Status:

- 1,350 pre-dispositional admissions
- 521 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 76 weekend admissions
- This detention home does not offer post-dispositional programs.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008


Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	1.0%	3.6%	0.0%
Arson	0.9%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	19.0%	14.0%	15.2%	0.0%
Burglary	6.4%	2.7%	2.1%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	0.2%	13.1%	22.1%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.4%	2.6%	4.4%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.7%	0.9%	0.8%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	13.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%	0.0%
Gangs	1.9%	1.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	11.5%	9.3%	10.0%	0.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%
Murder	1.9%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Narcotics	3.5%	5.4%	5.0%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.2%	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	25.1%	21.5%	20.0%	0.0%
Robbery	16.0%	2.6%	0.2%	0.0%
Sex Offense	1.7%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	1.8%	3.3%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	1.4%	1.3%	2.9%	0.0%
Trespass	0.9%	2.3%	3.6%	0.0%
Vandalism	1.2%	4.0%	2.7%	0.0%
Weapons	3.5%	0.4%	1.0%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	37.6%	7.0%	3.1%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	3.1%	1.9%	1.5%	0.0%
- Other	19.5%	11.3%	7.7%	0.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	6.8%	12.9%	14.4%	0.0%
- Other	7.7%	23.1%	23.2%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	25.1%	22.2%	20.5%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	0.2%	15.5%	22.6%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	2.2%	3.3%	0.0%
Others	0.0%	4.0%	3.6%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	574	776	521	0

1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008



All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## Highlands Juvenile Detention Center

Executive Director: Tim Dotson  
Capacity: 35 beds

2105 Shakesville Road  
Bristol, Virginia 24203  
276-466-7800

Owned by: A commission of the cities of Bristol and Norton and counties of Buchanan, Dickenson, Lee, Russell, Scott, Smyth, Tazewell, Washington, and Wise. Primary users: Members of the commission.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	20.4%	16.3%	22.0%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	23.6%	22.5%	18.4%
15+ (Secure Detention)	53.5%	58.4%	56.7%
Missing	2.5%	2.8%	2.8%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	8.7%	6.9%	7.2%
White	90.1%	92.7%	90.9%
Other	1.1%	0.4%	1.9%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	0.2%	0.0%	1.4%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	73.8%	74.9%	79.9%
Female	26.2%	25.1%	20.1%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	2.4%	1.5%	0.7%
13	5.8%	4.9%	4.6%
14	11.7%	15.5%	11.3%
15	21.9%	21.3%	24.0%
16	29.7%	28.1%	26.4%
17	28.4%	28.4%	33.1%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>538</b>	<b>549</b>	<b>417</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

#### • Source of Admissions:

- 95.9% of admissions were from the 30th, 29th, and 28th Districts. See pages 88-89, 86-87, and 84-85, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

#### • Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 216 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 85 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 301 juveniles and 417 detention admissions.

#### • Admission Trends:

- Admissions decreased 22% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 24% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.

#### • DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 56.7% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
- Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 1.8% had mandatory overrides.

#### • Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Assault (22.5%)
- Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (27.0%) or Status Offense (27.0%)

#### • Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 34.1% of Pre-D; 13.5% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 25.0% of Pre-D; 21.3% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 18.8% of Pre-D; 27.7% of Post-D

#### • Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 17% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 25% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

#### • Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

#### • Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 8,685. This is a 24.8% decrease from the previous fiscal year (11,545 CCD).

#### • Dispositional Status:

- 276 pre-dispositional admissions
- 141 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 19 weekend admissions
- This detention home does not offer post-dispositional programs.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008


Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.7%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%
Arson	0.7%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Assault	32.4%	11.9%	9.9%	0.0%
Burglary	9.2%	3.7%	3.5%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	11.3%	12.7%	6.4%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	7.0%	2.2%	3.5%	0.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	3.5%	7.5%	0.7%	0.0%
Murder	0.7%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	0.0%	4.5%	7.8%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	14.1%	22.4%	27.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	4.2%	3.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	20.1%	27.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.0%	0.7%	2.1%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Vandalism	3.5%	3.7%	2.8%	0.0%
Weapons	1.4%	1.5%	1.4%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	27.5%	5.2%	5.0%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.7%	3.0%	2.8%	0.0%
- Other	17.6%	13.4%	5.7%	0.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	20.4%	14.2%	9.9%	0.0%
- Other	6.3%	9.0%	11.3%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	15.5%	22.4%	27.7%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	9.9%	12.7%	6.4%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	20.1%	27.7%	0.0%
Others	1.4%	0.0%	3.5%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>0</b>

1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008



All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## James River Juvenile Detention Center

Superintendent: Patricia Carrington  
Capacity: 60 beds

3650 Beaumont Road  
Powhatan, VA 23139  
804-556-8121

Owned by: A commission of the counties of Goochland, Henrico, and Powhatan. Primary users: Members of the commission.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	6.2%	10.3%	8.7%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	15.7%	15.7%	17.1%
15+ (Secure Detention)	76.4%	73.7%	73.4%
Missing	1.7%	0.3%	0.7%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	70.3%	76.0%	69.3%
White	25.1%	20.7%	25.0%
Other	4.6%	3.3%	5.7%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	3.6%	1.4%	4.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	83.4%	85.8%	85.6%
Female	16.6%	14.2%	14.4%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	1.7%	1.4%	0.1%
13	3.6%	4.3%	2.3%
14	14.5%	14.2%	11.8%
15	21.9%	19.5%	19.3%
16	29.7%	30.2%	28.2%
17	28.4%	30.0%	37.5%
18-20	0.2%	0.4%	0.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>525</b>	<b>784</b>	<b>857</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- *Source of Admissions:*
  - 95.3% of admissions were from the 14th District. See pages 50-51 for data pertaining to this CSU.
  - Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.
- *Juveniles Admitted to Detention:*
  - 183 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 209 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 392 juveniles and 857 detention admissions.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Admissions increased 63% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 9% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- *DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):*
  - Of the juveniles who were detained, 73.4% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
  - Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 35.1% had mandatory overrides.
- *Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Pre-D - Probation/Parole Violation (20.9%)
  - Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (30.6%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Felony - 51.0% of Pre-D; 22.2% of Post-D
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 20.6% of Pre-D; 32.4% of Post-D
  - Probation/Parole Violation - 21.3% of Pre-D; 31.0% of Post-D
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - ADP increased 7% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and remained steady between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
  - ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- *Average Length of Stay (LOS):*
  - In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.
- *Child Care Days (CCD):*
  - Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 21,256. This is a 0.6% increase from the previous fiscal year (21,138 CCD).
- *Dispositional Status:*
  - 573 pre-dispositional admissions
  - 156 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 1 weekend admission
  - 128 post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	0.7%	4.5%	2.3%
Arson	0.0%	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Assault	14.3%	18.1%	12.8%	18.8%
Burglary	5.2%	3.8%	1.9%	8.6%
Contempt of Court	0.3%	6.3%	13.5%	1.6%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.0%	1.4%	3.2%	2.3%
Escapes	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Extortion	0.3%	1.0%	2.6%	2.3%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	4.9%	0.6%	2.3%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.7%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	3.1%	2.1%	0.6%	0.8%
Kidnapping	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	10.1%	9.4%	9.6%	5.5%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	3.1%	0.3%	0.0%	3.9%
Narcotics	3.1%	6.6%	4.5%	9.4%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.0%	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	16.8%	25.1%	27.6%	34.4%
Robbery	29.7%	5.6%	1.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense	2.8%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	1.0%	5.8%	0.8%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	1.4%	0.7%	1.3%	0.0%
Trespass	1.0%	3.1%	1.9%	2.3%
Vandalism	1.0%	6.3%	2.6%	3.1%
Weapons	3.1%	0.3%	1.9%	1.6%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	52.4%	11.1%	5.1%	6.3%
- Weapons/Narcotics	3.1%	3.1%	1.9%	10.2%
- Other	17.8%	14.3%	7.7%	14.8%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	2.1%	17.1%	12.8%	19.5%
- Other	7.3%	14.6%	21.8%	10.2%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	16.8%	25.8%	28.2%	34.4%
Court Order Violation	0.3%	6.6%	19.2%	1.6%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.8%
Others	0.0%	5.6%	3.2%	2.3%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>128</b>


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008




## Loudoun County Juvenile Detention Center

Superintendent: Michelle Smith  
Capacity: 24 beds

42020 Loudoun Center Place  
Leesburg, Virginia 20175  
703-771-5200

Owned by: Loudoun County. Primary users: Counties of Fauquier, Loudoun, and Rappahannock.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	22.5%	21.9%	26.8%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	28.8%	34.8%	34.1%
15+ (Secure Detention)	28.5%	36.2%	32.9%
Missing	20.2%	7.1%	6.1%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	20.4%	22.3%	19.9%
White	55.7%	54.8%	59.6%
Other	23.9%	22.8%	20.5%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	17.9%	17.9%	22.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	73.4%	73.7%	78.0%
Female	26.6%	26.3%	22.0%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	1.4%	4.2%	2.1%
13	2.4%	5.0%	2.8%
14	7.6%	6.5%	9.2%
15	22.3%	18.9%	18.0%
16	31.8%	25.8%	30.3%
17	34.5%	39.7%	37.6%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>368</b>	<b>403</b>	<b>327</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- *Source of Admissions:*
  - 97.2% of admissions were from the 20L and 20W Districts. See pages 64-65 and 66-67, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
  - Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.
- *Juveniles Admitted to Detention:*
  - 169 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 64 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 233 juveniles and 327 detention admissions.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Admissions decreased 11% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 19% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- *DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):*
  - Of the juveniles who were detained, 32.9% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
  - Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 0.7% had mandatory overrides.
- *Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Pre-D - Probation/Parole Violation (28.1%)
  - Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (28.3%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Felony - 28.5% of Pre-D; 23.3% of Post-D
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 24.0% of Pre-D; 31.7% of Post-D
  - Probation/Parole Violation - 28.5% of Pre-D; 28.3% of Post-D
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - ADP decreased 19% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 29% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
  - ADP has been below capacity for 2 of the last 3 fiscal years.
- *Average Length of Stay (LOS):*
  - In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.
- *Child Care Days (CCD):*
  - Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 6,227. This is a 28.0% decrease from the previous fiscal year (8,648 CCD).
- *Dispositional Status:*
  - 267 pre-dispositional admissions
  - 53 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 20 weekend admissions
  - 7 post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.4%	12.5%	3.8%	0.0%
Arson	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	16.3%	12.5%	15.1%	0.0%
Burglary	2.4%	0.0%	3.8%	14.3%
Contempt of Court	15.9%	18.8%	11.3%	14.3%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.2%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	13.1%	12.5%	7.5%	0.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.8%	6.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Narcotics	1.2%	0.0%	7.5%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.6%	0.0%	1.9%	14.3%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	29.5%	6.3%	24.5%	57.1%
Robbery	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	3.6%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.4%	12.5%	15.1%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Vandalism	3.2%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Weapons	0.8%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	13.1%	6.3%	11.3%	14.3%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Other	15.1%	12.5%	11.3%	14.3%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	11.2%	12.5%	11.3%	0.0%
- Other	11.2%	37.5%	24.5%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	29.9%	6.3%	24.5%	57.1%
Court Order Violation	15.9%	18.8%	11.3%	14.3%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Others	0.4%	6.3%	3.8%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	2.4%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>7</b>


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008




## Lynchburg Regional Juvenile Detention Center

Superintendent: Frank T. Currier  
Capacity: 48 beds

1400 Florida Avenue  
Lynchburg, Virginia 24501  
434-455-7878

Owned by: The city of Lynchburg. Primary users: Cities of Bedford and Lynchburg and counties of Amherst, Appomattox, Bedford, Campbell, Charlotte, and Nelson.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	13.5%	18.0%	14.1%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	25.1%	21.3%	26.6%
15+ (Secure Detention)	58.7%	60.3%	56.3%
Missing	2.7%	0.4%	3.1%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	50.4%	53.4%	52.7%
White	46.3%	45.2%	45.1%
Other	3.3%	1.4%	2.2%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	0.4%	0.3%	1.1%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	78.8%	73.3%	82.0%
Female	21.2%	26.7%	18.0%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	1.0%	2.1%	0.4%
13	5.7%	5.0%	4.6%
14	11.4%	12.8%	9.0%
15	20.4%	21.3%	17.8%
16	25.5%	26.3%	31.2%
17	35.9%	32.4%	36.7%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>577</b>	<b>455</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- *Source of Admissions:*
  - 99.3% of admissions were from the 24th and 10th Districts. See pages 76-77 and 42-43, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
  - Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.
- *Juveniles Admitted to Detention:*
  - 282 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 70 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 352 juveniles and 455 detention admissions.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Admissions decreased 11% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 21% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- *DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):*
  - Of the juveniles who were detained, 56.3% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
  - Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 6.4% had mandatory overrides.
- *Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Pre-D - Assault (17.9%)
  - Post-D - Contempt of Court (32.0%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Felony - 44.3% of Pre-D; 17.7% of Post-D
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 26.4% of Pre-D; 26.9% of Post-D
  - Probation/Parole Violation - 11.8% of Pre-D; 15.4% of Post-D
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - ADP increased 10% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and remained steady between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
  - ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- *Average Length of Stay (LOS):*
  - In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.
- *Child Care Days (CCD):*
  - Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 11,553. This is a 2.1% decrease from the previous fiscal year (11,805 CCD).
- *Dispositional Status:*
  - 280 pre-dispositional admissions
  - 155 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 12 weekend admissions
  - 20 post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	0.0%
Arson	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	18.8%	15.9%	9.0%	0.0%
Burglary	8.9%	2.3%	2.6%	20.0%
Contempt of Court	5.2%	26.1%	35.5%	5.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.5%	2.3%	3.2%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	14.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.0%	1.1%	1.3%	5.0%
Gangs	2.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	8.3%	10.2%	8.4%	15.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	8.3%	3.4%	3.9%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.5%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	13.0%	9.1%	13.5%	25.0%
Robbery	6.8%	1.1%	0.0%	5.0%
Sex Offense	9.9%	1.1%	0.0%	5.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	4.5%	3.2%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.5%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Traffic	2.1%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%
Trespass	1.0%	0.0%	0.6%	5.0%
Vandalism	5.7%	4.5%	4.5%	5.0%
Weapons	4.2%	2.3%	1.3%	10.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	30.7%	6.8%	2.6%	15.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	6.8%	2.3%	0.6%	0.0%
- Other	18.8%	9.1%	10.3%	35.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	16.7%	13.6%	8.4%	0.0%
- Other	8.9%	14.8%	19.4%	20.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	13.0%	9.1%	14.2%	25.0%
Court Order Violation	5.2%	38.6%	36.1%	5.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	4.5%	3.2%	0.0%
Others	0.0%	1.1%	5.2%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	192	88	155	20


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008




## Merrimac Center

Superintendent: Janet Weaver  
Capacity: 48 beds

9300 Merrimac Trail  
Williamsburg, Virginia 23185  
757-887-0225

Owned by: A commission of the cities of Poquoson and Williamsburg and counties of Caroline, Charles City, Essex, Gloucester, Hanover, James City, King & Queen, King William, Lancaster, Mathews, Middlesex, New Kent, Northumberland, Richmond, Westmoreland, and York. Primary users: Members of the commission.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	23.4%	26.1%	20.8%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	30.2%	31.0%	33.0%
15+ (Secure Detention)	44.5%	38.4%	44.4%
Missing	1.9%	4.5%	1.7%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	39.2%	34.7%	36.9%
White	57.7%	63.2%	58.9%
Other	3.1%	2.1%	4.3%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	1.3%	1.7%	1.9%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	75.8%	77.9%	77.5%
Female	24.2%	22.1%	22.5%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	1.6%	1.3%	1.0%
13	4.0%	5.4%	2.2%
14	12.5%	10.0%	10.4%
15	20.1%	22.3%	21.4%
16	25.5%	26.9%	29.5%
17	36.2%	33.7%	35.4%
18-20	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>752</b>	<b>698</b>	<b>681</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- *Source of Admissions:*
  - 99.4% of admissions were from the 9th and 15th Districts. See pages 40-41 and 52-53, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
  - Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.
- *Juveniles Admitted to Detention:*
  - 290 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 146 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 436 juveniles and 681 detention admissions.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Admissions decreased 9% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 2% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- *DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):*
  - Of the juveniles who were detained, 44.4% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
  - Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 45.5% had mandatory overrides.
- *Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Pre-D - Assault (20.6%)
  - Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (20.5%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Felony - 47.5% of Pre-D; 22.6% of Post-D
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 24.9% of Pre-D; 33.5% of Post-D
  - Probation/Parole Violation - 16.5% of Pre-D; 21.3% of Post-D
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - ADP increased 7% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 7% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
  - ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- *Average Length of Stay (LOS):*
  - In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.
- *Child Care Days (CCD):*
  - Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 16,069. This is a 8.3% increase from the previous fiscal year (14,840 CCD).
- *Dispositional Status:*
  - 442 pre-dispositional admissions
  - 221 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 14 weekend admissions
  - 18 post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	1.4%	2.2%	5.0%	0.0%
Arson	4.3%	2.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Assault	20.8%	19.8%	16.7%	5.6%
Burglary	10.3%	7.7%	5.0%	11.1%
Contempt of Court	6.0%	19.8%	19.5%	5.6%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.3%	1.1%	3.2%	0.0%
Escapes	0.3%	1.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Extortion	0.3%	1.1%	2.3%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.6%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.4%	1.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	8.8%	4.4%	11.8%	11.1%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.4%	1.1%	1.4%	0.0%
Murder	1.4%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	8.5%	4.4%	7.7%	5.6%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	2.0%	1.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	15.7%	19.8%	17.2%	61.1%
Robbery	6.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	2.8%	1.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.3%	2.2%	2.3%	0.0%
Telephone Law	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.9%	1.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Trespass	0.3%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Vandalism	2.3%	3.3%	3.2%	0.0%
Weapons	2.3%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	27.1%	7.7%	6.8%	5.6%
- Weapons/Narcotics	5.7%	0.0%	3.2%	5.6%
- Other	21.9%	12.1%	12.7%	11.1%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	14.2%	19.8%	16.3%	5.6%
- Other	8.3%	14.3%	19.0%	5.6%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	15.7%	19.8%	18.1%	61.1%
Court Order Violation	6.0%	20.9%	20.8%	5.6%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.3%	2.2%	2.3%	0.0%
Others	0.9%	3.3%	0.9%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	351	91	221	18


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008




## New River Valley Juvenile Detention Home

Superintendent: Colleen French  
Capacity: 24 beds

650 Wades Lane, N.W.  
Christiansburg, Virginia 24073  
540-381-0097

Owned by: A commission of the city of Radford and counties of Giles, Montgomery, and Pulaski. Primary users: Members of the commission as well as the city of Galax and counties of Bland, Carroll, Floyd, Grayson, and Wythe.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	25.5%	17.9%	20.7%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	27.6%	17.9%	22.8%
15+ (Secure Detention)	42.8%	61.1%	54.3%
Missing	4.1%	3.2%	2.2%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	20.3%	19.5%	19.1%
White	78.4%	77.0%	75.7%
Other	1.3%	3.5%	5.2%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	0.8%	2.8%	3.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	73.9%	75.2%	83.7%
Female	26.1%	24.8%	16.3%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	2.1%	1.1%	0.3%
13	4.2%	5.7%	4.2%
14	9.2%	6.0%	7.3%
15	24.8%	14.5%	19.1%
16	30.1%	29.4%	24.3%
17	29.3%	39.0%	44.4%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.3%	4.3%	0.3%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>379</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>288</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

#### • Source of Admissions:

- 94.8% of admissions were from the 27th District. See pages 82-83 for data pertaining to this CSU.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

#### • Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 125 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 68 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 193 juveniles and 288 detention admissions.

#### • Admission Trends:

- Admissions decreased 24% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and increased 2% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.

#### • DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 54.3% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
- Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 0.0% had mandatory overrides.

#### • Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Assault (22.1%)
- Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (28.7%)

#### • Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 47.6% of Pre-D; 25.9% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 31.0% of Pre-D; 21.7% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 9.0% of Pre-D; 29.4% of Post-D

#### • Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 10% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 14% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

#### • Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

#### • Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 7,104. This is a 9.6% decrease from the previous fiscal year (7,855 CCD).

#### • Dispositional Status:

- 145 pre-dispositional admissions
- 123 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 17 weekend admissions
- 20 post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	2.2%	0.0%	4.9%	5.0%
Arson	1.1%	1.9%	0.8%	0.0%
Assault	27.2%	13.2%	9.8%	25.0%
Burglary	16.3%	1.9%	6.5%	20.0%
Contempt of Court	3.3%	17.0%	18.7%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	3.8%	0.8%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	2.2%	1.9%	0.0%	5.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	30.2%	1.6%	5.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.1%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Gangs	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	5.4%	3.8%	5.7%	15.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	3.3%	1.9%	0.8%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	5.4%	0.0%	2.4%	10.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	4.3%	1.9%	1.6%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	4.3%	17.0%	31.7%	10.0%
Robbery	6.5%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	2.2%	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	5.4%	1.9%	1.6%	5.0%
Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	32.6%	9.4%	8.9%	20.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%
- Other	28.3%	7.5%	11.4%	25.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	20.7%	11.3%	10.6%	10.0%
- Other	5.4%	28.3%	9.8%	20.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	4.3%	17.0%	32.5%	10.0%
Court Order Violation	3.3%	24.5%	20.3%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%
Others	1.1%	1.9%	2.4%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	92	53	123	20


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008




## Newport News Secure Detention

Superintendent: Sheena Christian-Howard  
Capacity: 110 beds

350 25th Street  
Newport News, Virginia 23607  
757-926-1600

Owned by: The city of Newport News. Primary users: The cities of Hampton and Newport News.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	6.9%	6.9%	5.4%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	18.3%	14.9%	16.3%
15+ (Secure Detention)	72.4%	74.0%	75.3%
Missing	2.4%	4.2%	3.0%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	82.8%	80.5%	79.7%
White	14.8%	16.8%	15.7%
Other	2.4%	2.8%	4.6%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	2.0%	1.9%	2.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	80.0%	82.9%	76.7%
Female	20.0%	17.1%	23.3%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	2.3%	2.9%	1.9%
13	4.7%	4.7%	6.8%
14	10.8%	13.1%	10.4%
15	23.1%	23.2%	23.5%
16	30.9%	29.6%	25.8%
17	28.2%	26.5%	31.5%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>879</b>	<b>901</b>	<b>833</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- *Source of Admissions:*
  - 99.4% of admissions were from the 7th and 8th Districts. See pages 36-37 and 38-39, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
  - Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.
- *Juveniles Admitted to Detention:*
  - 402 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 172 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 574 juveniles and 833 detention admissions.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Admissions decreased 5% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 8% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- *DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):*
  - Of the juveniles who were detained, 75.3% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
  - Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 11.1% had mandatory overrides.
- *Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Pre-D - Assault (14.9%) or Robbery (14.2%)
  - Post-D - Contempt of Court (36.9%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Felony - 57.5% of Pre-D; 16.1% of Post-D
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 24.1% of Pre-D; 25.5% of Post-D
  - Probation/Parole Violation - 8.3% of Pre-D; 16.1% of Post-D
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - ADP increased 42% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 20% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
  - ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- *Average Length of Stay (LOS):*
  - In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.
- *Child Care Days (CCD):*
  - Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 28,501. This is a 19.5% increase from the previous fiscal year (23,860 CCD).
- *Dispositional Status:*
  - 684 pre-dispositional admissions
  - 112 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 26 weekend admissions
  - 37 post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Arson	1.4%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	17.8%	7.1%	8.0%	2.7%
Burglary	14.4%	3.8%	5.4%	24.3%
Contempt of Court	1.6%	23.4%	45.5%	10.8%
Custody	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	2.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	3.6%	2.7%	0.0%	2.7%
Extortion	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
Failure to Appear	1.2%	28.3%	6.3%	16.2%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	8.4%	5.4%	0.9%	2.7%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	7.6%	1.1%	3.6%	5.4%
Obscenity	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.4%	1.6%	1.8%	5.4%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	7.0%	12.0%	15.2%	18.9%
Robbery	18.2%	3.3%	0.9%	2.7%
Sex Offense	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	3.3%	2.7%	2.7%
Telephone Law	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.6%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%
Trespass	0.2%	1.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Vandalism	2.0%	2.2%	1.8%	0.0%
Weapons	5.0%	0.5%	1.8%	2.7%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	40.6%	9.8%	2.7%	2.7%
- Weapons/Narcotics	9.4%	0.0%	0.9%	5.4%
- Other	21.4%	9.8%	5.4%	29.7%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	7.4%	3.8%	7.1%	8.1%
- Other	12.0%	33.2%	17.0%	21.6%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	7.0%	12.0%	15.2%	18.9%
Court Order Violation	1.6%	26.6%	45.5%	10.8%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	3.3%	2.7%	2.7%
Others	0.2%	1.6%	3.6%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	500	184	112	37


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008




## Norfolk Juvenile Detention Center

Acting Superintendent: Joseph Jackson  
Capacity: 80 beds

1260 Security Lane  
Norfolk, Virginia 23502  
757-441-5667

Owned by: The city of Norfolk. Primary users: The city of Norfolk and counties of Accomack and Northampton.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	12.6%	10.1%	8.8%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	25.9%	16.4%	17.7%
15+ (Secure Detention)	60.8%	72.0%	68.1%
Missing	0.7%	1.4%	5.4%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	82.5%	81.9%	82.4%
White	13.9%	13.9%	13.7%
Other	3.5%	4.2%	3.9%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	1.8%	2.0%	1.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	74.3%	78.9%	76.4%
Female	25.7%	21.1%	23.6%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	1.2%	2.4%	2.1%
13	5.4%	5.0%	5.1%
14	12.4%	9.6%	11.0%
15	23.4%	24.5%	23.7%
16	27.6%	28.0%	26.4%
17	29.2%	30.0%	31.1%
18-20	0.6%	0.4%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.0%	0.7%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>991</b>	<b>819</b>	<b>721</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- *Source of Admissions:*
  - 99.6% of admissions were from the 4th and 2A Districts. See pages 30-31 and 26-27, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
  - Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.
- *Juveniles Admitted to Detention:*
  - 346 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 148 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 494 juveniles and 721 detention admissions.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Admissions decreased 27% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 12% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- *DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):*
  - Of the juveniles who were detained, 68.1% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
  - Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 23.8% had mandatory overrides.
- *Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Pre-D - Assault (14.6%) or Robbery (12.8%)
  - Post-D - Assault (26.4%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Felony - 46.5% of Pre-D; 24.0% of Post-D
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 20.1% of Pre-D; 44.0% of Post-D
  - Probation/Parole Violation - 13.1% of Pre-D; 21.6% of Post-D
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - ADP decreased 25% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 19% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
  - ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- *Average Length of Stay (LOS):*
  - In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.
- *Child Care Days (CCD):*
  - Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 21,110. This is a 19.3% decrease from the previous fiscal year (26,152 CCD).
- *Dispositional Status:*
  - 596 pre-dispositional admissions
  - 95 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 4 weekend admissions
  - 30 post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.4%	1.1%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%
Arson	3.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	15.0%	14.1%	33.7%	3.3%
Burglary	4.8%	5.0%	1.1%	26.7%
Contempt of Court	1.8%	22.1%	4.2%	6.7%
Custody	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.9%	1.1%	4.2%	3.3%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	1.2%	16.0%	5.3%	3.3%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Fraud	0.6%	0.4%	1.1%	0.0%
Gangs	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	10.2%	8.8%	9.5%	6.7%
Miscellaneous/Other	4.2%	1.5%	2.1%	0.0%
Murder	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	4.5%	2.7%	5.3%	13.3%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.3%	1.9%	3.2%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	11.4%	13.7%	14.7%	33.3%
Robbery	21.3%	1.9%	1.1%	0.0%
Sex Offense	4.8%	1.5%	2.1%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	0.8%	1.1%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.0%	1.1%	4.2%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	3.3%
Vandalism	1.2%	3.1%	3.2%	0.0%
Weapons	3.9%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	44.0%	5.0%	4.2%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	4.5%	2.3%	2.1%	6.7%
- Other	16.2%	16.0%	10.5%	40.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	7.5%	14.9%	34.7%	3.3%
- Other	5.1%	14.9%	18.9%	10.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	11.4%	15.3%	15.8%	40.0%
Court Order Violation	2.1%	27.5%	6.3%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	0.8%	1.1%	0.0%
Others	4.2%	3.4%	6.3%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>334</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>30</b>


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008




## Northern Virginia Juvenile Detention Home

Executive Director: Krystal Kimrey  
Capacity: 70 beds

200 South Whiting Street  
Alexandria, Virginia 22304  
703-751-3700

Owned by: A commission of the cities of Alexandria and Falls Church and Arlington County. Primary users: Members of the commission.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	27.1%	25.2%	14.6%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	28.2%	23.6%	22.9%
15+ (Secure Detention)	30.6%	35.0%	32.6%
Missing	14.1%	16.3%	29.9%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	46.1%	46.8%	52.8%
White	15.4%	12.2%	18.2%
Other	38.5%	40.9%	28.9%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	30.5%	34.0%	28.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	73.7%	72.6%	70.0%
Female	26.3%	27.4%	30.0%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	1.7%	1.9%	1.6%
13	3.2%	6.1%	6.3%
14	14.6%	13.9%	12.2%
15	22.3%	21.4%	17.7%
16	26.2%	26.8%	28.9%
17	31.8%	29.5%	32.8%
18-20	0.1%	0.2%	0.5%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>833</b>	<b>833</b>	<b>757</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- *Source of Admissions:*
  - 96.2% of admissions were from the 17th and 18th Districts. See pages 56-57 and 60-61, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
  - Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.
- *Juveniles Admitted to Detention:*
  - 272 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 162 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 434 juveniles and 757 detention admissions.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Admissions decreased 9% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 9% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- *DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):*
  - Of the juveniles who were detained, 32.6% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
  - Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 7.4% had mandatory overrides.
- *Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Pre-D - Probation/Parole Violation (24.5%)
  - Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (45.1%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Felony - 33.7% of Pre-D; 15.2% of Post-D
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 21.9% of Pre-D; 16.3% of Post-D
  - Probation/Parole Violation - 24.5% of Pre-D; 45.1% of Post-D
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - ADP decreased 27% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 15% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
  - ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- *Average Length of Stay (LOS):*
  - In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.
- *Child Care Days (CCD):*
  - Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 15,170. This is a 14.1% decrease from the previous fiscal year (17,654 CCD).
- *Dispositional Status:*
  - 493 pre-dispositional admissions
  - 244 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 20 weekend admissions
  - 20 post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.7%	0.9%	0.8%	0.0%
Arson	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	13.8%	11.5%	7.8%	0.0%
Burglary	2.1%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	0.7%	19.0%	18.4%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	4.8%	1.7%	1.2%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	2.1%	1.7%	0.4%	10.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	20.7%	15.8%	9.4%	20.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	2.1%	2.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	2.8%	2.6%	2.5%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	3.4%	1.1%	0.0%	5.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	23.4%	25.0%	45.1%	45.0%
Robbery	13.1%	6.3%	3.3%	15.0%
Sex Offense	6.2%	0.6%	0.4%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	5.2%	3.3%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.7%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.7%	1.7%	3.3%	0.0%
Trespass	0.7%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Vandalism	0.7%	0.9%	0.4%	5.0%
Weapons	0.0%	1.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	26.9%	11.2%	4.9%	20.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	3.4%	1.7%	0.8%	0.0%
- Other	20.0%	13.8%	7.4%	20.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	10.3%	8.6%	7.4%	0.0%
- Other	12.4%	12.9%	9.0%	15.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	23.4%	25.0%	45.1%	45.0%
Court Order Violation	0.7%	19.0%	18.4%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	5.2%	3.3%	0.0%
Others	2.1%	2.6%	3.7%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>348</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>20</b>


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008




## Northwestern Regional Juvenile Detention Center

Superintendent: Jim Stevenson  
Capacity: 32 beds

145 Fort Collier Road  
Winchester, Virginia 22603  
540-722-6174

Owned by: A commission of the city of Winchester and counties of Clarke, Frederick, Page, Shenandoah, and Warren. Primary users: Members of the commission.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	7.6%	12.3%	13.3%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	34.5%	25.1%	28.9%
15+ (Secure Detention)	47.4%	50.3%	52.3%
Missing	10.5%	12.3%	5.5%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	14.0%	17.6%	14.5%
White	80.1%	72.5%	78.6%
Other	5.9%	9.9%	6.9%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	3.8%	8.0%	4.6%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	79.6%	74.2%	73.1%
Female	20.4%	25.8%	26.9%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	0.7%	0.4%	0.0%
13	1.9%	2.7%	2.0%
14	12.6%	12.6%	11.9%
15	25.4%	18.9%	21.0%
16	25.4%	30.0%	30.1%
17	33.6%	35.2%	34.9%
18-20	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Error/Missing	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>422</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>505</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- *Source of Admissions:*
  - 99.2% of admissions were from the 26th District. See pages 80-81 for data pertaining to this CSU.
  - Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.
- *Juveniles Admitted to Detention:*
  - 191 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 109 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 300 juveniles and 505 detention admissions.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Admissions increased 20% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 6% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- *DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):*
  - Of the juveniles who were detained, 52.3% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
  - Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 7.4% had mandatory overrides.
- *Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Pre-D - Probation/Parole Violation (22.8%)
  - Post-D - Contempt of Court (34.7%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Felony - 39.6% of Pre-D; 20.1% of Post-D
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 26.9% of Pre-D; 24.4% of Post-D
  - Probation/Parole Violation - 22.8% of Pre-D; 10.7% of Post-D
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - ADP increased 8% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and decreased 7% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
  - ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- *Average Length of Stay (LOS):*
  - In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.
- *Child Care Days (CCD):*
  - Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 9,807. This is a 7.3% decrease from the previous fiscal year (10,579 CCD).
- *Dispositional Status:*
  - 197 pre-dispositional admissions
  - 298 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 32 weekend admissions
  - 10 post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.8%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Arson	0.8%	1.6%	1.7%	0.0%
Assault	14.3%	3.1%	9.1%	10.0%
Burglary	6.0%	1.6%	5.4%	10.0%
Contempt of Court	1.5%	9.4%	35.9%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.8%	1.6%	1.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	1.5%	1.6%	1.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	4.5%	48.4%	4.7%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	9.8%	9.4%	12.1%	20.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	5.3%	1.6%	3.4%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.8%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	27.1%	14.1%	9.7%	40.0%
Robbery	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%
Sex Offense	4.5%	4.7%	1.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	8.1%	0.0%
Telephone Law	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.8%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Trespass	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	3.0%	1.6%	0.7%	0.0%
Weapons	0.0%	1.6%	1.7%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	24.8%	7.8%	6.7%	20.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	3.8%	1.6%	0.7%	0.0%
- Other	20.3%	10.9%	11.7%	30.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	10.5%	4.7%	8.4%	10.0%
- Other	8.3%	39.1%	16.4%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	27.1%	14.1%	9.7%	40.0%
Court Order Violation	1.5%	21.9%	43.3%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%
Others	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	133	64	298	10


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008




## Piedmont Regional Juvenile Detention Center

Superintendent: Spring Johnson  
Capacity: 20 beds

701 Industrial Park Road  
Farmville, Virginia 23901  
434-392-3834

Owned by: A commission of the counties of Amelia, Buckingham, Cumberland, Lunenburg, Nottoway, and Prince Edward. Primary users: Members of the commission.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	20.1%	16.4%	14.0%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	20.1%	16.4%	19.3%
15+ (Secure Detention)	56.7%	67.1%	66.7%
Missing	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	67.7%	71.8%	69.1%
White	30.0%	26.7%	28.0%
Other	2.4%	1.4%	2.9%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	0.7%	0.7%	1.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	79.5%	77.3%	83.4%
Female	20.5%	22.7%	16.6%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	2.4%	1.4%	1.3%
13	6.1%	5.1%	4.5%
14	13.5%	11.9%	11.1%
15	22.2%	19.1%	22.0%
16	26.3%	27.8%	28.0%
17	29.3%	33.6%	32.2%
18-20	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.7%	1.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>314</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- *Source of Admissions:*
  - 98.1% of admissions were from the 10th, 11th, 6th, and 14th Districts. See pages 42-43, 44-45, 34-35, and 50-51, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
  - Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.
- *Juveniles Admitted to Detention:*
  - 197 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 51 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 248 juveniles and 314 detention admissions.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Admissions increased 6% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 13% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- *DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):*
  - Of the juveniles who were detained, 66.7% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
  - Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 3.5% had mandatory overrides.
- *Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Pre-D - Assault (22.1%)
  - Post-D - Contempt of Court (35.7%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Felony - 52.9% of Pre-D; 8.6% of Post-D
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 21.3% of Pre-D; 37.1% of Post-D
  - Probation/Parole Violation - 9.4% of Pre-D; 10.0% of Post-D
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - ADP increased 20% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 13% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
  - ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- *Average Length of Stay (LOS):*
  - In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.
- *Child Care Days (CCD):*
  - Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 6,538. This is a 12.2% increase from the previous fiscal year (5,825 CCD).
- *Dispositional Status:*
  - 244 pre-dispositional admissions
  - 70 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 2 weekend admissions
  - This detention home does not offer post-dispositional programs.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	1.4%	2.9%	0.0%
Arson	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	28.1%	8.2%	22.9%	0.0%
Burglary	8.8%	2.7%	1.4%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	1.8%	43.8%	35.7%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	1.4%	5.7%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	1.2%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.6%	1.4%	1.4%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	16.4%	12.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	1.2%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.0%	1.4%	1.4%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	7.6%	13.7%	10.0%	0.0%
Robbery	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	5.3%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	1.4%	8.6%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.6%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	1.4%	2.9%	0.0%
Vandalism	5.8%	1.4%	2.9%	0.0%
Weapons	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	40.9%	2.7%	4.3%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.8%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
- Other	26.3%	12.3%	2.9%	0.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	14.6%	8.2%	20.0%	0.0%
- Other	4.7%	17.8%	17.1%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	7.6%	13.7%	10.0%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	1.8%	43.8%	41.4%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	1.4%	2.9%	0.0%
Others	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	171	73	70	0


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008




## Prince William County Juvenile Detention Home

Superintendent: Curtis Harstad  
Capacity: 72 beds

14873 Dumfries Road  
Manassas, Virginia 20112  
703-791-3181

Owned by: Prince William County. Primary users: The cities of Manassas and Manassas Park and Prince William County.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	18.4%	10.5%	12.9%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	22.1%	21.8%	25.2%
15+ (Secure Detention)	58.9%	67.2%	60.7%
Missing	0.6%	0.4%	1.3%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	37.9%	40.9%	44.0%
White	40.8%	34.9%	30.7%
Other	21.3%	24.2%	25.3%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	19.0%	22.9%	24.5%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	79.0%	82.8%	84.1%
Female	21.0%	17.2%	15.9%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	2.3%	0.6%	1.2%
13	4.6%	4.8%	4.2%
14	13.2%	13.0%	12.2%
15	20.0%	27.0%	20.3%
16	28.8%	23.3%	30.4%
17	31.2%	31.1%	31.7%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>699</b>	<b>785</b>	<b>641</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- *Source of Admissions:*
  - 99.2% of admissions were from the 31st District. See pages 90-91 for data pertaining to this CSU.
  - Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.
- *Juveniles Admitted to Detention:*
  - 281 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 131 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 412 juveniles and 641 detention admissions.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Admissions decreased 8% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 18% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- *DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):*
  - Of the juveniles who were detained, 60.7% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
  - Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 19.0% had mandatory overrides.
- *Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Pre-D - Probation/Parole Violation (22.6%)
  - Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (49.1%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Felony - 40.9% of Pre-D; 13.8% of Post-D
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 19.2% of Pre-D; 18.0% of Post-D
  - Probation/Parole Violation - 22.6% of Pre-D; 49.1% of Post-D
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - ADP decreased 18% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 31% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
  - ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- *Average Length of Stay (LOS):*
  - In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.
- *Child Care Days (CCD):*
  - Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 13,196. This is a 30.6% decrease from the previous fiscal year (19,026 CCD).
- *Dispositional Status:*
  - 474 pre-dispositional admissions
  - 167 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 20 weekend admissions
  - This detention home does not offer post-dispositional programs.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Arson	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	16.4%	5.3%	6.6%	0.0%
Burglary	4.3%	2.7%	3.6%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	7.1%	8.7%	16.2%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	2.5%	43.3%	3.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.5%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Gangs	4.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Kidnapping	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	9.0%	4.0%	4.2%	0.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	5.6%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	3.1%	2.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	2.2%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	20.7%	26.7%	49.1%	0.0%
Robbery	13.6%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Sex Offense	2.2%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.0%	0.7%	4.2%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Vandalism	0.0%	2.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Weapons	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	30.2%	0.7%	4.2%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	2.2%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Other	19.8%	15.3%	9.6%	0.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	8.0%	5.3%	6.6%	0.0%
- Other	4.3%	28.7%	11.4%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	20.7%	26.7%	49.1%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	7.1%	19.3%	16.2%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%
Others	4.6%	2.7%	2.4%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	324	150	167	0


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008




## Rappahannock Juvenile Detention Center

Superintendent: Douglas W. Jones  
Capacity: 80 beds

275 Wyche Road  
Stafford, Virginia 22554  
540-658-1691

Owned by: A commission of the city of Fredericksburg and counties of King George, Louisa, Madison, Orange, Spotsylvania, and Stafford. Primary users: Members of the commission.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	27.5%	27.4%	32.3%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	26.8%	28.3%	29.8%
15+ (Secure Detention)	40.2%	40.9%	35.5%
Missing	5.5%	3.3%	2.5%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	41.9%	36.7%	38.4%
White	52.7%	57.0%	53.8%
Other	5.4%	6.3%	7.8%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	3.7%	4.7%	6.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	76.9%	74.9%	75.1%
Female	23.1%	25.1%	24.9%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	2.8%	2.0%	1.2%
13	5.9%	4.9%	5.4%
14	12.5%	11.7%	10.1%
15	18.2%	18.4%	19.2%
16	31.2%	26.2%	27.8%
17	28.1%	35.7%	35.4%
18-20	1.1%	0.9%	0.8%
Error/Missing	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>1,088</b>	<b>1,074</b>	<b>962</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- *Source of Admissions:*
  - 99.5% of admissions were from the 15th and 16th Districts. See pages 52-53 and 54-55, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
  - Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.
- *Juveniles Admitted to Detention:*
  - 421 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 202 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 623 juveniles and 962 detention admissions.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Admissions decreased 12% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 10% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- *DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):*
  - Of the juveniles who were detained, 35.5% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
  - Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 48.0% had mandatory overrides.
- *Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Pre-D - Probation/Parole Violation (24.4%)
  - Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (33.0%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Felony - 32.0% of Pre-D; 16.8% of Post-D
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 24.6% of Pre-D; 29.3% of Post-D
  - Probation/Parole Violation - 24.5% of Pre-D; 33.0% of Post-D
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - ADP decreased 9% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 5% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
  - ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- *Average Length of Stay (LOS):*
  - In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.
- *Child Care Days (CCD):*
  - Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 21,769. This is a 4.0% decrease from the previous fiscal year (22,687 CCD).
- *Dispositional Status:*
  - 771 pre-dispositional admissions
  - 170 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 23 weekend admissions
  - 21 post-dispositional admissions with programs


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008


Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.7%	7.6%	4.7%	0.0%
Arson	1.2%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Assault	18.4%	11.6%	8.8%	0.0%
Burglary	4.5%	2.5%	0.6%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	6.7%	17.0%	15.3%	4.8%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.7%	1.4%	1.2%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.5%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.2%	1.4%	1.2%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.7%	1.7%	0.6%	0.0%
Gangs	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	8.9%	12.5%	7.6%	9.5%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	6.0%	10.8%	6.5%	4.8%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.5%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	28.2%	19.8%	28.8%	66.7%
Robbery	2.9%	0.0%	0.6%	9.5%
Sex Offense	4.8%	1.4%	1.2%	4.8%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	1.4%	3.5%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	1.2%	3.1%	10.6%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	4.1%	2.0%	2.9%	0.0%
Weapons	1.2%	0.8%	2.4%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	21.5%	6.5%	5.9%	14.3%
- Weapons/Narcotics	4.8%	0.3%	1.8%	4.8%
- Other	16.0%	13.0%	7.6%	9.5%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	9.3%	11.0%	8.2%	0.0%
- Other	8.1%	22.1%	24.7%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	28.5%	19.8%	28.8%	66.7%
Court Order Violation	6.5%	17.0%	15.3%	4.8%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	2.3%	4.1%	0.0%
Others	0.7%	7.9%	2.9%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	4.5%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>418</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>21</b>

1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008



All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## Richmond Juvenile Detention Home

Acting Directors: Doris Moseley and Sandra Martin  
Capacity: 60 beds

1700 Oliver Hill Way  
Richmond, Virginia 23219  
804-646-2937

Owned and primarily used by the city of Richmond.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	14.6%	12.2%	11.3%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	24.8%	27.8%	26.7%
15+ (Secure Detention)	59.5%	59.6%	61.6%
Missing	1.1%	0.4%	0.4%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	97.1%	95.5%	97.3%
White	1.7%	2.7%	1.7%
Other	1.2%	1.9%	0.9%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	0.5%	1.3%	0.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	85.3%	84.8%	84.1%
Female	14.7%	15.2%	15.9%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	1.8%	2.9%	1.3%
13	6.5%	6.4%	4.8%
14	13.1%	12.8%	11.6%
15	22.6%	18.9%	21.2%
16	25.8%	28.7%	31.5%
17	29.6%	29.5%	28.3%
18-20	0.5%	0.4%	1.3%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>829</b>	<b>748</b>	<b>750</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- *Source of Admissions:*
  - 100.0% of admissions were from the 13th District. See pages 48-49 for data pertaining to this CSU.
  - Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.
- *Juveniles Admitted to Detention:*
  - 290 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 177 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 467 juveniles and 750 detention admissions.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Admissions decreased 10% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and increased less than 1% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- *DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):*
  - Of the juveniles who were detained, 61.6% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
  - Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 14.3% had mandatory overrides.
- *Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Pre-D - Narcotics (18.2%)
  - Post-D - Contempt of Court (36.8%) or Narcotics (36.8%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Felony - 59.5% of Pre-D; 31.6% of Post-D
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 20.8% of Pre-D; 15.8% of Post-D
  - Probation/Parole Violation - 10.9% of Pre-D; 5.3% of Post-D
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - ADP increased 22% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 32% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
  - ADP has been below capacity for 2 of the last 3 fiscal years.
- *Average Length of Stay (LOS):*
  - In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.
- *Child Care Days (CCD):*
  - Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 22,831. This is a 31.7% increase from the previous fiscal year (17,336 CCD).
- *Dispositional Status:*
  - 731 pre-dispositional admissions
  - 19 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 0 weekend admissions
  - This detention home does not offer post-dispositional programs.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008


Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	15.3%	8.6%	15.8%	0.0%
Burglary	4.5%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	0.4%	12.4%	36.8%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	4.1%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.6%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	9.2%	12.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	2.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	17.0%	20.3%	36.8%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.7%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	13.3%	6.8%	5.3%	0.0%
Robbery	15.7%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	7.5%	5.3%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespass	1.1%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	1.1%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Weapons	7.7%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	35.3%	14.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	14.8%	15.8%	31.6%	0.0%
- Other	16.3%	16.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	4.9%	7.9%	15.8%	0.0%
- Other	13.8%	16.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	13.3%	6.8%	5.3%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	0.4%	12.4%	36.8%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	8.3%	5.3%	0.0%
Others	0.2%	0.8%	5.3%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>465</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>0</b>

1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008



All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## Roanoke Valley Juvenile Detention Center

Superintendent: Alan Hullette  
Capacity: 81 beds

498 Coyner Springs Road  
Roanoke, Virginia 24012  
540-561-3840

Owned by: A commission of the cities of Roanoke and Salem and counties of Botetourt, Franklin, and Roanoke. Primary users: Members of the commission as well as the city of Covington and counties of Alleghany and Craig. Additional placements from other localities can occur if the population is below 48.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	18.0%	20.2%	24.4%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	17.3%	17.7%	15.8%
15+ (Secure Detention)	61.8%	60.3%	55.7%
Missing	2.9%	1.8%	4.1%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	45.7%	44.6%	45.6%
White	51.6%	52.6%	50.7%
Other	2.8%	2.8%	3.7%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	1.5%	1.5%	2.6%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	74.8%	74.6%	73.5%
Female	25.2%	25.4%	26.5%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	3.5%	2.3%	1.3%
13	5.1%	5.5%	4.7%
14	12.6%	13.1%	14.9%
15	22.8%	18.8%	21.6%
16	28.8%	26.0%	26.9%
17	27.2%	34.3%	30.6%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>865</b>	<b>908</b>	<b>833</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- *Source of Admissions:*
  - 97.0% of admissions were from the 23A, 23rd, 22nd, and 25th Districts. See pages 74-75, 72-73, 70-71, and 78-79, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
  - Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.
- *Juveniles Admitted to Detention:*
  - 376 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 179 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 555 juveniles and 833 detention admissions.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Admissions decreased 4% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 8% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- *DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):*
  - Of the juveniles who were detained, 55.7% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
  - Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 3.4% had mandatory overrides.
- *Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Pre-D - Assault (16.8%)
  - Post-D - Contempt of Court (23.7%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Felony - 33.2% of Pre-D; 19.8% of Post-D
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 33.7% of Pre-D; 37.0% of Post-D
  - Probation/Parole Violation - 7.6% of Pre-D; 6.2% of Post-D
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - ADP decreased 9% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 20% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
  - ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- *Average Length of Stay (LOS):*
  - In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.
- *Child Care Days (CCD):*
  - Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 17,537. This is a 19.3% decrease from the previous fiscal year (21,731 CCD).
- *Dispositional Status:*
  - 576 pre-dispositional admissions
  - 243 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 30 weekend admissions
  - 14 post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	1.8%	1.7%	3.3%	0.0%
Arson	4.1%	0.3%	1.2%	7.1%
Assault	28.1%	9.9%	12.8%	14.3%
Burglary	4.1%	0.3%	3.3%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	1.8%	15.8%	23.9%	21.4%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.9%	0.8%	3.3%	0.0%
Escapes	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.5%	21.4%	1.6%	7.1%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.8%	1.4%	0.0%	14.3%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	7.7%	5.1%	8.2%	7.1%
Miscellaneous/Other	4.1%	18.3%	2.9%	7.1%
Murder	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	8.1%	6.5%	5.3%	14.3%
Obscenity	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	3.2%	1.7%	2.5%	7.1%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	8.6%	7.0%	6.6%	0.0%
Robbery	9.0%	0.8%	0.4%	0.0%
Sex Offense	5.9%	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	1.1%	7.8%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	1.4%	2.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Trespass	0.9%	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Vandalism	2.3%	3.1%	9.1%	0.0%
Weapons	3.2%	1.4%	1.2%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	34.4%	6.2%	5.8%	7.1%
- Weapons/Narcotics	6.3%	4.2%	1.6%	7.1%
- Other	14.0%	9.3%	11.9%	14.3%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	18.1%	7.6%	13.2%	7.1%
- Other	12.2%	28.2%	23.5%	35.7%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	8.6%	7.0%	6.6%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	1.8%	15.8%	24.7%	21.4%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	1.1%	8.2%	0.0%
Others	4.5%	20.6%	4.5%	7.1%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>355</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>14</b>


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008




## Shenandoah Valley Juvenile Center

Superintendent: Timothy J. Smith  
Capacity: 55 beds

300 Technology Drive  
Staunton, Virginia 24401  
540-886-0729

Owned by: A commission of the cities of Harrisonburg, Lexington, Staunton, and Waynesboro and counties of Augusta, Rockbridge, and Rockingham. Primary users: Members of the commission as well as the city of Buena Vista and counties of Bath and Highland.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	28.0%	28.7%	25.5%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	31.9%	29.2%	27.1%
15+ (Secure Detention)	38.6%	36.9%	43.1%
Missing	1.4%	5.1%	4.3%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	22.4%	17.0%	17.0%
White	70.7%	71.9%	66.0%
Other	6.9%	11.1%	17.0%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	5.3%	9.1%	15.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	77.6%	71.5%	76.6%
Female	22.4%	28.5%	23.4%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	0.7%	2.2%	0.7%
13	4.2%	4.7%	5.1%
14	13.6%	12.1%	11.9%
15	22.0%	21.1%	24.1%
16	27.8%	29.8%	24.9%
17	31.8%	30.2%	33.3%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>450</b>	<b>494</b>	<b>453</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- *Source of Admissions:*
  - 99.1% of admissions were from the 26th and 25th Districts. See pages 80-81 and 78-79, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
  - Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.
- *Juveniles Admitted to Detention:*
  - 186 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 106 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 292 juveniles and 453 detention admissions.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Admissions increased 1% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and decreased 8% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- *DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):*
  - Of the juveniles who were detained, 43.1% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
  - Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 1.0% had mandatory overrides.
- *Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Pre-D - Assault (20.0%)
  - Post-D - Status Offense (38.0%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Felony - 49.4% of Pre-D; 19.2% of Post-D
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 22.9% of Pre-D; 23.1% of Post-D
  - Probation/Parole Violation - 16.7% of Pre-D; 10.1% of Post-D
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - ADP decreased 16% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and remained steady between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
  - ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- *Average Length of Stay (LOS):*
  - In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.
- *Child Care Days (CCD):*
  - Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 7,566. This is a less than 1% increase from the previous fiscal year (7,530 CCD).
- *Dispositional Status:*
  - 245 pre-dispositional admissions
  - 208 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 11 weekend admissions
  - This detention home does not offer post-dispositional programs.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	1.6%	1.8%	2.4%	0.0%
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	19.6%	21.4%	5.8%	0.0%
Burglary	7.9%	5.4%	3.4%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	0.5%	19.6%	9.6%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.6%	1.8%	2.9%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Gangs	5.8%	1.8%	4.3%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	16.9%	10.7%	4.8%	0.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	3.7%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.5%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	20.6%	5.4%	10.1%	0.0%
Robbery	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	5.3%	1.8%	0.5%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.5%	10.7%	38.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	1.1%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Vandalism	2.6%	7.1%	6.3%	0.0%
Weapons	2.1%	5.4%	3.4%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	21.2%	12.5%	2.9%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	2.1%	3.6%	2.4%	0.0%
- Other	30.7%	17.9%	13.9%	0.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	14.8%	12.5%	6.3%	0.0%
- Other	5.8%	17.9%	16.8%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	20.6%	3.6%	10.1%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	0.5%	19.6%	27.4%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.5%	10.7%	20.2%	0.0%
Others	3.2%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>0</b>


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008




## Virginia Beach Juvenile Detention Center

Superintendent: S. Pete Withers  
Capacity: 90 beds

2533 George Mason Drive  
Virginia Beach, VA 23456  
757-385-1220

Owned and primarily used by the city of Virginia Beach.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	18.6%	14.5%	6.8%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	27.5%	12.4%	14.5%
15+ (Secure Detention)	53.3%	72.0%	74.5%
Missing	0.7%	1.1%	4.3%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	51.0%	47.2%	53.6%
White	42.7%	46.2%	40.8%
Other	6.3%	6.6%	5.6%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	1.3%	2.0%	2.4%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	75.9%	77.4%	76.5%
Female	24.1%	22.6%	23.5%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	1.1%	2.2%	1.2%
13	4.1%	3.3%	4.7%
14	11.7%	9.3%	9.1%
15	22.6%	21.8%	21.5%
16	29.0%	29.8%	29.0%
17	31.3%	33.0%	33.5%
18-20	0.1%	0.5%	1.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>967</b>	<b>942</b>	<b>780</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

- *Source of Admissions:*
  - 99.1% of admissions were from the 2nd District. See pages 24-25 for data pertaining to this CSU.
  - Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.
- *Juveniles Admitted to Detention:*
  - 350 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 161 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 511 juveniles and 780 detention admissions.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Admissions decreased 19% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 17% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- *DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):*
  - Of the juveniles who were detained, 74.5% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
  - Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 12.0% had mandatory overrides.
- *Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Pre-D - Robbery (15.9%) or Probation/Parole Violation (14.9%)
  - Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (31.3%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):*
  - Felony - 46.4% of Pre-D; 16.9% of Post-D
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 26.8% of Pre-D; 32.4% of Post-D
  - Probation/Parole Violation - 18.3% of Pre-D; 33.8% of Post-D
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - ADP decreased 12% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 12% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
  - ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- *Average Length of Stay (LOS):*
  - In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.
- *Child Care Days (CCD):*
  - Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 24,799. This is a 11.3% decrease from the previous fiscal year (27,973 CCD).
- *Dispositional Status:*
  - 496 pre-dispositional admissions
  - 261 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 24 weekend admissions
  - 23 post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.4%	1.5%	6.9%	0.0%
Arson	1.7%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Assault	14.9%	12.6%	14.9%	4.3%
Burglary	7.7%	5.0%	1.1%	30.4%
Contempt of Court	2.6%	14.9%	9.6%	4.3%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.4%	2.7%	1.1%	0.0%
Escapes	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%
Extortion	3.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	2.1%	22.2%	5.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.9%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Gangs	1.7%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%
Larceny	8.1%	3.8%	7.3%	4.3%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.4%	3.1%	1.5%	4.3%
Murder	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	6.0%	1.9%	2.7%	4.3%
Obscenity	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.4%	1.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	12.3%	17.2%	30.7%	39.1%
Robbery	26.8%	6.1%	2.3%	4.3%
Sex Offense	5.1%	1.5%	1.9%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	1.1%	7.7%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Vandalism	0.9%	0.8%	2.3%	0.0%
Weapons	2.1%	1.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	49.8%	12.3%	8.4%	4.3%
- Weapons/Narcotics	6.0%	1.1%	0.0%	4.3%
- Other	15.3%	10.7%	6.1%	34.8%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	7.7%	9.6%	12.6%	4.3%
- Other	6.0%	29.1%	21.8%	4.3%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	12.8%	23.4%	33.0%	43.5%
Court Order Violation	2.1%	10.3%	12.3%	4.3%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	1.5%	4.6%	0.0%
Others	0.4%	1.9%	1.1%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>23</b>


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008




## W.W. Moore, Jr. Home for Juveniles

Superintendent: Michelle Johnson  
Capacity: 60 beds

603 Colquhoun Street  
Danville, Virginia 24541  
434-799-5295

Owned by: The city of Danville. Primary users: Cities of Danville and Martinsville and counties of Halifax, Henry, Mecklenburg, Patrick, and Pittsylvania.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2006-2008



### DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION\*, FY 2006-2008

DAI Scores	2006	2007	2008
0-9 (Release)	13.3%	21.4%	26.4%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	31.0%	30.9%	29.8%
15+ (Secure Detention)	55.3%	45.5%	42.8%
Missing	0.4%	2.3%	1.0%

\*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006-2008

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	65.1%	62.2%	71.3%
White	33.0%	35.4%	26.8%
Other	2.0%	2.5%	1.9%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	0.7%	1.8%	1.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	76.6%	77.7%	79.2%
Female	23.4%	22.3%	20.8%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	2.1%	1.2%	3.0%
13	5.6%	3.8%	4.8%
14	13.2%	9.1%	10.9%
15	21.5%	22.0%	21.7%
16	28.3%	27.5%	26.8%
17	28.2%	35.4%	31.4%
18-20	0.8%	0.9%	1.4%
Error/Missing	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>713</b>	<b>650</b>	<b>567</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

#### • Source of Admissions:

- 99.3% of admissions were from the 22nd, 10th, and 21st Districts. See pages 70-71, 42-43, and 68-69, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

#### • Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 234 juveniles were admitted once to detention and 130 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 364 juveniles and 567 detention admissions.

#### • Admission Trends:

- Admissions decreased 20% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 13% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.

#### • DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2008):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 42.8% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
- Of the juveniles who received a score of less than 15, 24.8% had mandatory overrides.

#### • Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Assault (18.0%)
- Post-D - Contempt of Court (30.7%)

#### • Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 42.6% of Pre-D; 14.8% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 21.2% of Pre-D; 23.3% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 13.2% of Pre-D; 23.3% of Post-D

#### • Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 7% between FY 2006 and FY 2008 and 3% between FY 2007 and FY 2008.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

#### • Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

#### • Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2008 was 13,905. This is a 1.6% decrease from the previous fiscal year (14,129 CCD).

#### • Dispositional Status:

- 378 pre-dispositional admissions
- 156 post-dispositional admissions without programs including 16 weekend admissions
- 33 post-dispositional admissions with programs


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2008


Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%
Arson	3.8%	0.6%	0.0%	3.0%
Assault	24.0%	10.6%	13.5%	12.1%
Burglary	10.6%	12.9%	2.6%	12.1%
Contempt of Court	2.4%	25.3%	34.6%	12.1%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.5%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	3.0%
Failure to Appear	0.5%	8.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	9.6%	4.1%	6.4%	12.1%
Miscellaneous/Other	12.0%	5.9%	3.8%	6.1%
Murder	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	2.4%	0.6%	3.2%	6.1%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.5%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	13.9%	12.4%	22.4%	27.3%
Robbery	8.2%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	2.9%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Traffic	0.0%	2.9%	4.5%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Vandalism	2.4%	1.8%	0.6%	0.0%
Weapons	4.8%	1.8%	0.6%	6.1%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	21.2%	18.8%	2.6%	3.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	5.8%	0.6%	2.6%	9.1%
- Other	21.6%	15.9%	5.1%	24.2%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	19.2%	7.1%	13.5%	12.1%
- Other	4.3%	11.2%	10.9%	6.1%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	13.9%	12.4%	22.4%	27.3%
Court Order Violation	2.4%	25.9%	34.6%	12.1%
Status Offense <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Others	11.5%	7.1%	8.3%	6.1%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>33</b>

1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.


## ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2008



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2006-2008



## ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2008




All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## Halfway Houses

In FY 2008, DJJ operated three state-run halfway houses to meet the transitional needs of juveniles released from juvenile correctional centers. DJJ operated Hampton Place located in Norfolk, Abraxas House in Staunton, and Discovery House in Roanoke. The Department also oversees the Transitional Living Program, a 10 bed contract for halfway house placements with the Tidewater Regional Group Home Commission located in Virginia Beach.

The purpose of a halfway house is to provide transitional living for juveniles who are unable to return home or whose service needs make this type of placement beneficial. Residents learn independent living skills and are required to enroll in school, work towards a GED, and/or be gainfully employed. Residents are placed on parole supervision during their stay at the halfway house. Supportive services such as substance abuse relapse services and sex offender relapse treatment are provided by public or private service providers with the use of transitional service funds. Upon completion of residence at a halfway house, juveniles may return to their families or live independently.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2005-2008



### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2005-2008<sup>1</sup>

Demographics	2005	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>				
Black	62.3%	62.5%	57.1%	71.2%
White	32.5%	34.7%	41.4%	26.0%
Other	5.2%	2.8%	1.4%	2.7%
<b>Ethnicity- Hispanic</b>	3.9%	1.4%	1.4%	0.0%
<b>Sex</b>				
Male	96.1%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>				
16	3.9%	6.9%	4.3%	4.1%
17	31.2%	33.3%	20.0%	16.4%
18	27.3%	27.8%	31.4%	32.9%
19	29.9%	26.4%	31.4%	30.1%
20	7.8%	5.6%	12.9%	16.4%
<b>Region</b>				
Western	16.9%	16.7%	24.3%	11.0%
Northern	10.4%	12.5%	12.9%	20.5%
Eastern	72.7%	70.8%	62.9%	68.5%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>73</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

<sup>1</sup> Includes data for all halfway houses.

#### Admissions Trends:

- Admissions to halfway houses decreased 5% between FY 2005 and FY 2008.

#### Capacity (FY 2008):

- All three of the halfway houses operated below capacity.

#### Offense Category (Most serious committing offense):

- Abraxas - Robbery (30%); Discovery - Robbery (22%) or Sex Offenses (22%); Hampton - Sex Offenses (44%)

#### Offense Severity (Most serious committing offense):

- 66% of juveniles admitted to the halfway houses had a person felony as the most serious committing offense.


#### Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- Abraxas had the longest average LOS (161 days) in FY 2008, an increase of 17% since FY 2007. The average LOS for Discovery (118 days) increased in FY 2008 while Hampton's average LOS (141 days) decreased.

#### Admissions, Releases, and Average LOS by Committing Court (FY 2008):

- The highest number of admissions (18%) and releases (22%) came from the 4th CSU.

### CAPACITY VS AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION (ADP), FY 2008




All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**ADMISSIONS BY COMMITTING OFFENSE, FY 2008  
(A - ABRAXAS; D - DISCOVERY; H - HAMPTON)**

Most Serious Offense	A	D	H	Total
Offense Category				
Assault	13.0%	0.0%	7.4%	6.8%
Burglary	17.4%	13.0%	0.0%	9.6%
Fraud	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	1.4%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	1.4%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	1.4%
Larceny	8.7%	17.4%	11.1%	12.3%
Murder	0.0%	8.7%	3.7%	4.1%
Narcotics	4.3%	8.7%	0.0%	4.1%
Obstruction of Justice	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%
Prob./Parole Violation	4.3%	0.0%	3.7%	2.7%
Robbery	30.4%	21.7%	22.2%	24.7%
Sex Offense	13.0%	21.7%	44.4%	27.4%
Vandalism	4.3%	4.3%	0.0%	2.7%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	60.9%	56.5%	77.8%	65.8%
- Weapons/Narcotics	4.3%	13.0%	3.7%	6.8%
- Other	17.4%	17.4%	11.1%	15.1%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%
- Other	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	1.4%
Parole Violation	4.3%	8.7%	7.4%	6.8%
Probation Violation	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Admissions</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>73</i>

**AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY BY HALFWAY HOUSE,  
FY 2005-2008**



**ADMISSIONS, RELEASES, AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF  
STAY BY COMMITTING COURT, FY 2008\***

District	Admissions		Releases		Average LOS
	#	%	#	%	
1st	2	2.7%	4	5.6%	145
2nd	10	13.7%	9	12.5%	143
2A	1	1.4%	1	1.4%	161
3rd	1	1.4%	2	2.8%	242
4th	13	17.8%	16	22.2%	116
5th	1	1.4%	0	0%	N/A
6th	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	N/A
7th	8	11.0%	7	9.7%	148
8th	6	8.2%	6	8.3%	144
9th	3	4.1%	2	2.8%	117
10th	2	2.7%	2	2.8%	83
11th	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	N/A
12th	2	2.7%	2	2.8%	111
13th	3	4.1%	3	4.2%	92
14th	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	N/A
15th	2	2.7%	3	4.2%	188
16th	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	N/A
17th	1	1.4%	1	1.4%	135
17F	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	N/A
18th	2	2.7%	2	2.8%	172
19th	4	5.5%	1	1.4%	136
20L	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	N/A
20W	1	1.4%	1	1.4%	47
21st	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	N/A
22nd	2	2.7%	1	1.4%	291
23rd	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	N/A
23A	1	1.4%	2	2.8%	169
24th	1	1.4%	2	2.8%	224
25th	1	1.4%	1	1.4%	115
26th	3	4.1%	2	2.8%	129
27th	1	1.4%	1	1.4%	131
28th	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	N/A
29th	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	N/A
30th	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	N/A
31st	1	1.4%	1	1.4%	77
<i>Total</i>	<i>73</i>	<i>100%</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>100%</i>	<i>139</i>

\*Includes data for all halfway houses.

- Admissions, Releases, and Average LOS by Committing Court (continued):
  - Ten CSUs did not have any halfway house admissions.
  - The 22nd CSU had the longest average LOS (10 months).

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.



# Commitment to the State



As part of the Inter/Intramural Recreation & Extracurricular Activities Program (IREAP), DJJ held a softball tournament with juveniles from various JCCs against DJJ staff.

## Introduction to Institutional Programs

The Division of Institutional Programs has direct responsibility for juvenile offenders who have been committed to the state, ensuring that they receive treatment and educational services while in a safe and secure setting. It operates the Reception and Diagnostic Center (RDC), juvenile correctional centers (JCCs), community placement programs (CPPs), and also contracts with private providers to house certain juveniles.

The six JCCs and RDC had a combined operating capacity of 1,056 in FY 2008. The Behavioral Services Unit, Central Infirmary, Youth Industries, Bon Air Complex Maintenance, Health Services Unit, and Food Services Unit provide support to the correctional centers. The Department of Correctional Education (DCE) also helps by meeting educational needs of the committed juveniles. Through contractual agreements with providers, DJJ also offers supplemental programs and services in environments designed to more intensely deliver services. DJJ has placed juveniles into CPPs at both the Shenandoah Valley Juvenile Detention Home and Chesapeake Juvenile Services since April and December 2004, respectively. The Shenandoah Valley CPP was closed December 31, 2007. All programs within the Division of Institutions offer community reintegration and specialized services in a secure residential setting taking into consideration adjustments in service delivery during the course of operation. During FY 2008, DJJ began a Detention Re-Entry Program, contracting with local detention homes to provide a 30- to 90-day transition placement for juveniles released from a JCC.

Based on a FY 2005 General Assembly mandate, DJJ developed a utilization plan to maximize the use of its resources; efforts to implement recommendations from the plan continued throughout FY 2008. This includes ongoing population management through movement of juveniles as mandated by the

### CAPACITY AND AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, FY 2008\*

Facility	Capacity	ADP On Site	ADP Off Site	ADP Total
Beaumont	288	240	2	242
Bon Air	213	183	1	185
Culpeper	144	136	1	137
Hanover	144	134	1	135
Natural Bridge	71	57	0	57
Oak Ridge	40	40	0	40
RDC	156	101	4	105
<i>JCC Total</i>	<i>1,056</i>	<i>891</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>900</i>
Wilderness (VWI)	32	30	0	30
Shenandoah CPP <sup>1</sup>	0	4	0	4
Chesapeake CPP	10	9	0	9
Special Placements	N/A	0	0	0
Det. Re-Entry	N/A	2	0	2
<i>State Total</i>	<i>1,098</i>	<i>936</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>945</i>


\*Columns and rows (averages) may not add to totals due to rounding.  
<sup>1</sup> Prior to closing on 12/31/2007, capacity for Shenandoah CPP was 10 beds.

**RDC's utilization rate was 67.3% in FY 2008.**

plan and to meet the DJJ's needs. As a result of the initial review of all JCCs and their assets, Barrett JCC was closed as a correctional facility but has remained in operation as a temporary supplement to house DJJ's Training Unit and a few Central Office staff.

Also, DJJ has planned renovations and new capital construction, as funding is available, in an ongoing effort to improve facilities which requires close population management. When reviewing and adjusting the population for each facility, several factors are

### CAPACITY, AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, ADMISSIONS, AND RELEASES, FY 2000-2008



\*Appealed cases are not included.

**Between FY 2007 and FY 2008, admissions to RDC decreased 8%. Data above includes Alternative Placements.**


**ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*,  
FY 2005-2008**

Demographics	2005	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>				
Black	66.6%	67.9%	66.0%	66.1%
White	27.0%	25.5%	26.9%	25.6%
Other	6.4%	6.6%	7.1%	8.3%
<b>Ethnicity-Hispanic</b>	4.3%	4.5%	6.4%	5.5%
<b>Sex</b>				
Male	90.1%	90.9%	90.8%	92.1%
Female	9.9%	9.1%	9.2%	7.9%
<b>Age</b>				
Under 14	2.9%	2.3%	1.7%	1.9%
14	8.0%	7.7%	6.8%	6.5%
15	18.2%	19.1%	17.4%	15.7%
16	27.2%	31.8%	28.7%	27.7%
17	36.1%	33.6%	37.6%	40.6%
18	6.7%	5.4%	7.0%	7.1%
19 or older	0.8%	0.1%	0.8%	0.4%
<b>Region</b>				
Western	16.1%	13.8%	16.0%	16.0%
Northern	26.9%	30.0%	35.8%	33.4%
Eastern	57.0%	56.2%	48.3%	50.6%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>922</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>833</b>	<b>770</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

taken into consideration such as housing capacity, staffing levels, and programming initiatives. Revisions to capacities and shifts in the population are considered in order to keep the treatment

**ADMISSIONS BY PSYCHOTROPIC MEDICATION HISTORY AND  
DIAGNOSED MENTAL HEALTH DISORDER\*, FY 2008**



\* Includes juveniles with a mental health disorder, according to diagnostic criteria in the DSM-IV (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, 4<sup>th</sup> edition). The graph includes juveniles with a depressive disorder and excludes those with a diagnosis of Conduct Disorder (CD), Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD), and Substance Abuse/Dependence Disorder.

**Over half (58%) of the juveniles admitted to the JCCs have a history of prescription psychotropic medication use. At admission, 67% of juveniles have a diagnosed mental health disorder (other than a DSM-IV diagnosis of CD, ODD, or Substance Abuse/Dependence Disorder).**

needs of the juveniles in the forefront and were a natural result of the necessary closure to housing units on facility campuses. The utilization plan also called for a move toward therapeutic capacities within the facilities. To address this, DJJ began interrelations with staff and improved security in treatment settings. Continued population management has become a vital tool and DJJ continues to work toward this goal.


The two initiatives implemented in FY 2007 continue to be utilized by DJJ. These initiatives include a return to housing unit management as a basic approach to pairing staff with youth, and a behavior management program called REACH. REACH allows residents to

**Statewide Commitments,  
FY 2008**

Darker areas indicate that a greater number of commitments come from this locality. The map also indicates the locations of the JCCs, RDC, VWI, and CPP facilities.

Number of Commitments

- 0
- 1-9
- 10-49
- 50+



According to the Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Databook, among states with an upper age of jurisdiction of 17, Virginia ranked 25<sup>th</sup> in the number of committed youth per 100,000 in 2006.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

earn credits for positive behavior and to exchange those credits for reinforcers or privileges. With consistent positive behavior residents can obtain higher levels within the program with greater access to reinforcers at each level. The program also emphasizes the relationship between staff and residents and the appropriate modeling of behavior. To facilitate the REACH program, the correctional centers are returning to the housing unit management concept that pairs the same staff to work with the same youth on an ongoing basis. Staff and residents get to know each other, thereby increasing the expectations for appropriate behavior within the unit. Staff can also be more attentive to the individual needs of the youth and help them with their day-to-day problems, all of which is designed to result in the solving of problems and issues at the lowest level and reducing the need for physical intervention by staff.

### RECEPTION AND DIAGNOSTIC CENTER (RDC)


The Department operates a centralized evaluation and classification process at the Carroll R. Minor Reception and Diagnostic Center (RDC). This facility serves as the central intake facility for all juveniles committed to DJJ and will be the first encounter a juvenile has with a correctional center. The facility was established in 1968 to receive, evaluate, and place all juveniles committed by the juvenile and domestic relations district or circuit courts. With the primary function of orientation, evaluation, and classification of juveniles, services provided at RDC include academic, medical, psychological, behavioral, and sociological evaluation and classification to determine appropriate treatment needs, security requirements, institutional placements, and lengths of stay.

### ADMISSIONS BY TREATMENT NEEDS, FY 2008



Although sex offender treatment is the least common treatment need, it can have the greatest impact on a resident's length of stay within the juvenile correctional centers.

### ADMISSIONS BY READING, WRITING, AND MATH GRADE LEVELS, FY 2008



Female offenders consistently test at higher reading and writing grade levels. The difference is greatest for writing.

RDC operates a highly structured orientation and behavioral management program emphasizing development in areas of responsibility, empowerment, achievement, changes to ways of thinking and acting, and hope for a successful return to the community. It is designed to have juveniles take responsibility for their own actions and allows their behavior to determine quality of life, in terms of privileges. The facility consists of seven housing units; six for males and one for females. Juveniles may range in age from 11 to 20 and are typically housed at RDC from four to eight weeks depending upon individual needs. In addition to a psychological screening and evaluation, all youth receive medical and dental examinations. Sex offender evaluations are performed also. Each juvenile receives a battery of tests from the DCE staff to identify the appropriate educational level, vocational aptitude, and any special educational needs. RDC counselors are responsible for coordination of the evaluation process, individual and crisis counseling, completion of social history, and case management. At the conclusion of the evaluation process, a team of evaluators meet to discuss each case to determine treatment needs, length of stay, classification, transitioning/re-entry, mental health transitioning, and placement recommendations. From RDC, juveniles are sent to their designated correctional facilities or special placements.

RDC plays a vital role in tracking juveniles through data. Juvenile profile data is collected at RDC and provides a global picture of the juvenile and includes education, mental health, physical, and social information. Additionally, the facility is responsible for housing and maintaining all master files. RDC is a clearinghouse for release information, works in conjunction with the State Library on retention and destruction of documents, and is responsible for initial case screening by reviewing all court and legal documentation regarding the commitment.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## BEHAVIORAL SERVICES UNIT (BSU)

BSU is the organizational unit within the Division of Institutions that is responsible for providing mental health, substance abuse, sex offender, and aggression management treatment services to youth who are in the JCCs and RDC.

**Organizational Structure:** There are four BSU staff located in the Central Office: the Chief Psychologist who serves as the Director of BSU, the Program Manager for Substance Abuse

### ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Determinate		Indeterminate	
Offense Category				
Alcohol	0	0.0%	2	0.3%
Arson	1	0.9%	15	2.3%
Assault	20	17.4%	98	15.0%
Burglary	4	3.5%	111	16.9%
Disorderly Conduct	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
Escapes	0	0.0%	2	0.3%
Extortion	0	0.0%	5	0.8%
Failure to Appear	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
Family Offense	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
Fraud	0	0.0%	12	1.8%
Gangs	2	1.7%	17	2.6%
Kidnapping	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
Larceny	1	0.9%	118	18.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	0	0.0%	2	0.3%
Murder	3	2.6%	1	0.2%
Narcotics	5	4.3%	47	7.2%
Obstruction of Justice	0	0.0%	4	0.6%
Parole Violation	1	0.9%	28	4.3%
Robbery	60	52.2%	88	13.4%
Sex Offense	17	14.8%	47	7.2%
Telephone Law	0	0.0%	2	0.3%
Traffic	0	0.0%	13	2.0%
Trespass	0	0.0%	2	0.3%
Vandalism	0	0.0%	22	3.4%
Weapons	1	0.9%	15	2.3%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
Against Persons	93	80.9%	254	38.8%
Weapons/Narcotics	15	13.0%	46	7.0%
Other	6	5.2%	241	36.8%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
Against Persons	0	0.0%	45	6.9%
Other	0	0.0%	40	6.1%
Parole Violation	1	0.9%	29	4.4%
Other	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Information Missing	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>655</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

The most common offense for determinate admissions was robbery, and for indeterminate admissions it was larceny.


Services, the Program Manager for Sex Offender Services, and the Program Manager for Aggression and Behavior Management Services. All of the JCCs have a licensed clinical psychologist who serves as the treatment director for the institution as well as clinicians who provide direct services.

BSU employs doctoral and masters level clinicians (psychologists, clinical social workers, rehabilitation counselors, etc.) who provide direct services to youth. BSU attempts to hire clinicians who are already licensed and certified in their respective specialties, and staff without prior license or certification are strongly encouraged to receive the supervision and training necessary to become certified and/or licensed. All supervisory staff are licensed mental health professionals.

**Mental Health Treatment:** Upon arrival at RDC, each youth undergoes a psychological evaluation to identify his or her treatment needs. To address the mental health treatment needs of incarcerated youth, BSU provides individual, group, and family psychotherapy; crisis intervention services including assessment and prevention of self-injurious behavior; psychological assessment; case consultation; and staff training in treatment issues. Each institution has a part-time consulting psychiatrist who provides psychiatric evaluation and medication management. BSU provides 24/7 on-call services to respond to mental health emergencies including commitment to a psychiatric hospital.

BSU staffs intensive services units in the larger institutions to address the treatment needs of youth with significant emotional problems who cannot function well in the general population. In addition, BSU staff play integral roles in the design and implementation of treatment programs for special populations including the intellectually challenged and girls.

**Substance Abuse Treatment:** DJJ provides substance abuse treatment services at six of its seven juvenile correctional centers (excluding RDC) to youth meeting appropriate criteria. The foundation of programming is evidence-based with emphasis on motivation to change, drug and alcohol refusal skills, relapse prevention, problem solving, anger awareness and control, effective communication, addiction/craving coping skills, depression management, and managing thoughts about drug use. For youth with co-occurring disorders and/or other debilitating clinical issues who require more intensive treatment, individualized treatment planning allows BSU to administer additional therapies via individual, group, or family therapy. Treatment courses for youth in these programs range from one to four months. Girls receive substance abuse treatment services in a residential program addressing relapse prevention, psycho-education, emotional, physical and sexual trauma, grief and loss, co-occurring disorders, and gender specific issues. Treatment courses are generally six months.



Care provided through Health Services

## HEALTH SERVICES

All medical, dental, nursing, and related health services are provided to youth confined within the JCCs. On intake, some youth have a medical need requiring attention that may be a result of being medically underserved prior to admission. To meet the needs of confined youth, DJJ maintains a staff of board-certified physicians, dentists, and nurses. Services are provided at all JCCs to ensure that the medical, psychiatric, and dental care needs of youth are met during confinement. DJJ has a contract with Anthem to provide access to community health care providers for juveniles confined to detention centers and JCCs. This contract ensures all health care services provided to confined youth, exterior to these confinement facilities, are delivered by qualified practitioners in a cost-effective fashion. The contract exemplifies the efforts of Health Services to provide quality care using the most efficient and economical service delivery models available.

**Sex Offender Treatment:** DJJ opened its first state-operated juvenile sex offender treatment unit in January 1990. The program has significantly expanded since that time in order to provide services to all juvenile sex offenders in the system. DJJ currently has 7 self-contained sex offender treatment units across five JCCs. Beaumont and Culpeper offer treatment to older juveniles; Hanover and Bon Air offer services to younger high school and middle school juveniles; and Oak Ridge provides treatment to developmentally delayed juveniles of all ages.

Most juvenile sex offenders are placed in self-contained units. These units offer intensive milieu-based treatment where juveniles reside in housing units with other sex offenders. The units offer a range of treatment modalities that include individual and group psychotherapy, psycho-educational groups, and family psychotherapy. They are typically staffed by a psychologist, social worker, institutional counselor, and juvenile correctional officers. The clinical staff are either licensed or certified as sex offender treatment providers. Juvenile sex offenders are a heterogeneous population and treatment is individualized. However, all sex offenders address a number of core treatment objectives supplemented by individualized treatment activities. On average, successful completion of treatment requires approximately 18 months. Because of the small number of female sex offenders, it has not been necessary to operate a self-contained sex offender treatment unit for girls.

**Aggression Management:** The recently re-designed Aggression Management Program provides evidence-based intervention in anger control, moral development, and social skills training. Based upon evaluation at RDC, a resident is placed in a self-contained unit or a general population treatment track that targets his/her treatment needs in these areas. A majority of residents at the JCCs are identified as requiring aggression management treatment.

DJJ's Health Services division works with the Departments of Education, Health, Social Services, and Mental Health, Mental Retardation and Substance Abuse Services on an initiative through the Center for Disease Control encouraging state agencies to work collaboratively to address the health needs of high risk youth.

The clinical staff of DJJ offers education courses to physician, dental, and nursing staff who work in correctional and detention centers from across the Commonwealth to ensure that they are current on adolescent health medical issues.

## SECURITY AND OPERATIONS

The Security and Operations Section of the Division of Institutional Services incorporates functions that provide public safety including supervision and control of committed juveniles and support functions of proper facility operation. Security, which involves both public safety and the safety of the juveniles themselves, is facilitated by the juvenile correctional officers (JCOs) in the field. JCOs operate, using paramilitary style rankings and titles, under standard operating procedures that set forth proper techniques for addressing various situations and how facilities and services are to operate on a 24-hour basis. Support staff provide basic daily operating services including food service, maintenance, human resources, medical, recreation, and business office responsibilities.

As a safeguard for the resident, a grievance process is in place in the institutions. The grievance coordinator operates independently in order to provide juveniles with an outlet for addressing issues for which they have expressed concern.

## CASE MANAGEMENT AND TREATMENT PROGRAMS

The Case Management and Treatment Programs Section of the Division incorporates functions that provide oversight of treatment needs, security requirements (with respect to classification), length of stay, institutional placement, and changes while in direct care. Case management staff monitor how treatment and programs are operated, assess progress achieved, set parameters for the programs, and deal with broad regulations on classification as well as the residential location of juveniles with different classifications. They are responsible for ensuring that all needed services are available (including mental health, substance abuse, sex offender, anger management, and independent living skills development) and operational at the facilities and serve as a liaison from the field to the administrative offices, and vice versa, for procedures and resources. They are responsible for establishing and updating the length of stay for each resident and the resident's custody classification. These staff follow the case management practices that will eventually justify the release of a juvenile back to the community and work with the community to provide a transition and parole plan for re-entry.

## YOUTH INDUSTRIES

Juvenile re-entry programs begin with the premise that planning for release starts at intake. Recognizing that many of the youth released to the community from the JCCs are not prepared to enter the work force, the Youth Industries Program was created to help participants overcome barriers to employment and was designed as a partnership with DCE and the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL). DJJ manages its programs so that juveniles entrusted to its care are offered meaningful opportunities to learn employable skills and to practice those skills in a constructive environment.



Juvenile participating in the Offset Printing Program at Beaumont JCC

Participant selection is based on a resident's custody level, age, physical abilities, length of stay, and educational development and requirements. Those residents who meet established criteria are afforded the opportunity to engage in employment provided both on the JCC grounds and in local communities through agreements with agencies of state and local government, private employers, foundations, and charitable organizations. Additionally, residents who otherwise might not be able to complete or participate in all phases of the work-training program may be offered the opportunity to contribute toward meaningful community service work activities both on the facility grounds and in the local communities.

Each JCC, in cooperation with DCE, develops its own processes to provide work-training programs to its population, based on local resources, staff competencies, and juvenile characteristics. For this reason, programs offered at each of the JCCs vary. However, similar elementary components are provided that lead towards a positive result as juveniles enter the workforce upon release. In an effort to improve a juvenile's likelihood of success, Youth Industries works with the State's One-Stop Centers, operated through the Virginia Workforce Council and Workforce Investment Boards, to help secure employment and other needed services for these youthful offenders.


Selected youth receive one or more of the following:

- vocational and academic instruction
- hands-on work-based training
- ongoing counseling services
- transitional skills and services
- follow-up

If warranted, participating juveniles are modestly compensated based on work-training hours completed or receive an established piecework rate. Fields of study may also be integrated with an apprenticeship program. Such fields of study include horticulture, silk screening, offset printing, food service, immediate assembly, woodworking, electrical, barbering, embroidery, vinyl sign-making, advertising and design, and computer repair. Each year, creative arts and crafts work produced by juveniles throughout DJJ are collected for display and sale at the annual *Expressions Arts and Crafts Exhibit* held at the General Assembly Building at the start of the legislative session.

During the past few fiscal years, DJJ, in conjunction with DCE, has utilized DOL grant funding from two separate grants to build/enhance Youth Industry programming at Beaumont, Culpeper, and Natural Bridge JCCs. Juveniles who participated in a program under the DOL grants were provided with an aftercare component that focused on the employability of the juvenile through education, work experience, direct job placement, follow-up, or a combination

## ADMISSIONS BY SECURITY INITIAL CLASSIFICATION LEVEL, FY 2008



56% of residents admitted to the JCCs in FY 2008 had a low or medium initial classification level.

of these. Since expiration of the grant funding, DJJ and DCE have assumed all program-related costs to ensure that these opportunities continue to be available for residents.

At Beaumont, funding was used to establish a barbering program, an electrical program, and to expand the printing program. Funding was used at Culpeper JCC to establish programs in barbering and horticulture. At Natural Bridge, grant funds allowed for development of a 10-bed Work/Education Release program that utilizes living quarters separate from other juveniles housed at the JCC. This program provides selected juveniles an opportunity to obtain higher education or work-experiences outside of a JCC campus. Wages earned are first used to pay any restitutions, fines, or court costs.

Juveniles from the barbering and electrical programs at Beaumont have completed numerous jobs around the Beaumont facility, including electrical wiring and barbering work. The electrical program at Beaumont has also incorporated a copper/fiber optic based Network Cabling Installer course. Completing this eight-month course will allow juveniles to become certified cable installers. Currently, juveniles at Culpeper JCC participating in the barbering program provide grooming services for other juveniles housed at the facility as well as to staff. The horticulture program incorporates classroom instruction as well as the use of a fully functional greenhouse constructed on the JCC grounds. Residents involved in the horticulture program have completed beautification projects at the Culpeper facility as well as other JCCs. To date, 14 juveniles from the Beaumont and Culpeper barbering programs have earned their barbering licenses. By the end of FY 2008, 63 juveniles had participated in the Work/Education Release Program at Natural Bridge and have attended college classes at a nearby campus and/or have been employed by local businesses.

The Youth Industries program is also currently responsible for coordinating the Inter/Intramural Recreation and Extracurricular Activities Program (IREAP), which was introduced into the JCCs in 2007. IREAP is a component of the recently implemented REACH Behavioral Management Program, providing opportunities for the diverse resident population to participate in structured, healthy competition in traditional sports and academic-related activities. Activities include basketball, softball, soccer, volleyball, chess, table tennis tournaments, a spelling bee, and an academic bowl. These activities will allow the residents a chance to travel to other JCCs and compete against their peers.

## CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

The Department operates an objective classification system to enable staff to assess a resident's appropriate security and custody level, determine the most appropriate services and programs, assign residents to appropriate housing placements within a facility, and assess residents for placement in community transition programs and for special needs. The classification system also provides for periodic reviews of security, custody, and program placement in light of the juveniles' needs and progress and for the re-classification of juveniles as appropriate. A resident's behavior, initial committing offense, and willingness to participate in treatment/education programs will impact the classification level.

Residents are classified according to their need for structure:


- Level I - low structure
- Level II - medium structure
- Level III - high structure
- Level IV - intensive structure

Facilities are classified according to the level of security they provide:

- Level I - low security, structure, and supervision
- Level II - medium security, structure, and supervision
- Level III - high security, structure, and supervision
- Level IV - intensive security, structure, and supervision

Residents are assigned to facilities based on these classification levels, age, sex, and other factors identified in Appendix D. The need for specific treatment may override a classification level, but the classification level is still taken into consideration for room assignment, not treatment needs. For example, a Level I and a Level IV may both participate in Sex Offender treatment together, but they will not be housed in the same living quarters. (Initial Classification and Reclassification forms can be found in Appendices F and G, respectively.)

**ADMISSIONS BY LENGTH OF STAY ASSIGNMENTS, FY 2008**



15% of residents admitted to the JCCs in FY 2008 had a determinate or blended sentence. Of those with an indeterminate sentence, 23% were assigned a minimum LOS range of 18 or more months.

**LENGTH OF STAY (LOS)**

Using guidelines issued by the Board of Juvenile Justice, DJJ establishes the length of stay for indeterminately committed juveniles based on the severity of a juvenile’s offense(s), chronicity of criminal behavior, and any aggravating or mitigating factors associated with the criminal behavior, with due consideration given to the youth’s treatment needs and demonstrated behavior while under DJJ’s supervision. LOS categories are defined by an anticipated minimum and maximum number of months that the resident will remain with DJJ. For example, the LOS category 3-

6 indicates a resident is expected to stay between three and six months. The actual LOS may vary from this range due to institutional offenses or failure to complete mandatory treatment. (The guidelines and LOS categories can be found in Appendix E.)

**RELEASES BY ACTUAL LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2008**

LOS Category	Actual LOS	Releases	Releases, %
3 - 6 months	5.81	46	5.3%
6 - 9 months	7.11	11	1.3%
6 - 12 months	7.80	170	19.7%
9 - 12 months	7.94	1	0.1%
9 - 15 months	10.25	21	2.4%
12 - 18 months	11.13	238	27.6%
15 - 21 months	14.06	56	6.5%
18 - 24 months	17.19	59	6.8%
18 - 36 months	19.03	96	11.1%
21 - 36 months	22.35	25	2.9%
24 - 36 months	33.29	16	1.9%
Blended	28.21	17	2.0%
Total Indeterminate	12.85	745*	86.4%
Determinate	30.06	100	11.6%


\* Six juveniles had subsequent commitments with an LOS category exceeding 36 months.

The average actual LOS for all juveniles regardless of their commitment type is 15.2 months.

**PROJECTION FOR THE INSTITUTIONAL POPULATION**

Each year DJJ, in conjunction with the Governor’s Inmate Population Forecasting Committee, produces a projection of the state-responsible juvenile average daily population. The most recent forecast projects the institutional population to decrease by 12% between FY 2008 and FY 2012. It is important to note that the values shown in the table are the June values for each fiscal year.

**AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION (JUNE) - HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED, FY 2004-2012**



The state-responsible juvenile offender population (including private provider programs) is expected to decrease by 12% between FY 2008 and FY 2012. The historical ADP and forecast numbers shown in the table are the June values for each fiscal year.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## Virginia Wilderness Institute (VWI)

DJJ contracts with the Associated Marine Institute (AMI) to manage and operate the Virginia Wilderness Institute (VWI), a 32-bed facility serving committed offenders. Remotely located in Grundy, Virginia, VWI is a highly structured, disciplined, residential program for males. The program focuses on accountability and competency. The facility emphasizes work ethics, education, self-discipline, responsibility, and accountability through participation in rigorous work and daily structure. VWI offers a full range of academic and vocational instruction. A community coordinator works with each resident, both before and after release from the facility, to assist in transition to the community. The community coordination includes assistance with job search, school re-enrollment, and locating other support services in the community. Information on rearrest, reconviction, and reincarceration rates for juveniles placed at VWI may be found in the Reoffense Rates section.

### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2005-2008


Demographics	2005	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>				
Black	66.7%	57.1%	56.4%	53.3%
White	23.1%	37.1%	38.5%	42.2%
Other	10.3%	5.7%	5.1%	4.4%
<b>Ethnicity- Hispanic</b>	5.1%	2.9%	5.1%	4.4%
<b>Sex</b>				
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>				
14	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
15	10.3%	11.4%	7.7%	0.0%
16	33.3%	31.4%	25.6%	26.7%
17	30.8%	40.0%	48.7%	48.9%
18	15.4%	17.1%	15.4%	24.4%
19 or older	7.7%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%
<b>Region</b>				
Western	10.3%	14.3%	28.2%	15.6%
Northern	23.1%	37.1%	33.3%	40.0%
Eastern	66.7%	48.6%	38.5%	44.4%
<b>Total Placements</b>	39	35	39	45

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

### PLACEMENTS BY LOS ASSIGNMENTS, FY 2008

LOS Category	Placements	LOS Category	Placements
3 - 6 Months	2	18 - 24 Months	7
6 - 12 Months	5	18 - 36 Months	3
9 - 15 Months	1	24 - 36 Months	1
12 - 18 Months	19	Determinate	0
15 - 21 Months	7	Total	45

### VWI ADP vs CAPACITY, FY 2005-2008



Juveniles taking part in afternoon recreational activities and enjoying the snow

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## Chesapeake Community Placement Program (CPP)

Chesapeake Juvenile Services operates the Community Placement Program (CPP) to serve committed male offenders through a contractual partnership between DJJ and the detention center. This 10-bed program began in December 2004 and allows for placement of state-committed juveniles in a smaller, community-based setting. Pursuant to the contractual agreement, the Chesapeake CPP utilizes a positive-based, incentive-earning, behavior management program and offers services including aggression management, substance abuse, life and employability skills, and vocational education. Facility-specific services include Moral Reconciliation Therapy, family therapy, and a horticulture program, whereby residents maintain a greenhouse on the premises. Residents are enrolled in an accredited local school program licensed by the Department of Education and may work toward earning a high school diploma or GED.

### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2007-2008

Demographics	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>		
Black	84.6%	76.5%
White	3.8%	23.5%
Other	11.5%	0.0%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	3.8%	0.0%
<b>Sex</b>		
Male	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>		
Under 14	0.0%	0.0%
14	3.8%	0.0%
15	15.4%	11.8%
16	61.5%	17.6%
17	19.2%	52.9%
18 or older	0.0%	17.6%
<b>Region</b>		
Western	0.0%	0.0%
Northern	11.5%	5.9%
Eastern	88.5%	94.1%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>17</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.



CPP juvenile participating in an art class

### PLACEMENTS BY LOS ASSIGNMENTS, FY 2008

LOS Category	Placements	LOS Category	Placements
3 - 6 Months	1	15 - 21 Months	3
6 - 12 Months	1	18 - 24 Months	3
9 - 15 Months	1	21 - 36 Months	2
12 - 18 Months	6	Total	17

### PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE\*, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Total	%
Offense Category		
Burglary	3	17.6%
Larceny	5	29.4%
Narcotics	2	11.8%
Robbery	3	17.6%
Traffic	3	17.6%
Weapons	1	5.9%
Offense Severity		
Felonies:		
Against Persons	6	35.3%
Weapons/Narcotics	3	17.6%
Other	7	41.2%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:		
Against Persons	1	5.9%
Other	0	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	0	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

\* None of the residents placed at Chesapeake CPP in FY 2008 had determinate sentences.

- *Offense Severity (Most serious committing offense):*
  - Felony - 94.1% of placements
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 5.9% of placements
- *Average Daily Population vs Capacity:*
  - In FY 2008, ADP was 9 and capacity was 10.


## Shenandoah Community Placement Program (CPP)

The Community Placement Program (CPP) at Shenandoah Valley Juvenile Center began in April 2004 to serve committed male offenders through a contractual partnership between DJJ and the detention center. The program allowed for placement of state-committed juveniles in a smaller, community-based setting by providing them with a secure 10-bed pod separate from the detention population. CPP utilized a positive-based, incentive-earning, behavior management program and offered services based upon a cognitive-behavioral theoretical model including anger management and substance abuse. The Department of Education provided the educational program as well as a teacher specifically dedicated to the CPP. In addition, residents were able to work toward high school diploma credits or work on and even earn a GED while in the Program. Due to mid-fiscal year budget reductions, DJJ had to end the contract with the Shenandoah Valley Juvenile Center and the CPP program was closed December 31, 2007.

### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2007-2008

Demographics	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>		
Black	34.8%	0.0%
White	39.1%	83.3%
Other	26.1%	16.7%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	17.4%	16.7%
<b>Sex</b>		
Male	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>		
Under 14	0.0%	0.0%
14	0.0%	0.0%
15	13.0%	33.3%
16	56.5%	50.0%
17	30.4%	16.7%
18 or older	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Region</b>		
Western	26.1%	50.0%
Northern	60.9%	50.0%
Eastern	13.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>6</b>

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.



CPP juveniles enjoying some recreational time playing basketball

### PLACEMENTS BY LOS ASSIGNMENTS, FY 2008

LOS Category	Placements	LOS Category	Placements
3 - 6 Months	1	15 - 21 Months	2
6 - 12 Months	2	Determinate	0
12 - 18 Months	1	Total	6

### PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE\*, FY 2008

Most Serious Offense	Total	%
Offense Category		
Assault	1	16.7%
Burglary	1	16.7%
Fraud	1	16.7%
Gangs	1	16.7%
Larceny	1	16.7%
Narcotics	1	16.7%
Offense Severity		
Felonies:		
Against Persons	1	16.7%
Weapons/Narcotics	1	16.7%
Other	3	50.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:		
Against Persons	1	16.7%
Other	0	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	0	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

\*None of the residents placed at Shenandoah CPP in FY 2008 had determinate sentences.

- FY 2008 data reflects July 1, 2007-December 31, 2007 (when the facility was closed).
- *Offense Severity (Most serious committing offense):*
  - Felony - 83.4% of placements
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 16.7% of placements
- *Average Daily Population vs Capacity:*
  - In FY 2008, ADP was 4 and capacity was 10.

All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## Beaumont JCC

Superintendent: Michael Ito  
Capacity: 288

3500 Beaumont Road  
Beaumont, Virginia 23014  
804-556-3316

Population Housed: 16-20 year old more aggressive male offenders

Programs/Services Include: Aggression Management; Sex Offender; Substance Abuse; Life Skills; Youth Industries; Institutional Work Program; Intensive Services Unit for individuals with special needs; Individual, Group, and Family Therapy provided by social workers and psychologists; Enhanced Transitional Parole; and Religious/Recreation/Volunteer Programs

DCE School(s): Paul S. Blanford School and Annex


DCE Programs Available: comprehensive high school education program including GED preparation and testing; Reading Program; Tutorial Program; career and technical programs; apprenticeship programs; College Bound Program; and SAT testing.

### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2005-2008

Demographics	2005	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>				
Black	69.4%	74.2%	72.1%	63.5%
White	24.3%	20.9%	22.6%	29.8%
Other	6.3%	4.9%	5.3%	6.7%
<b>Ethnicity- Hispanic</b>	3.9%	3.5%	4.1%	4.7%
<b>Sex</b>				
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>				
Under 14	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
15	2.1%	0.5%	0.9%	0.6%
16	14.1%	23.9%	20.0%	13.5%
17	58.5%	57.3%	62.1%	69.6%
18	21.8%	15.8%	15.9%	15.8%
19 or older	3.5%	2.4%	1.2%	0.6%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>368</b>	<b>340</b>	<b>342</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

### PLACEMENTS BY TREATMENT NEEDS, FY 2008



All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

#### Source of Placements:

- 51.2% were from the Eastern Region, 32.5% were from the Northern Region, and 16.4% were from the Western Region.

#### Treatment Programs:

- 76.3% had a substance abuse treatment need.
- 87.7% had an aggression management treatment need.
- 7.6% had a mandatory sex offender treatment need.

#### Committing Offenses:

- The 342 juveniles placed at Beaumont in FY 2008 were committed for a combined total of 1,317 offenses, with an average of 3.9 offenses per juvenile.
- 6 juveniles placed at Beaumont were recommitted in FY2008 as a result of an institutional offense.

#### Offense Category (Most serious committing offense):

- Determinate Sentence - Robbery (53.7%)
- Indeterminate Sentence - Burglary (16.3%) or Larceny (16.7%)

#### Offense Severity (Most serious committing offense):

- Felony - 98.1% of Determinate; 78.8% of Indeterminate
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 14.6% of Indeterminate

#### LOS Assignment:

- 15.8% had a determinate or blended sentence.
- 42.4% had an assigned LOS of 6-12 or 12-18 months.
- 23.7% (81 residents) had a minimum LOS range 18 or more months.

#### Custody Classification:

- 39.8% of all juveniles placed in FY 2008 with a classification level of IV were housed at Beaumont.

#### Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 33% between FY 2000 and FY 2008.

#### Utilization Rate:


- Beaumont's utilization rate decreased from 95.5% in FY 2007 to 84.0% in FY 2008.

### PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2008


Most Serious Offense	Det.	Indet.
Offense Category		
Alcohol	0.0%	0.7%
Arson	1.8%	1.4%
Assault	20.4%	11.5%
Burglary	3.7%	16.3%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.3%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.3%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.0%	2.8%
Gangs	1.9%	2.1%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	0.0%	16.7%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.0%	0.3%
Murder	1.9%	0.0%
Narcotics	5.6%	10.1%
Obstruction of Justice	0.0%	1.0%
Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	1.9%	6.6%
Robbery	53.7%	13.5%
Sex Offense	9.3%	6.9%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.0%	1.7%
Trespass	0.0%	0.3%
Vandalism	0.0%	3.1%
Weapons	0.0%	4.2%
Offense Severity		
Felonies:		
Against Persons	85.2%	36.8%
Weapons/Narcotics	7.4%	9.4%
Other	5.6%	32.6%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:		
Against Persons	0.0%	5.9%
Other	0.0%	8.7%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	1.9%	6.6%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>288</b>

<sup>1</sup> - Variations may be due to slightly different offense categorization.


### PLACEMENTS BY ASSIGNED LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2008



### PLACEMENTS BY CUSTODY CLASSIFICATION LEVELS, FY 2008



### CAPACITY, AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, AND PLACEMENTS, FY 2000-2008



All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## Bon Air JCC

Superintendent: Linda S. McWilliams  
Capacity: 213

1900 Chatsworth Avenue  
Bon Air, Virginia 23235  
804-323-2550

*Population Housed:* 14-18 year old male offenders; 11-20 year old female offenders (Bon Air is the only JCC to house females with a special placement unit for circuit court committed females 18 years and 6 months or older to comply with sight and sound regulations.)

*Programs/Services Include:* Aggression Management; Sex Offender; Substance Abuse; Youth Industries; Institutional Work Program; Intensive Services Unit for individuals with special needs; Individual, Group, and Family Therapy provided by social workers and psychologists; gender specific programming; Enhanced Transitional Parole; and Religious/Recreation/Volunteer Programs.

*DCE School(s):* Joseph Mastin School and Annex


*DCE Programs Available:* comprehensive high school education program with GED preparation and testing; Reading Program; Tutorial Program; career & technical programs; apprenticeship programs; distance learning for future college courses; cognitive skills training; and SAT testing.

### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2005-2008

Demographics	2005	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>				
Black	71.7%	73.9%	67.6%	68.8%
White	23.8%	21.9%	26.6%	20.8%
Other	4.5%	4.3%	5.9%	10.4%
<b>Ethnicity- Hispanic</b>	3.0%	2.8%	5.2%	7.8%
<b>Sex</b>				
Male	81.5%	80.1%	72.4%	77.9%
Female	18.5%	19.9%	27.6%	22.1%
<b>Age</b>				
Under 14	3.8%	3.1%	0.3%	0.0%
14	10.3%	13.9%	6.2%	5.6%
15	27.3%	31.0%	25.9%	27.3%
16	35.3%	34.7%	47.2%	46.8%
17	17.0%	13.9%	17.2%	18.6%
18	5.3%	2.8%	2.8%	0.9%
19 or older	1.0%	0.6%	0.3%	0.9%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>399</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>231</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

### PLACEMENTS BY TREATMENT NEEDS, FY 2008



- *Source of Placements:*
  - 51.5% were from the Eastern Region, 34.2% were from the Northern Region, and 14.3% were from the Western Region.
- *Treatment Programs:*
  - 62.3% had a substance abuse treatment need.
  - 89.2% had an aggression management treatment need.
  - 13.4% had a mandatory sex offender treatment need.
- *Committing Offenses:*
  - The 231 juveniles placed at Bon Air in FY 2008 were committed for a combined total of 858 offenses, with an average of 3.7 offenses per juvenile.
  - 8 juveniles placed at Bon Air were recommitted in FY2008 as a result of an institutional offense.
- *Offense Category (Most serious committing offense):*
  - Determinate Sentence - Robbery (50.0%)
  - Indeterminate Sentence - Assault (19.7%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious committing offense):*
  - Felony - 100.0% of Determinate; 79.8% of Indeterminate
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 15.8% of Indeterminate
- *LOS Assignment:*
  - 12.2% had a determinate or blended sentence.
  - 46.7% had an assigned LOS of 6-12 or 12-18 months.
  - 25.1% (58 residents) had a minimum LOS range 18 or more months.
- *Custody Classification:*
  - 28.5% of all juveniles placed in FY 2008 with a classification level of IV were housed at Bon Air.
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP decreased 43% between FY 2000 and FY 2008.
- *Utilization Rate:*
  - Bon Air's utilization rate decreased from 97.7% in FY 2007 to 86.9% in FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

### PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2008


Most Serious Offense	Det.	Indet.
Offense Category		
Alcohol	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.0%	1.5%
Assault	10.7%	19.2%
Burglary	7.1%	14.8%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.5%
Extortion	0.0%	1.5%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	1.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.0%	2.5%
Gangs	0.0%	1.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.5%
Larceny	3.6%	17.2%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	3.6%	1.0%
Narcotics	3.6%	5.9%
Obstruction of Justice	0.0%	1.5%
Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	3.9%
Robbery	50.0%	13.3%
Sex Offense	21.4%	11.3%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.0%	1.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	0.0%	2.0%
Weapons	0.0%	0.5%
Offense Severity		
Felonies:		
Against Persons	82.1%	43.8%
Weapons/Narcotics	7.1%	3.4%
Other	10.7%	32.5%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:		
Against Persons	0.0%	8.9%
Other	0.0%	6.9%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	4.4%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>203</b>

<sup>1</sup> - Variations may be due to slightly different offense categorization.


### PLACEMENTS BY ASSIGNED LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2008



### PLACEMENTS BY CUSTODY CLASSIFICATION LEVELS, FY 2008



### CAPACITY, AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, AND PLACEMENTS, FY 2000-2008



All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## Culpeper JCC

Superintendent: Crystal M. Wynn  
Capacity: 144

12240 Coffeewood Drive  
Mitchells, Virginia 22729  
540-727-3333

Population Housed<sup>1</sup>: 18-20 year old male offenders (emphasis on circuit court committed youth 18.6 years of age or older)

Programs/Services Include: Aggression Management; Sex Offender; Substance Abuse; Youth Industries; Institutional Work Program; Independent Living; Intensive Services Unit for individuals with special needs; Individual, Group, and Family Therapy provided by social workers and psychologists; Religious/Recreation/Volunteer Programs; and barbering/horticulture programs initiated by a DOL grant.

DCE School(s): Cedar Mountain School

DCE Programs Available: comprehensive high school education program with GED preparation and testing; Reading Program; Tutorial Program; career & technical programs; apprenticeship programs; distance learning for future college courses; cognitive skills training; and SAT testing.


<sup>1</sup>Culpeper operated as a female-only facility beginning in FY 2003 and ending during FY 2005.

### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2005-2008

Demographics	2005	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>				
Black	64.5%	81.0%	68.3%	79.2%
White	30.4%	15.0%	25.6%	14.2%
Other	5.1%	4.1%	6.1%	6.6%
<b>Ethnicity- Hispanic</b>	3.6%	1.4%	4.9%	3.8%
<b>Sex</b>				
Male	66.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>				
Under 14	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
15	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
16	13.8%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
17	26.8%	34.7%	6.1%	16.0%
18	29.0%	40.1%	78.0%	71.7%
19 or older	17.4%	23.1%	15.9%	12.3%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>106</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

### PLACEMENTS BY TREATMENT NEEDS, FY 2008



- **Source of Placements:**
  - 64.2% were from the Eastern Region, 27.4% were from the Northern Region, and 8.5% were from the Western Region.
- **Treatment Programs:**
  - 71.7% had a substance abuse treatment need.
  - 89.6% had an aggression management treatment need.
  - 18.9% had a mandatory sex offender treatment need.
- **Committing Offenses:**
  - The 106 juveniles placed at Culpeper in FY 2008 were committed for a combined total of 338 offenses, with an average of 3.2 offenses per juvenile.
  - None of the juveniles placed at Culpeper were recommitted in FY2008 as a result of an institutional offense.
- **Offense Category (Most serious committing offense):**
  - Determinate Sentence - Robbery (57.4%)
  - Indeterminate Sentence - Robbery (23.7%)
- **Offense Severity (Most serious committing offense):**
  - Felony - 100.0% of Determinate; 92.1% of Indeterminate
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 0.0% of Indeterminate
- **LOS Assignment:**
  - 64.1% had a determinate or blended sentence.
  - 14.2% had an assigned LOS of 6-12 or 12-18 months.
  - 17.0% (18 residents) had a minimum LOS range 18 or more months.
- **Custody Classification:**
  - 17.1% of all juveniles placed in FY 2008 with a classification level of IV were housed at Culpeper.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 53% between FY 2000 and FY 2004, then increased 163% between FY 2004 and FY 2008.
- **Utilization Rate:**
  - Culpeper's utilization rate increased from 86.1% in FY 2007 to 95.1% in FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

### PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2008


Most Serious Offense	Det.	Indet.
Offense Category		
Alcohol	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.0%	2.6%
Assault	17.6%	10.5%
Burglary	2.9%	15.8%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	2.6%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	2.9%	10.5%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	2.9%	0.0%
Narcotics	1.5%	5.3%
Obstruction of Justice	0.0%	0.0%
Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	7.9%
Robbery	57.4%	23.7%
Sex Offense	11.8%	21.1%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.0%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	0.0%	0.0%
Weapons	2.9%	0.0%
Offense Severity		
Felonies:		
Against Persons	88.2%	68.4%
Weapons/Narcotics	10.3%	7.9%
Other	1.5%	15.8%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:		
Against Persons	0.0%	0.0%
Other	0.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	7.9%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>38</b>

<sup>1</sup> - Variations may be due to slightly different offense categorization.


### PLACEMENTS BY ASSIGNED LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2008



### PLACEMENTS BY CUSTODY CLASSIFICATION LEVELS, FY 2008



### CAPACITY, AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, AND PLACEMENTS, FY 2000-2008



All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## Hanover JCC

Superintendent: Sharon P. Havens  
Capacity: 144

7093 Broad Neck Road  
Hanover, Virginia 23069  
804-537-5316

Population Housed: 11-18 year old male offenders

Programs/Services Include: JROTC; Aggression Management; Sex Offender; Substance Abuse; Youth Industries; Institutional Work Program; Intensive Services Unit for individuals with special needs; Individual, Group, and Family Therapy provided by social workers and psychologists; and Religious/Recreation/Volunteer Programs.

DCE School(s): John H. Smyth School


DCE Programs Available: comprehensive high school education and full middle school curriculum including GED preparation and testing as well as career and technical programs.

### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2005-2008

Demographics	2005	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>				
Black	69.6%	58.6%	77.7%	70.4%
White	23.0%	32.0%	16.3%	23.0%
Other	7.3%	9.4%	6.0%	6.6%
<b>Ethnicity- Hispanic</b>	6.3%	4.7%	4.8%	5.9%
<b>Sex</b>				
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>				
Under 14	2.1%	0.0%	6.0%	6.6%
14	11.0%	4.7%	22.3%	15.1%
15	23.6%	15.6%	39.2%	34.2%
16	25.7%	39.8%	19.9%	28.9%
17	26.2%	35.9%	10.2%	13.8%
18	9.4%	3.1%	1.8%	1.3%
19 or older	2.1%	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>152</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

### PLACEMENTS BY TREATMENT NEEDS, FY 2008



All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


- **Source of Placements:**
  - 61.2% were from the Eastern Region, 26.3% were from the Northern Region, and 12.5% were from the Western Region.
- **Treatment Programs:**
  - 62.5% had a substance abuse treatment need.
  - 87.5% had an aggression management treatment need.
  - 10.5% had a mandatory sex offender treatment need.
- **Committing Offenses:**
  - The 152 juveniles placed at Hanover in FY 2008 were committed for a combined total of 567 offenses, with an average of 3.7 offenses per juvenile.
  - 2 juveniles placed at Hanover were recommitted in FY2008 as a result of an institutional offense.
- **Offense Category (Most serious committing offense):**
  - Determinate Sentence - Robbery (41.7%)
  - Indeterminate Sentence - Larceny (20.0%), Burglary (18.6%) or Robbery (20.0%)
- **Offense Severity (Most serious committing offense):**
  - Felony - 100.0% of Determinate; 91.4% of Indeterminate
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 7.9% of Indeterminate
- **LOS Assignment:**
  - 7.9% had a determinate or blended sentence.
  - 40.1% had an assigned LOS of 6-12 or 12-18 months.
  - 38.9% (59 residents) had a minimum LOS range 18 or more months.
- **Custody Classification:**
  - 8.1% of all juveniles placed in FY 2008 with a classification level of IV were housed at Hanover.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 23% between FY 2000 and FY 2002, increased 30% between FY 2002 and FY 2003, decreased 44% between FY 2003 and FY 2006, and increased 38% between FY 2006 and FY 2008.
- **Utilization Rate:**
  - Hanover's utilization rate decreased from 96.2% in FY 2007 to 93.8% in FY 2008.

### PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2008


Most Serious Offense	Det.	Indet.
Offense Category		
Alcohol	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.0%	3.6%
Assault	8.3%	12.9%
Burglary	0.0%	18.6%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	0.7%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.0%	0.7%
Gangs	0.0%	1.4%
Kidnapping	0.0%	1.4%
Larceny	8.3%	20.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.0%	0.7%
Murder	33.3%	1.4%
Narcotics	0.0%	4.3%
Obstruction of Justice	0.0%	0.0%
Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.7%
Robbery	41.7%	20.0%
Sex Offense	8.3%	9.3%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.0%	0.7%
Trespass	0.0%	0.7%
Vandalism	0.0%	0.7%
Weapons	0.0%	2.1%
Offense Severity		
Felonies:		
Against Persons	91.7%	50.0%
Weapons/Narcotics	8.3%	5.0%
Other	0.0%	36.4%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:		
Against Persons	0.0%	5.0%
Other	0.0%	2.9%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.7%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>140</b>

<sup>1</sup> - Variations may be due to slightly different offense categorization.


### PLACEMENTS BY ASSIGNED LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2008



### PLACEMENTS BY CUSTODY CLASSIFICATION LEVELS, FY 2008



### CAPACITY, AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, AND PLACEMENTS, FY 2000-2008



All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## Natural Bridge JCC

Superintendent: John C. Loving, Jr.  
Capacity: 71

1425 Arnolds Valley Road  
Natural Bridge Station, Virginia 24579  
540-291-2129

Population Housed: 15-20 year old male offenders (lower security risk offenders and offenders preparing for release)

Programs/Services Include: Aggression Management; Substance Abuse; Youth Industries; Institutional Work Program; Individual, Group, and Family Therapy provided by social workers and psychologists; Religious/Recreation/Volunteer Programs; Camp New Hope; Agri-Business Program in conjunction with the Department of Corrections; and a Work/Education Release Program initiated by a DOL grant.

DCE School(s): Thunder Ridge School


DCE Programs Available: comprehensive high school education program including GED preparation and testing; Reading Program; Tutorial Program; career and technical programs; apprenticeship programs; distance learning for future college courses; cognitive skills training; and SAT testing.

### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2005-2008

Demographics	2005	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>				
Black	57.8%	58.6%	57.8%	54.9%
White	39.1%	33.1%	35.9%	28.7%
Other	3.1%	8.3%	6.3%	16.4%
<b>Ethnicity- Hispanic</b>	2.5%	6.2%	5.5%	14.8%
<b>Sex</b>				
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>				
Under 14	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
15	4.3%	3.4%	5.5%	4.9%
16	23.0%	22.1%	31.3%	27.9%
17	39.8%	40.7%	37.5%	30.3%
18	26.1%	23.4%	20.3%	27.9%
19 or older	6.8%	10.3%	5.5%	9.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>122</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

### PLACEMENTS BY TREATMENT NEEDS, FY 2008



All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


- **Source of Placements:**
  - 42.6% were from the Eastern Region, 39.3% were from the Northern Region, and 18.0% were from the Western Region.
- **Treatment Programs:**
  - 68.9% had a substance abuse treatment need.
  - 79.5% had an aggression management treatment need.
  - 1.6% had a mandatory sex offender treatment need.
- **Committing Offenses:**
  - The 122 juveniles placed at Natural Bridge in FY 2008 were committed for a combined total of 433 offenses, with an average of 3.5 offenses per juvenile.
  - None of the juveniles placed at Natural Bridge were recommitted in FY2008 as a result of an institutional offense.
- **Offense Category (Most serious committing offense):**
  - Determinate Sentence - Robbery (57.1%)
  - Indeterminate Sentence - Robbery (23.1%)
- **Offense Severity (Most serious committing offense):**
  - Felony - 100.0% of Determinate; 87.0% of Indeterminate
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 9.3% of Indeterminate
- **LOS Assignment:**
  - 11.5% had a determinate sentence.
  - 39.4% had an assigned LOS of 6-12 or 12-18 months.
  - 33.6% (41 residents) had a minimum LOS range 18 or more months.
- **Custody Classification:**
  - No juveniles with a classification level of IV were housed at Natural Bridge.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 15% between FY 2000 and FY 2008.
- **Utilization Rate:**
  - Natural Bridge's utilization rate decreased from 87.3% in FY 2007 to 80.3% in FY 2008.

### PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2008


Most Serious Offense	Det.	Indet.
Offense Category		
Alcohol	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	14.3%	7.4%
Burglary	0.0%	19.4%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.0%	1.9%
Gangs	0.0%	3.7%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	0.0%	19.4%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	7.1%	1.9%
Narcotics	7.1%	10.2%
Obstruction of Justice	0.0%	0.9%
Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	3.7%
Robbery	57.1%	23.1%
Sex Offense	7.1%	0.9%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.9%
Traffic	0.0%	1.9%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	0.0%	1.9%
Weapons	7.1%	2.8%
Offense Severity		
Felonies:		
Against Persons	85.7%	40.7%
Weapons/Narcotics	14.3%	9.3%
Other	0.0%	37.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:		
Against Persons	0.0%	1.9%
Other	0.0%	7.4%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	3.7%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>108</b>

<sup>1</sup> - Variations may be due to slightly different offense categorization.


### PLACEMENTS BY ASSIGNED LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2008



### PLACEMENTS BY CUSTODY CLASSIFICATION LEVELS, FY 2008



### CAPACITY, AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, AND PLACEMENTS, FY 2000-2008



All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## Oak Ridge JCC

Superintendent: Kathryn B. Dickerson  
Capacity: 40

1801 Bon Air Road  
Bon Air, Virginia 23235  
804-323-2335

*Population Housed:* 11-20 year old male offenders (youth with developmental disabilities and behavior disorders, measured intelligence scores in the borderline to moderately retarded range, and Woodcock Johnson academic scores at or below the fourth grade level)

*Programs/Services Include:* Aggression Management; Substance Abuse; Sex Offender; Youth Industries; Institutional Work Programs; Individual, Group, and Family Therapy provided by social workers and psychologists; Token Economy Program; and Religious/Recreation/Volunteer Programs

*DCE School(s):* W. H. Crockford School


*DCE Programs Available:* comprehensive special education curriculum as well as career and technical programs

### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2005-2008

Demographics	2005	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>				
Black	81.1%	89.1%	77.1%	87.5%
White	16.2%	8.7%	18.8%	12.5%
Other	2.7%	2.2%	4.2%	0.0%
<b>Ethnicity- Hispanic</b>	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%
<b>Sex</b>				
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>				
Under 14	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	6.3%
14	5.4%	6.5%	8.3%	6.3%
15	21.6%	17.4%	18.8%	15.6%
16	29.7%	26.1%	31.3%	25.0%
17	21.6%	41.3%	22.9%	25.0%
18	18.9%	2.2%	16.7%	18.8%
19 or older	2.7%	2.2%	2.1%	3.1%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>32</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

### PLACEMENTS BY TREATMENT NEEDS, FY 2008



- *Source of Placements:*
  - 68.8% were from the Eastern Region, 15.6% were from the Northern Region, and 15.6% were from the Western Region.
- *Treatment Programs:*
  - 71.9% had a substance abuse treatment need.
  - 90.6% had an aggression management treatment need.
  - 18.8% had a mandatory sex offender treatment need.
- *Committing Offenses:*
  - The 32 juveniles placed at Oak Ridge in FY 2008 were committed for a combined total of 127 offenses, with an average of 4.0 offenses per juvenile.
  - 1 juvenile placed at Oak Ridge was recommitted in FY2008 as a result of an institutional offense.
- *Offense Category (Most serious committing offense):*
  - Determinate Sentence - Assault (50.0%) or Burglary (50.0%)
  - Indeterminate Sentence - Assault (20.0%) or Narcotics (20.0%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious committing offense):*
  - Felony - 100.0% of Determinate; 83.3% of Indeterminate
  - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 13.3% of Indeterminate
- *LOS Assignment:*
  - 6.3% had a determinate sentence.
  - 56.2% had an assigned LOS of 6-12 or 12-18 months.
  - 28.1% (9 residents) had a minimum LOS range 18 or more months.
- *Custody Classification:*
  - 6.5% of all juveniles placed in FY 2008 with a classification level of IV were housed at Oak Ridge.
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP has remained almost constant between FY 2000 and FY 2008.
- *Utilization Rate:*
  - Oak Ridge's utilization rate remained the same (100.0%) between FY 2007 and FY 2008.


All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

### PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2008


Most Serious Offense	Det.	Indet.
Offense Category		
Alcohol	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.0%	3.3%
Assault	50.0%	20.0%
Burglary	50.0%	10.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.0%	3.3%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	0.0%	16.7%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	6.7%
Narcotics	0.0%	20.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.0%	0.0%
Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	3.3%
Robbery	0.0%	6.7%
Sex Offense	0.0%	10.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.0%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	0.0%	0.0%
Weapons	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Severity		
Felonies:		
Against Persons	0.0%	33.3%
Weapons/Narcotics	50.0%	13.3%
Other	50.0%	36.7%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:		
Against Persons	0.0%	10.0%
Other	0.0%	3.3%
Prob./Parole Violation <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	3.3%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>30</b>

<sup>1</sup> - Variations may be due to slightly different offense categorization.


### PLACEMENTS BY ASSIGNED LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2008



### PLACEMENTS BY CUSTODY CLASSIFICATION LEVELS, FY 2008




### CAPACITY, AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, AND PLACEMENTS, FY 2000-2008



All data were downloaded on 11/13/2008. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.



# Reoffense Rates



Juvenile from Natural Bridge JCC working for his off-site employer as part of the Work/Education Release Program

## Measuring Reoffense Rates

Recidivism, or reoffending, is an important concept for juvenile and adult criminal justice systems because it provides a measure of outcome success. In terms of public awareness, this concept is usually the primary measure of interest when evaluating program effectiveness. Use of a standardized measure of recidivism allows evaluation across different types of programs and facilitates comparison to program outcomes from other states.

While there are many studies on offender recidivism, comparison of results is often difficult because the evaluation methodologies used vary widely. Definitions of recidivism differ from study to study, and characteristics of the juveniles studied may not be adequately identified. These issues, as well as others concerning reoffense analysis, were discussed in DJJ's research quarterly on juvenile recidivism in Virginia (available on the DJJ website at [www.djj.virginia.gov/Resources/DJJ\\_Publications/ResearchQuarterlies.aspx](http://www.djj.virginia.gov/Resources/DJJ_Publications/ResearchQuarterlies.aspx)).

### METHODS FOR STUDYING REOFFENDING

One of the methods used to evaluate reoffending is the longitudinal cohort study. A cohort is simply a group of individuals who share some common characteristic, such as release from incarceration during a specific year. This method may use the entire population of interest or a sample from that population. The chosen cohort is then followed over a period of time so that any trends for that group may be identified. For reoffense rates, a cohort is tracked for a specific follow-up period. For a longitudinal cohort analysis, the cohort is chosen (for example, all juveniles released from JCCs during FY 2006). At the end of a specified follow-up period (for example, 12 months after release) all instances of reoffending are identified. The cohort is then divided into groups depending on whether or not they reoffended.

Most recidivism studies use the longitudinal cohort method, including the Florida Department of Corrections' report on adult recidivism (2003) and Virginia's annual evaluations of juvenile reoffending (see previous *Data Resource Guides*). This method provides a clear picture of long-term trends and allows public safety agencies to see patterns in offending.

### DEFINITIONS OF REOFFENDING

The American Correctional Association (ACA) has stated that the definition of recidivism is one of the primary issues for juvenile and adult correctional organizations. According to the ACA, "...there are numerous ways to measure recidivism...[d]epending on what perspective is taken, statistical outcomes may vary."

There are three commonly accepted definitions used to measure reoffending:

- *Rearrest* refers to a petitioned juvenile complaint for a new criminal offense made at intake or an adult arrest for a new criminal offense. Rearrest is an important measure of reoffending because it represents the initial official contact with the criminal justice system. Uses of rearrest rates are limited as a gauge of reoffending because rearrest

measures police activity, and juveniles may be rearrested for offenses they did not actually commit.

- *Reconviction* refers to a guilty adjudication for a delinquent or criminal offense. This measure represents a more stringent way to measure reoffending. Because reconviction rates are based on the final disposition for an offense, only cases with an admission of guilt or a court adjudication of guilty are counted. Only reconviction meets the definition of recidivism used by DJJ.
- *Reincarceration* refers to a return to incarceration (after having been previously released from incarceration in a juvenile or adult facility) subsequent to rearrest and reconviction on a new criminal offense. This measure indicates that the new offense is serious enough to warrant a return to incarceration.

A report on offender risk assessment in Virginia (Ostrom, Kleiman, Chessman, Hansen, & Kauder, 2002) emphasized that the way recidivism is defined influences the interpretation of study results. According to their report, there are strengths and weaknesses associated with each measure of recidivism. Use of rearrest as a measure of recidivism has the advantage of not being influenced by court proceedings (offense reduction, plea bargaining, diversion) but may overestimate the level of reoffending because arrest criteria are less stringent than conviction criteria. Rearrest rates represent the *maximum* rate for reoffending as captured in official records.

Use of reconviction as a measure of recidivism lessens the likelihood of overinflating reoffending rates. It should be noted that time to reconviction is best measured as the number of days until a new arrest for which the offender will be subsequently convicted so that any discrepancies in court procedures will not influence the measurement of time to reoffense.

Reincarceration rates offer the most stringent measure of reoffending. Juveniles recommitted to a JCC or sentenced to an adult facility have been considered by a judge to have committed offenses serious enough to justify return to a correctional facility.

### ISSUES WITH THE STUDY OF REOFFENDING

Ostrom et al. (2002) noted that studies of reoffending (recidivism) differ in terms of the length of time used for follow-up. Length of follow-up period in these studies typically ranges from three months to five years. Most studies use a one-year follow-up period to assess reoffending. While reoffense rates are often highest within the first year after release or judicial action, the limitation of follow-up to one year does not allow for a comprehensive analysis of reoffending patterns. Studies of reoffending should use longer follow-up periods to get a better idea of the recidivism process. Ostrom et al. (2002) recommended using a follow-up period of at least one to three years.

There are other issues relevant to the examination of reoffending, particularly for juveniles. First, many studies have focused on offenders who were released from correctional centers. Focusing on this group limits the understanding of reoffending rates because it does not allow for examination of all individuals who have contact with the justice system and eliminates the possibility of comparison between individuals who were incarcerated in secure facilities and individuals who were sent to diversion programs or placed on probation.

Also, few studies have tracked offenses through both the juvenile and adult justice systems. A complete examination of juvenile reoffending is not possible unless all juvenile and adult contacts with the justice system are included.

Additionally, studies of reoffending should include information on gender and age differences when presenting reoffense data, particularly evaluations of juvenile reoffending. There are well-documented developmental differences between males and females, as well as juveniles in early adolescence versus late adolescence. For example, a 2007 study by Oregon Youth Authority found that female offenders were less likely than male offenders to be reconvicted of a felony. Therefore, reoffending patterns should not be assumed to be the same for all juveniles.

### **DJJ's STUDY OF JUVENILE REOFFENDING**

Data on juvenile offenders in Virginia are contained in the DJJ Juvenile Tracking System (JTS). The JTS contains information on juvenile intakes, detention placements, commitments to JCCs or other incarceration alternatives, and probation placements for all localities within Virginia. This information allows for the examination of juvenile reoffending patterns in a standardized way. DJJ also obtains statewide adult arrest and conviction information from the Virginia State Police and the Virginia Sentencing Commission. In addition, DJJ acquires information on subsequent incarcerations from the Department of Corrections (DOC) and the State Compensation Board. Information from these sources enables the study of statewide juvenile reoffending patterns with long-term follow-up periods.

Juvenile reoffending patterns for FY 2003 through FY 2007 were examined for this *Data Resource Guide*. Juvenile and adult arrest data on juveniles released from Virginia JCCs or placed on probation during these years were examined. The follow-up period ranged from three months to three years from the date the juvenile was released or placed. Demographic information for reoffenders was also included.

Although all three measures of reoffending were included in this *Data Resource Guide*, it is important to note that the official DJJ recidivism definition is based on measures of reconviction. In February 2000, the Director of the Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice issued an administrative directive (07-710) that established an official definition for recidivism to be used by the Department (this directive was updated in December 2004).

*For the purposes of reporting recidivism rates of juveniles as required by Va. Code §2.2-222, the Department will use the following definition:*

***A recidivist is a person who is found by a court to have committed, after being (a) placed on probation or (b) released from confinement, a delinquent or criminal act other than violation of probation or parole.***

As the recidivism definition currently stands, all instances of petitioned delinquent intakes and adult arrests for criminal activity (for which a juvenile has been adjudicated guilty) that occur after a juvenile is released from a JCC or is placed on probation are collected by DJJ. Technical violations are not included in this definition of reoffending. Tracking information for subsequent juvenile and adult offenses provides a better measure of reoffending than simply gathering information from the juvenile justice system alone.

As previously mentioned, DJJ uses a longitudinal cohort method for analysis; however, it is important to note that recidivism data tracks particular juveniles and not specific offenses. For example:

A juvenile is released from a JCC on January 1, 2005.

A) On March 1, 2005 he is arrested on a misdemeanor assault and the case is ultimately dismissed.

B) On October 1, 2005 he is arrested on a felony assault and is ultimately adjudicated guilty.

Rearrest rates would capture (A) within the 3-month follow-up period because it was the first arrest; (B) would not count in the rearrest rates. Reconviction rates would capture (B) as the first conviction within the 12-month follow-up period. Thus, the reconviction offense is not necessarily correlated with the initial rearrest. Likewise, the reincarceration offenses may not necessarily correlate with the reconviction offense.

## Reoffense Rates for JCC Releases and Probation Placements

Reoffense data were examined for FY 2003 through FY 2007 for the following cohorts:

- **JCC Releases** – all juveniles released from juvenile correctional centers
- **Probation Placements** – all juveniles placed on probation
- **Juveniles in DJJ Programs** – all juveniles who were in various treatment programs (including juveniles served by VJCCCA) or incarceration alternatives (including post-dispositional detention programs) between FY 2005 and FY 2007

Tables on the next few pages include the following data:

- **Rearrest rates** are presented for FY 2003 to FY 2007 for both JCC Release and Probation Placement cohorts.
- **Reconviction rates** are presented for FY 2003 to FY 2006 for both JCC Release and Probation Placement cohorts.
- **Reincarceration rates** are presented for FY 2003 to FY 2006 JCC Releases. These rates represent recommitment back into a JCC, incarceration in a penitentiary (not including blended sentences), or a jail sentence imposed by a judge. Data on any commitment to a JCC or an adult incarceration are also included for the post-dispositional detention program cohorts.

Reoffense data did not include the following offenses: violation of probation or parole, contempt of court, failure to appear (non-felony or misdemeanor), non-criminal domestic relations/child welfare complaints, or non-criminal traffic violations. Also, only petitioned delinquent intakes were used for the analysis, and expunged cases were excluded.

When the length of time to rearrest or reconviction is reported, it indicates the time between the date the juvenile was released from a JCC or placed on probation, and the date of a new arrest. For reincarceration length of time, the difference between the release date from a JCC and the reincarceration date was used.

There is a slight discrepancy between the total number of JCC Releases/Probation Placements in the reoffense analysis when compared with the total number of juveniles released from the JCCs or placed on probation reported in other sections of this *Data Resource Guide*. This slight discrepancy is due to the following methodological criteria used to establish the cohorts:

- Probation Placement cohorts did not include those few juveniles with missing date of birth data. This information is required to match cases in different state data systems (such as the DJJ JTS and the Virginia Criminal Information Network used by the Virginia State Police).
- Juveniles released from the JCCs under the following conditions were not included in the reoffense analysis: juveniles placed into RDC pre-dispositionally but not committed to the JCCs, juveniles sent directly to DOC upon release from the JCCs, or juveniles released from the JCCs on appeal (these juveniles were included in the analysis for any *subsequent* admissions and releases once the appeal process was completed and the juveniles were found guilty).

The numbers presented in this section have changed from previous *Data Resource Guides* due to more comprehensive information obtained from other agencies.

### TWELVE-MONTH REOFFENSE RATES, FY 2003-2007\*

	JCC Releases					Probation Placements				
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Rearrest	49.8%	52.1%	49.8%	45.1%	51.7%	38.2%	38.1%	37.5%	37.6%	36.4%
Reconviction	40.3%	41.7%	38.0%	35.3%	N/A	28.2%	27.2%	27.6%	27.0%	N/A
Reincarceration	25.8%	27.6%	25.6%	24.2%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* Due to methodological changes, data prior to FY 2003 are unavailable.

- Recidivism rates for FY 2006 (defined as reconviction rates by DJJ) at 12-months were:
  - 35.3% for juveniles released from the JCCs;
  - 27.0% for juveniles placed on probation.
- JCC Releases had higher 12-month rearrest rates than Probation Placements between FY 2003 and FY 2007 and higher 12-month reconviction rates between FY 2003 and FY 2006.
- The following reoffending patterns were noted at 12-months comparing FY 2005 and FY 2006:
  - For JCC Releases, all reoffense rates decreased.
  - For Probation Placements, the rearrest rates remained stable, and the reconviction rates decreased slightly.

## REARREST RATES, FY 2003-2007\*

Time to Reoffense	JCC Releases					Probation Placements				
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
3 months	13.4%	16.3%	15.0%	14.2%	14.7%	15.1%	15.8%	14.6%	14.5%	13.9%
6 months	29.5%	31.5%	29.7%	27.0%	29.7%	24.3%	25.3%	24.0%	24.1%	23.5%
12 months	49.8%	52.1%	49.8%	45.1%	51.7%	38.2%	38.1%	37.5%	37.6%	36.4%
24 months	69.2%	71.2%	68.8%	64.4%	N/A	54.0%	53.3%	52.9%	52.2%	N/A
36 months	77.1%	79.7%	78.5%	N/A	N/A	63.4%	62.5%	62.0%	N/A	N/A

\* Due to methodological changes, data prior to FY 2003 are unavailable.

As mentioned earlier, DJJ does not use rearrest rates as the official definition for recidivism. Examining rearrest rates is helpful, however, because these rates reflect the level of additional official contact juveniles have with the justice system after release or placement. Also, analysis of rearrest allows for comparison to other studies that use rearrest as their measure of recidivism. Evaluation of rearrest rates provides a sense of the *maximum rate* of known reoffending that occurs over time.

Rearrest rates by time to reoffense are listed in the table above.

- Rearrest rates for Probation Placements have been consistently lower than rearrest rates for JCC Releases since FY 2003 (with the exception of the 3-month rearrest rates in FY 2003 and FY 2006).
- The 12-month rearrest rate for JCC Releases increased from 45.1% in FY 2006 to 51.7% in FY 2007. For Probation Placements, the 12-month rearrest rate decreased from 37.6% in FY 2006 to 36.4% in FY 2007.
- Comparing FY 2003 to FY 2007, the 3-, 6-, and 12-month rearrest rates for both JCC Releases and Probation Placements remained relatively stable.

## TWELVE-MONTH REARREST RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2007<sup>1</sup>

Demographics	JCC Releases			Probation Placements		
	Total	Rearrests		Total	Rearrests	
<b>Race</b>						
Black	572	310	54.2%	3,157	1,336	42.3%
White	223	101	45.3%	3,257	1,022	31.4%
Other	49	25	51.0%	691	229	33.1%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	39	21	53.8%	516	175	33.9%
<b>Sex</b>						
Male	764	414	54.2%	5,360	2,111	39.4%
Female	80	22	27.5%	1,745	476	27.3%
<b>Age</b>						
Under 12	0	0	N/A	75	26	34.7%
12	0	0	N/A	168	64	38.1%
13	3	0	0.0%	518	193	37.3%
14	13	6	46.2%	917	319	34.8%
15	65	37	56.9%	1,507	604	40.1%
16	156	75	48.1%	1,869	690	36.9%
17	270	134	49.6%	1,796	608	33.9%
18 or older	337	184	54.6%	255	83	32.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>844</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>51.7%</b>	<b>7,105</b>	<b>2,587</b>	<b>36.4%</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

Demographic data for 12-month rearrest rates for JCC Releases and Probation Placements are presented in the table on the left.

- Males had higher rearrest rates than females for both JCC Releases and Probation Placements.
- Black juveniles had the highest rearrest rates for both JCC Releases and Probation Placements.
- Some age groups comprise a small number of releases. Therefore, the rearrest of only a few juveniles can strongly influence the rate. For example, there were only 13 juveniles released who were age 14, and since 6 were rearrested the rate for that age group seems high (46%). Caution should be used in making comparisons with other age groups.

<sup>1</sup>Total number of JCC Releases and Probation Placements reported in this section differs from total numbers reported in other sections. Please refer to page 181 for an explanation of these slight variations.

## RECONVICTION RATES, FY 2003-2006\*

Time to Reoffense	JCC Releases				Probation Placements			
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2003	2004	2005	2006
3 months	9.8%	11.8%	10.5%	9.6%	10.5%	10.5%	9.8%	9.4%
6 months	22.8%	24.1%	21.7%	19.0%	17.6%	17.4%	16.9%	16.3%
12 months	40.3%	41.7%	38.0%	35.3%	28.2%	27.2%	27.6%	27.0%
24 months	59.4%	61.1%	58.1%	N/A	41.6%	40.1%	40.5%	N/A
36 months	68.8%	70.4%	N/A	N/A	50.4%	49.0%	N/A	N/A

\* Due to methodological changes, data prior to FY 2003 are unavailable.

DJJ's official definition of recidivism requires a new conviction. This rate is preferred because it considers the final adjudication of guilt, rather than level of arrest activity. Only offenses for which there has been a clear final disposition of guilt are counted as reconviictions. Because of cases still pending at the time of analysis, FY 2007 reconviiction rates are unavailable. Reconviiction rates will increase slightly when re-examined next year because of updated final case dispositions.

Reconviiction rates by time to reoffense are listed in the table above.

- Reconviiction rates for Probation Placements have been consistently lower than the reconviiction rates for JCC Releases since FY 2003 (with the exception of the 3-month reconviiction rate in FY 2003).
- The 12-month reconviiction rate for JCC Releases decreased 2.7% from FY 2005 to FY 2006. For Probation Placements, the 12-month reconviiction rate decreased less than one percent during the same time frame.
- Comparing FY 2003 to FY 2006:
  - The 6- and 12-month reconviiction rates for JCC Releases have decreased 3.8% and 5.0% respectively.
  - The 3-, 6-, and 12-month reconviiction rates for Probation Placements showed little fluctuation.

## TWELVE-MONTH RECONVICTION RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006<sup>1</sup>

Demographics	JCC Releases			Probation Placements		
	Total	Reconviictions		Total	Reconviictions	
<b>Race</b>						
Black	553	210	38.0%	3,291	1,062	32.3%
White	270	86	31.9%	3,135	697	22.2%
Other	50	12	24.0%	735	173	23.5%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	32	10	31.3%	517	124	24.0%
<b>Sex</b>						
Male	796	296	37.2%	5,392	1,623	30.1%
Female	77	12	15.6%	1,769	309	17.5%
<b>Age</b>						
Under 12	0	0	N/A	57	15	26.3%
12	0	0	N/A	197	47	23.9%
13	5	3	60.0%	567	158	27.9%
14	26	12	46.2%	1,014	278	27.4%
15	73	23	31.5%	1,534	427	27.8%
16	147	44	29.9%	1,824	488	26.8%
17	266	110	41.4%	1,756	467	26.6%
18 or older	356	116	32.6%	212	52	24.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>873</b>	<b>308</b>	<b>35.3%</b>	<b>7,161</b>	<b>1,932</b>	<b>27.0%</b>

Demographic data for 12-month reconviiction rates for JCC Releases and Probation Placements are presented in the table on the left.

- Males had higher reconviiction rates than females for both JCC Releases and Probation Placements.
- Black juveniles had the highest reconviiction rates for both JCC Releases and Probation Placements.
- Some age groups comprise a small number of releases. Therefore, the reconviiction of only a few juveniles can strongly influence the rates. For example, there were only 5 juveniles released who were age 13, and since 3 were reconviicted the rate for that age group seems high (60%). Caution should be used in making comparisons with other age groups.

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.

<sup>1</sup> Total number of JCC Releases and Probation Placements reported in this section differs from total numbers reported in other sections. Please refer to page 181 for an explanation of these slight variations.

## REINCARCERATION RATES, FY 2003-2006\*

DJJ tracks both juvenile and adult reincarceration. Reincarceration rates are based on any new commitment to a JCC, DOC, or a sentence for time in a local jail. The addition of adult incarceration data allows for a complete comparison of reoffense rates for all former DJJ residents, from rearrest to reincarceration. The inclusion of adult incarceration information, for both the prisons and jails, is especially useful because DJJ retains custody of some residents until age 21.

Because of cases still pending at the time of analysis, FY 2007 reincarceration rates are unavailable. Reincarceration rates presented in this *Data Resource Guide* may differ from rates presented in previous years because of updated information obtained from DOC and from the Virginia Compensation Board (local jail sentence information) for FY 2003 through FY 2006 JCC Releases.

Time to Reoffense	JCC Releases			
	2003	2004	2005	2006
3 months	3.0%	3.6%	3.3%	3.3%
6 months	11.5%	12.0%	10.9%	9.2%
12 months	25.8%	27.6%	25.6%	24.2%
24 months	45.9%	48.1%	45.0%	N/A
36 months	56.8%	58.5%	N/A	N/A

\* Due to methodological changes, data prior to FY 2003 are unavailable.

- Reincarceration rates remained relatively stable for most follow-up intervals between FY 2003 and FY 2006.
- The percent of JCC Releases recommitted to the JCCs within 12 months of release decreased from 7.1% in FY 2003 to 6.2% in FY 2006.
- The percent of JCC Releases recommitted to adult facilities within 12 months of release remained stable from FY 2003 to FY 2006 (FY 2003: 18.0% in local jails and 0.7% to DOC; FY 2006: 17.6% in local jails and 0.3% to DOC).

## TWELVE-MONTH REINCARCERATION RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2006<sup>1</sup>

Demographic data for 12-month reincarceration rates for JCC Releases are presented in the table below.

- Males had higher reincarceration rates than females.
- Black juveniles had the highest reincarceration rates.
- Reincarceration rates were highest for juveniles who were age 17 or older at the time of their release from the JCCs.
- Less than four percent (31 juveniles) were age 14 or younger when they were released from the JCCs in FY 2006. Because this number is small, the reincarceration of only a few juveniles strongly influences the rate. Caution should be used in making comparisons with other age groups.

Demographics	JCC Releases		
	Total	Reincarcerations	
<b>Race</b>			
Black	553	144	26.0%
White	270	59	21.9%
Other	50	8	16.0%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	32	10	31.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	796	206	25.9%
Female	77	5	6.5%
<b>Age</b>			
Under 12	0	0	N/A
12	0	0	N/A
13	5	2	40.0%
14	26	8	30.8%
15	73	14	19.2%
16	147	23	15.6%
17	266	81	30.5%
18 or older	356	83	23.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>873</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>24.2%</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior *Data Resource Guides*. See page 13 for a discussion of the methodological changes.



<sup>1</sup>Total number of JCC Releases and Probation Placements reported in this section differs from total numbers reported in other sections. Please refer to page 181 for an explanation of these slight variations.

**TWELVE-MONTH REARREST AND RECONVICTION RATES BY COURT DISTRICT<sup>1</sup>**

CSU	JCC Releases				Probation Placements			
	FY 2007		FY 2006		FY 2007		FY 2006	
	Total	Rearrest	Total	Reconviction	Total	Rearrest	Total	Reconviction
1	20	55.0%	20	15.0%	271	39.5%	281	32.0%
2	48	45.8%	53	30.2%	334	43.4%	312	30.4%
2A	7	14.3%	14	21.4%	55	21.8%	102	20.6%
3	34	55.9%	21	23.8%	123	52.0%	133	30.1%
4	62	37.1%	78	34.6%	258	39.1%	259	34.0%
5	24	70.8%	28	32.1%	107	38.3%	133	28.6%
6	11	45.5%	19	47.4%	68	51.5%	65	32.3%
7	50	66.0%	49	42.9%	241	39.4%	306	31.4%
8	26	53.8%	29	34.5%	104	54.8%	107	44.9%
9	22	63.6%	18	16.7%	92	33.7%	99	36.4%
10	7	28.6%	9	33.3%	161	34.2%	130	21.5%
11	51	52.9%	39	30.8%	89	41.6%	111	38.7%
12	45	55.6%	43	34.9%	161	46.6%	141	34.8%
13	81	63.0%	90	46.7%	220	54.1%	292	37.3%
14	29	48.3%	18	27.8%	427	37.2%	333	25.2%
15	45	35.6%	75	41.3%	402	40.5%	427	29.7%
16	39	51.3%	26	38.5%	334	36.2%	312	27.9%
17	21	42.9%	19	15.8%	223	17.5%	236	14.8%
17F	0	N/A	0	N/A	16	31.3%	17	17.6%
18	16	50.0%	12	50.0%	124	29.0%	168	24.4%
19	32	53.1%	26	23.1%	968	28.1%	936	21.8%
20L	8	37.5%	8	37.5%	168	29.8%	103	24.3%
20W	8	37.5%	4	50.0%	133	30.8%	88	19.3%
21	13	53.8%	8	50.0%	163	36.2%	188	24.5%
22	27	33.3%	37	32.4%	129	28.7%	127	20.5%
23	1	0.0%	4	25.0%	38	36.8%	49	26.5%
23A	17	76.5%	11	45.5%	72	69.4%	88	42.0%
24	21	33.3%	30	36.7%	258	37.2%	278	25.9%
25	16	75.0%	17	29.4%	110	37.3%	106	33.0%
26	11	27.3%	19	26.3%	155	37.4%	158	28.5%
27	5	100.0%	12	33.3%	190	30.0%	190	22.1%
28	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	106	38.7%	131	26.0%
29	3	33.3%	1	0.0%	109	24.8%	165	17.6%
30	9	55.6%	6	50.0%	179	25.7%	185	16.8%
31	34	58.8%	29	48.3%	517	38.9%	405	24.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>844</b>	<b>51.7%</b>	<b>873</b>	<b>35.3%</b>	<b>7,105</b>	<b>36.4%</b>	<b>7,161</b>	<b>27.0%</b>

- The CSU District is identified by the Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court that originally committed the juvenile to the Department or placed the juvenile on probation.
- Some CSUs had a small number of releases/placements. Therefore, the reoffense of only a few juveniles can strongly influence the rates. It is important to consider this when looking at the percentages for each specific CSU.

<sup>1</sup>Total number of JCC Releases and Probation Placements reported in this section differs from total numbers reported in other sections. Please refer to page 181 for an explanation of these slight variations.

**TWELVE-MONTH REOFFENSE RATES FOR JCC RELEASES AND PROBATION PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL**

Risk Level	JCC Releases			Probation Placements	
	FY 2007	FY 2006		FY 2007	FY 2006
	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	Rearrest	Reconviction
Low*	31.0%	10.3%	2.6%	24.5%	17.5%
Moderate	45.4%	31.1%	20.2%	40.9%	28.8%
High	55.5%	41.2%	29.4%	51.5%	42.2%

\*There were a small number of JCC Releases with a low risk level.

The Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice Risk Assessment Instrument (see Appendix H) is completed by CSU staff to determine a juvenile’s relative risk of reoffending. The Risk Assessment Instrument classifies reoffense risk as low, moderate, or high. The risk assessment is completed as part of a social history report and is therefore not completed for all juveniles. A juvenile’s risk assessment score is one of the factors examined when parole supervision level is established. Juveniles with high risk assessment scores usually receive higher levels of parole supervision when first released from the JCCs.

The table above presents the reoffense rates for JCC Releases and Probation Placements with completed risk assessment scores. For both JCC Releases and Probation Placements, juveniles with low risk levels had the lowest reoffense rates, and juveniles with high risk levels had the highest reoffense rates. These rates are consistent with probable reoffense risk (according to risk score levels). In FY 2006, 7% of JCC Releases and 12% of Probation Placements were missing risk assessment scores, and in FY 2007, 5% of JCC releases and 14% of Probation Placements were missing scores.

- Probation Placements had a higher reconviction rate than JCC Releases at the low risk level.
- For the moderate risk level, reconviction rates for JCC Releases were higher than the rates for Probation Placements.
- Rearrest and reconviction rates at the high risk level were similar for both the JCC Releases and the Probation Placements.
- When looking at the various risk levels in reference to reoffense data, the rates show that the Risk Assessment Instrument is accurately classifying juveniles with regard to their risk of reoffense.

**REARREST RATE COMPARISON FOR JUVENILES PLACED IN VJCCCA PROGRAMS, FY 2005-2007<sup>1</sup>**

Time to Rearrest	VJCCCA Placements			Probation Placements			JCC Releases		
	2005	2006	2007	2005	2006	2007	2005	2006	2007
3 months	18.9%	16.8%	17.5%	14.6%	14.5%	13.9%	15.0%	14.2%	14.7%
6 months	28.4%	25.7%	26.4%	24.0%	24.1%	23.5%	29.7%	27.0%	29.7%
12 months	41.0%	37.6%	37.8%	37.5%	37.6%	36.4%	49.8%	45.1%	51.7%
Total Juveniles	11,624	11,848	12,108	7,115	7,161	7,105	936	873	844

VJCCCA programs serve thousands of juveniles each year, with a variety of programs in each locality. One of the outcome measures chosen for the evaluation of VJCCCA programs is rearrest (defined as a new petitioned intake or adult arrest). The rearrest rates at 3-, 6-, and 12-month follow-up intervals for juveniles who were placed in VJCCCA programs are provided in the table above. For comparative purposes, the rearrest rates for Probation Placements and JCC Releases for these years are also listed.

- The rearrest rates at the 3-, 6-, and 12-month follow-up intervals remained relatively stable for the VJCCCA Placements and Probation Placements.
- Between FY 2005 and FY 2007, VJCCCA Placements had the highest 3-month rearrest rates when compared to JCC Releases and Probation Placements. The 6- and 12- month rearrest rates for VJCCCA Placements were lower than the rearrest rates for JCC Releases. The 6- and 12-month rearrest rates for VJCCCA Placements were higher than the rates for Probation Placements with the exception of the 12-month rearrest rates in FY 2006, which were equal.

<sup>1</sup>Total number of JCC Releases and Probation Placements reported in this section differs from total numbers reported in other sections. Please refer to page 181 for an explanation of these slight variations.

## TWELVE-MONTH REOFFENSE RATES FOR AGENCY PROGRAMS AND COMMITMENT ALTERNATIVES

	Rearrest			Reconviction		Reincarceration	
	2005	2006	2007	2005	2006	2005	2006
Substance Abuse Treatment Need	51.7%	47.2%	53.9%	39.1%	36.9%	25.9%	26.1%
Sex Offender Treatment Need	31.7%	24.3%	29.9%	20.8%	20.6%	13.9%	10.3%
Youth Industries	30.8%	34.6%	42.9%	25.0%	21.2%	19.2%	13.5%
Hanover JROTC	38.7%	25.6%	31.0%	35.5%	23.1%	22.6%	5.1%
RSAT Program	21.4%	21.9%	24.0%	17.9%	18.8%	3.6%	3.1%
Virginia Wilderness Institute	37.8%	33.3%	62.9%	35.1%	28.2%	21.6%	17.9%
Halfway Houses	42.7%	36.2%	35.8%	28.0%	26.1%	21.3%	13.0%
Apartment Living Program	51.6%	44.8%	62.5%	41.9%	31.0%	35.5%	27.6%
Post-D Detention (with programs)	47.7%	51.8%	48.1%	36.7%	38.8%	16.8%	20.1%

Many juveniles committed to DJJ participate in programs designed to meet their treatment needs. There are also various program opportunities to assist in the successful return of these committed juveniles to the community. Not all those who are adjudicated guilty are placed in the JCCs, however. There are commitment alternatives available to judges for placement of juveniles who may be better served in non-JCC residential facilities. The table above presents the 12-month reoffense rates for juveniles in these programs who were released from the JCCs or post-dispositional detention programs between FY 2005 and FY 2007.

The table is not intended to provoke a comparison between the different programs – these programs often serve vastly different groups of juveniles with varying offense histories, treatment needs, and skills. **Also, some programs serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of only a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate.** The information in this table may be useful as DJJ evaluates these programs and commitment alternatives over time. Also, these data may serve as a source of comparison for similar programs in other states. It should be noted that all programs in the table *except post-dispositional detention* are subsets of the overall JCC population; no comparisons are made between program reoffense rates and the overall JCC release rates for that reason.

**Substance Abuse Treatment Need** – Rearrest rates decreased from FY 2005 to FY 2006 and increased from FY 2006 to FY 2007. Between FY 2005 and FY 2006, reconviction rates decreased, and reincarceration rates remained relatively stable.

**Sex Offender Treatment Need** – Rearrest rates decreased from FY 2005 to FY 2006 and increased from FY 2006 to FY 2007. Between FY 2005 and FY 2006, reconviction rates remained relatively stable, and reincarceration rates decreased.

**Youth Industries Programs** – Rearrest rates increased from FY 2005 to FY 2007 while reconviction and reincarceration rates decreased between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

**Hanover JCC Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps (JROTC)** – Reoffense rates decreased from FY 2005 to FY 2006. Rearrest rates increased between FY 2006 and FY 2007.

**Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) Program** – Rearrest rates increased from FY 2005 to FY 2007. Between FY 2005 and FY 2006, reconviction rates increased and reincarceration rates remained relatively stable. The RSAT program only serves females in the JCCs (see pages 182 -184 for the reoffense rates for female JCC Releases).

**Virginia Wilderness Institute (VWI)** – Reoffense rates decreased from FY 2005 to FY 2006. Rearrest rates increased between FY 2006 and FY 2007.

**Halfway Houses** – All reoffense rates decreased over the fiscal years examined.

**Apartment Living Program** – Reoffense rates decreased from FY 2005 to FY 2006. Rearrest rates increased between FY 2006 and FY 2007.

**Post-Dispositional Detention (with programs)** – Reoffense rates increased from FY 2005 to FY 2006. Rearrest rates decreased between FY 2006 and FY 2007. Although juveniles placed into post-dispositional programs were not in the JCCs, a “reincarceration” rate is reported to illustrate the rate of their return to a secure setting.



# Appendices



Juveniles from the Virginia Wilderness Institute participating in a community service project by cleaning up a playground in a local park

## Appendix A

### CSU STAFFING (FILLED POSITIONS) AS OF JUNE 30, 2008\*

	Clerical/Admin. Support	Psychologist	Probation Officers	Probation Supv./Mgrs.	CSU Director	<i>Total</i>
1 - Chesapeake	7	0	21	3	1	32
2 - Virginia Beach	8	0	31	5	1	45
2A - Accomack	2	0	6	1	1	10
3 - Portsmouth	6	0	16	2	1	25
4 - Norfolk	12	0	49	9	1	71
5 - Suffolk	4	0	11	2	0	17
6 - Hopewell	6	0	9	2	1	18
7 - Newport News	7	0	28	5	1	41
8 - Hampton	7	0	15	3	1	26
9 - Williamsburg	7	0	15	2	1	25
10 - Charlotte Court House	6	0	12	2	1	21
11 - Petersburg	6	0	11	3	1	21
12 - Chesterfield	7	0	18	3	1	29
13 - Richmond	11	0	31	6	1	49
14 - Henrico	4	0	20	4	1	29
15 - Fredericksburg	9	0	34	6	1	50
16 - Charlottesville	8	0	19	4	1	32
18 - Alexandria	6	0	12	3	1	22
20L - Loudoun	2	0	8	2	1	13
20W - Warrenton	1	0	4	1	1	7
21 - Martinsville	4	0	12	2	1	19
22 - Rocky Mount	6	0	15	2	1	24
23 - Salem	2	0	7	2	1	12
23A - Roanoke	4	0	13	2	1	20
24 - Lynchburg	6	0	20	3	1	30
25 - Staunton	7	0	14	2	1	24
26 - Winchester	4	0	11	2	1	18
27 - Pulaski	5	0	16	3	1	25
28 - Abingdon	4	0	10	2	1	17
29 - Pearisburg	7	1	14	2	1	25
30 - Gate City	4	0	10	2	1	17
31 - Manassas	4	1	29	6	1	41
<b>CSU Totals (Filled)</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>541</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>855</b>
<b>CSU Totals (Vacancies)</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>37</b>

\*Districts 17, 17F, and 19 are not included because they are locally funded.

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position.

## Appendix B JCC STAFFING (FILLED POSITIONS) AS OF JUNE 30, 2008

Job Title	Beaumont	Bon Air	Culpeper	Hanover	Natural Bridge	Oak Ridge	RDC	Total
Superintendent	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7
Assistant Superintendent	3	3	2	3	2	2	2	17
Captain	4	3	0	1	1	1	1	11
Lieutenant	6	6	5	5	5	4	5	36
Sergeant	24	22	15	17	5	5	14	102
JCO/JCO Sr.	207	167	94	66	38	29	68	669
Treatment Staff	18	16	9	9	5	4	17	78
Medical Staff	8	11	3	4	2	0	0	28
Maintenance Staff	14	0	9	11	5	0	0	39
Food Service Staff	19	14	11	9	8	0	11	72
Clerical/Administrative Staff	11	13	8	6	4	5	15	62
BSU Staff	13	14	4	10	3	2	11	57
<b>Facility Totals (Filled)</b>	<b>328</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>1,178</b>
Facility Totals (Vacancies)	34	51	47	38	3	3	6	182

Note: Bon Air, Oak Ridge, and RDC utilize contractual services for food service. These facilities share staff at Central Infirmary (Filled 5; Vacant: 4) and Central Maintenance (Filled: 15; Vacant:1).

## Appendix C JCC EXPENDITURES, FY 2008

	Beaumont	Bon Air	Culpeper	Hanover	Natural Bridge	Oak Ridge	RDC	Grand Total
Personal Service	17,974,397	12,527,208	8,874,425	8,280,412	4,600,101	3,194,017	7,953,858	63,404,418
Contractual Services	512,760	516,395	706,364	505,765	153,259	123,445	303,770	2,821,758
Supplies & Materials	1,976,726	894,540	1,041,384	1,461,222	396,538	258,605	668,866	6,697,881
Continuous Charges	432,962	261,493	426,630	231,248	59,895	58,081	193,539	1,663,848
Equipment	28,045	37,774	20,056	83,485	38,296	29,749	71,149	308,554
Transfer Payments	29,310	24,418	5,778	1,316	3,065	850	14,514	79,251
Property & Improvements	0	0	0	0	616	0	1,750	2,366
Plant & Improvements	0	0	0	0	0	4,800	0	4,800
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>20,954,200</b>	<b>14,261,828</b>	<b>11,074,637</b>	<b>10,563,448</b>	<b>5,251,770</b>	<b>3,669,547</b>	<b>9,207,446</b>	<b>74,982,876</b>

## PER CAPITA COSTS, FY 2008

\$ 96,225.00	DJJ Per Capita Cost
\$ 22,193.00	Department of Correctional Education Per Capita Cost
<b>\$118,418.00</b>	<b>Total cost to hold one juvenile for one year</b>

## Appendix D

### FACILITY CLASSIFICATIONS AND GUIDELINES FOR PLACEMENT, JULY 1, 2008

Facility	Capacity by Gender	Classification Levels	Age Range	LOS Parameters
Reception and Diagnostic Center	136 Males 20 Females	I, II, III, IV	11-20	Indeterminate: Max. = 36 months Determinate: ALL Major Offender: ALL
Beaumont	288 Males	I, II, III, IV	16-20	Indeterminate: Max. = 36 months Determinate: ALL Major Offender: ALL
Bon Air*	150 Males 63 Females	I, II, III, IV	(M) 14-18 (F) 11-20	Indeterminate: Max. = 36 months Determinate: Max. = 36 months Major Offender: ALL
Culpeper*	144 Males	I, II, III, IV	18-20	Indeterminate: Max. = 36 months Determinate: ALL Major Offender: ALL
Hanover*	144 Males	I, II, III, IV	11-18	Indeterminate: Max. = 36 months Determinate: ALL Major Offender: ALL
Natural Bridge	71 Males	I, II	15-20	Indeterminate: Max. = 15 months Determinate: Max. = 12 months Major Offender: Max. = 6 months; CCRC <sup>1</sup> may approve longer
Oak Ridge	40 Males	I, II, III, IV	11-20	Indeterminate: Max. = 36 months Determinate: ALL Major Offender: ALL

\* General Population Bed Capacity will be changing due to renovation/construction projects as well as availability and level of funding.

<sup>1</sup> Custody Classification Review Committee (CCRC)

Note: Additional changes to these guidelines may occur as facilities, such as Hanover, undergo construction and juveniles are dispersed to other facilities. All institutions, with the exception of Natural Bridge and Oak Ridge, also classify within the institution and separate ages where possible.

## Appendix E

### LENGTH OF STAY (LOS) GUIDELINES (EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2008)

Two tables are used in determining a resident's length of stay.

- Table I assigns the level of severity for (a) the most serious current committing offense and (b) the most serious prior offense. The resulting two numbers are combined in a pattern (a)-(b) for further calculation.
- Table II accounts for chronic offense behavior that may increase the resident's initial length of stay calculation.

Determining a resident's initial length of stay at the time he/she enters direct care is a three-step process. These steps are:

1. Determining the most serious committing offense. The most serious committing offense is assigned a severity level from 1 through 4 as indicated on Table I.
2. Determining the most serious prior offense. The most serious prior offense is assigned a severity level from 1 through 4 as indicated on Table I.
3. Adjustment for chronic offense behavior as indicated on Table II.
  - The resident's entire delinquent and criminal histories, except the two offenses used as the most serious committing offense and the most serious prior offense, are examined; and each delinquent and criminal offense will be given points as follows:
    - one point for each Class 1 misdemeanor; and
    - two points for each felony

**TABLE I: SEVERITY LEVEL FOR CURRENT AND PRIOR OFFENSES**

Level	Type of Offense	Examples
Level 1 <sup>1</sup>	Class 1 Misdemeanors	Simple Assault; Petit Larceny
Level 2	Class 4, 5, and 6 Felonies; Unclassified felonies carrying a maximum sentence of 10 years	Unauthorized Use of an Auto; Possession of a Schedule I or II Substance; Voluntary and Involuntary Manslaughter
Level 3	Class 3 Felonies; Unclassified felonies carrying a maximum sentence of 20 years; Unclassified non-person felonies carrying a maximum sentence of more than 20 years	Burglary of Dwelling with Intent; Grand Larceny; Aggravated Involuntary Manslaughter
Level 4	Class 1 and 2 Felonies; Unclassified felony offenses against persons carrying a maximum sentence of more than 20 years	Armed Robbery; Rape; Murder

<sup>1</sup>Residents who have no past convictions are assigned a Level 1 for the most serious prior offense

**TABLE II: INITIAL LOS STEPS AND ADJUSTMENTS TO DETERMINE LOS RANGE**

Offense Severity (determines the initial LOS Step. The initial steps are followed by adjustments for chronic offense behavior).	Release Dates Early - Late
1-1	3 months - 6 months
1-2, 1-3, 2-1, 2-2 1-1, increased 3 months for chronicity	6 months - 12 months
1-1, increased 6 months for chronicity 1-2, 1-3, 2-1, 2-2, increased 3 months for chronicity	9 months - 15 months
1-4, 2-3, 2-4, 3-1, 3-2, 3-3 1-2, 1-3, 2-1, 2-2, increased 6 months for chronicity	12 months - 18 months
1-4, 2-3, 2-4, 3-1, 3-2, 3-3, increased 3 months for chronicity	15 months - 21 months
1-4, 2-3, 2-4, 3-1, 3-2, 3-3, increased 6 months for chronicity	18 months - 24 months
3-4, 4-1, 4-2, 4-3, 4-4	18 months - 36 months
3-4, 4-1, 4-2, 4-3, 4-4, increased 3 months for chronicity	21 months - 36 months
3-4, 4-1, 4-2, 4-3, 4-4, increased 6 months for chronicity	24 months - 36 months

## Appendix F

### INITIAL CLASSIFICATION CUSTODY DESIGNATION FORM

#### INITIAL CUSTODY DESIGNATION FORM

SECTION A										DEMOGRAPHIC DATA										
1. ASSESSMENT DATE: MM-DD-YYYY					2. COMMITMENT DATE: MM-DD-YYYY															
3. LAST NAME					4. FIRST NAME					5. MIDDLE INITIAL					6. SUFFIX					
7. BIRTH DATE: MM-DD-YYYY					8. JUVENILE #															
9. SEX: M=MALE F=FEMALE					10. COMMITTING COURT (FIPS)															
SECTION B										CLASSIFICATION SCORING										
1. SEVERITY OF CURRENT OFFENSE Most serious current offense ( <i>according to the scale shown on the right, with "Person Felony with Injury" being the most serious</i> ) for which the ward has been adjudicated guilty, including any detainers										250 = Person Felony with Injury										Points
										150 = Person Felony without Injury, Weapons Felony, or Circuit Court Commitment for Non-Person Felony										
2. PRIOR OFFENSE HISTORY Most serious prior offense ( <i>according to the scale shown on the right, with "Person Felony with Injury" being the most serious</i> ) for which the ward has been adjudicated guilty										50 = Person Misdemeanor (with or without injury)										
										25 = Other Felony										
3. PRIOR COMMITMENTS										10 = Non-Person Misdemeanor Offense										
										5 = Parole Violation or Traffic Offense										
4. ASSAULTIVE BEHAVIOR DURING PRIOR COMMITMENTS TO DJJ, IN SECURE DETENTION, OR PRIOR ALTERNATIVE/SPECIAL PLACEMENTS Assaultive behavior refers to unprovoked assaults, not fights. Frequent fights may indicate a pattern of aggressive behavior. Does not include detention immediately preceding current commitment.										100 = Person Felony with Injury										
										50 = Person Felony without Injury, Weapons Felony, or Circuit Court Commitment for Non-Person Offense										
5. INSTITUTIONAL ADJUSTMENT (RDC/DETENTION) RDC Staffing Team Assessment Includes time at RDC and time in detention immediately preceding current commitment										25 = Person Misdemeanor (with or without injury)										
										10 = Other Felony										
6. ESCAPE OR RUNAWAY HISTORY										5 = Non-Person Misdemeanor Offense										
										0 = Traffic Offense, Status Offense, or None										
7. CUSTODY TOTAL										25 = More than One Prior Commitment to DJJ										
										15 = One Prior Commitment to DJJ										
8. ASSIGNED CUSTODY LEVEL (Form-assigned)										0 = No Prior Commitments										
										350 = One or More Instances of Assaultive Behavior with Injury										
9. COUNSELOR SUPERVISOR										175 = More than One Instance of Assaultive Behavior without Injury										
										50 = One Instance of Assaultive Behavior without Injury, or a Pattern of Aggressive Behavior										
10. INSTITUTIONAL ADJUSTMENT (RDC/DETENTION) RDC Staffing Team Assessment Includes time at RDC and time in detention immediately preceding current commitment										0 = None or No Prior Commitments										
										350 = Serious Threat to Institutional Security/Safety (pattern of predatory behavior; harassing peers; physically aggressive)										
11. ESCAPE OR RUNAWAY HISTORY										175 = Moderate Threat to Institutional Security/Safety (oppositional/defiant behavior; no pattern of predatory behavior; overtly resistant to authority; mild verbal or physical aggression)										
										50 = Frequent Compliance Problems, Not a Threat to Institutional Security/Safety										
12. SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CONCERNS - MARK "X" FOR ALL THAT APPLY:										25 = Some Compliance Problems (slow to comply with authority)										
										0 = Good Adjustment										
13. RECOMMEND OVERRIDE OF FORM-ASSIGNED CUSTODY LEVEL										350 = One or More Escapes or Attempts to Escape from Any Facility or Police Custody, With Force Against a Person										
										175 = One or More Escapes or Attempts to Escape from a Secure Facility or Police Custody										
14. INSTITUTION RECOMMENDED:										50 = One or More Escapes or Runaways from Non-secure Facility or Home										
										0 = None										
15. COUNSELOR SUPERVISOR										SUM OF ITEMS 1 thru 6										
										I = 5-50 Points II = 55-245 Points III = 250-495 Points IV = 500-1425 Points										
SECTION C										PLACEMENT CONSIDERATIONS										
1. STATURE ___ EXTRA SMALL ___ SMALL ___ MEDIUM ___ LARGE ___ EXTRA LARGE										3. RECOMMEND OVERRIDE OF FORM-ASSIGNED CUSTODY LEVEL ___ NO ___ YES - CUSTODY LEVEL (AFTER OVERRIDE) _____ - REASON (REQUIRED) ___ Criminal investigation ongoing ___ Pending court charges ___ Unusually violent/heinous/notorious current offense ___ Predatory/manipulative behavior resulting in the form of mental or physical abuse of others ___ Ward's behavior is significantly better than indicated by the form (reduce custody level) ___ Other _____										
2. SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CONCERNS - MARK "X" FOR ALL THAT APPLY: ___ NONE ___ PENDING CHARGES ___ INSTITUTIONAL PREDATORY OFFENSE ___ KNOWN MANAGEMENT PROBLEM ___ ESCAPE RISK ___ LOW FUNCTIONING ___ MENTAL HEALTH RISK/ DISABILITY ___ SUICIDE RISK ___ SPECIAL EDUCATION ___ GANG MEMBER ___ PHYSICAL IMPAIRMENT ___ SPECIAL MEDICAL NEEDS ___ ENEMIES - INSTITUTION ___ KNOWN ASSOCIATES - INSTITUTION ___ OTHER										4. INSTITUTION RECOMMENDED: _____										
5. COUNSELOR SUPERVISOR										PRINT _____ SIGNATURE _____										

REVISED DATE: FEBRUARY 12, 2001

**Appendix G**  
**RECLASSIFICATION FORM, PAGE 1**

**CUSTODY RECLASSIFICATION FORM – PAGE ONE**

		SECTION A				DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION			
1. ASSESSMENT DATE: MM-DD-YYYY				-					2. INSTITUTION
3. LAST NAME		4. FIRST NAME				5. MIDDLE INITIAL		6. SUFFIX	
7. BIRTH DATE: MM-DD-YYYY				-					8. JUVENILE #
9. SEX: M=MALE F=FEMALE						10. COUNSELOR			
11. PREVIOUS CUSTODY: IV = MAXIMUM III = HIGH II = MEDIUM I = LOW						12. RECLASSIFICATION REASON: 1 = QUARTERLY REVIEW 2 = INCIDENT 3 = INSTITUTIONAL TRANSFER 4 = REVISION/CORRECTION/OTHER			
		SECTION B				CUSTODY SCORING			
BEHAVIOR PRIOR TO CURRENT COMMITMENT						Points			
1. SEVERITY OF CURRENT OFFENSE Most serious current offense ( <i>according to the scale shown on the right, with "Person Felony with Injury" being the most serious</i> ) for which the ward has been adjudicated guilty, including any detainers.		250 = Person Felony with Injury 150 = Person Felony without Injury, Weapons Felony, or Circuit Court Commitment for Non-Person Felony 50 = Person Misdemeanor (with or without injury) 25 = Other Felony 10 = Non-Person Misdemeanor Offense 5 = Parole Violation or Traffic Offense							
2. PRIOR OFFENSE HISTORY Most serious prior offense ( <i>according to the scale shown on the right, with "Person Felony with Injury" being the most serious</i> ) for which the ward has been adjudicated guilty.		100 = Person Felony with Injury 50 = Person Felony without Injury, Weapons Felony, or Circuit Court Commitment for Non-Person Offense 25 = Person Misdemeanor (with or without injury) 10 = Other Felony 5 = Non-Person Misdemeanor Offense 0 = Traffic Offense, Status Offense, or None							
3. PRIOR COMMITMENTS		25 = More than One Prior Commitment to DJJ 15 = One Prior Commitment to DJJ 0 = No Prior Commitments							
4. ESCAPE OR RUNAWAY HISTORY		350 = One or More Escapes or Attempts to Escape from Any Facility or Police Custody, With Force Against a Person 175 = One or More Escapes or Attempts to Escape from a Secure Facility or Police Custody 50 = One or More Escapes or Runaways from Non-secure Facility or Home 0 = None							
INSTITUTIONAL ADJUSTMENT									
5. ASSAULTIVE/ESCAPE BEHAVIOR Only offenses for which the ward has been found guilty. A ward displays a pattern of aggressive behavior by having at least four instances of the following over a six-month period: Fighting Simple Assault (Moderate Offense) Verbal Threats/Physical Gesturing Throwing Objects Abusive Language/Obscene Gesturing		400 = One or More Instances of Assault (Major Offense) with Injury, or Escapes/Attempts to Escape During Past 90 Days 300 = One or More Instances of Assault (Major Offense) with Injury During Past Year 200 = One or More Instances of Escapes/Attempts to Escape During Past Year 150 = One or More Instances of Assault (Major Offense) without Injury, During Past 90 Days 100 = One or More Instances of Assault (Major Offense) without Injury, During Past Year, OR Displayed a Pattern of Aggressive Behavior Over Past Six Months 0 = No Instances of Escape or Assault (Major Offense), or None Within the Past Year -100 = No Instances of Escape or Assault (Major Offense) During Past 18 Months (Not To Be Used Until Ward Has Remained With DJJ for at Least 18 Months)							
6. FREQUENCY OF INSTITUTIONAL OFFENSES Only offenses for which the ward has been found guilty.		300 = More Than Two Majors, During Past 90 Days 150 = Two or Fewer Majors, During Past 90 Days 50 = More Than Ten Moderates, During Past 90 Days 0 = Ten or Fewer Moderates, During Past 90 Days -50 = No Offenses, During Past 90 Days -100 = No Institutional Offenses for Six Months or More							
7. TREATMENT PROGRAM PARTICIPATION		200 = Expelled From Program for Disruptive Behavior, During Past 90 Days 100 = No Participation (Refuses to Participate, On Suspension), During Past 90 Days 0 = Awaiting Services, During Past 90 Days -25 = Fair Participation, During Past 90 Days -50 = Good Participation, During Past 90 Days -75 = Good Participation for Six Months or More -100 = Completed All Programs							
8. EDUCATION/ WORK PROGRAM/ VOCATIONAL TRAINING PARTICIPATION		200 = Behavior is Consistently Seriously Disruptive, During Past 90 Days 100 = No Participation, During Past 90 Days 0 = Is Not In an Educational/Vocational Program -25 = Fair Participation, During Past 90 Days -50 = Good Participation, During Past 90 Days -100 = Good Participation for Six Months or More, or Successfully Completed Program							
9. CUSTODY TOTAL		SUM OF ITEMS 1 thru 8							
10. FORM-RECOMMENDED RECLASSIFICATION		I = 50 or Fewer Points II = 55-245 Points III = 250-495 Points IV = 500 or More Points							

REVISION DATE: JULY 31, 2002



**Appendix H**  
**RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT**

Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice Risk Assessment		
Name: _____	JTS#: _____	Assessment Date: ____/____/____
Date of Birth: ____/____/____	Officer Name: _____	
		<b>Score</b>
<hr/> <hr/>		
<b>1. Age at First Referral to CSU</b>		
a. 14 or older.....	0	
b. 13 or younger.....	1	_____
<b>2. Total Number of Adjudicated Offenses (separate incidents) in Most Recent Disposition</b>		
a. One .....	0	
b. Two or more .....	1	_____
<b>3. Total Number of Petitions for Violent Offenses (separate incidents) in Youth's Record</b>		
a. Two or less.....	0	
b. Three or more .....	1	_____
<b>4. Total Number of Petitions for Drug or Conspiracy Offenses (separate incidents) in Youth's Record</b>		
a. None .....	0	
b. One .....	1	
c. Two or more .....	2	_____
<b>5. Types of Petitions for Property Offense (separate incidents) in Youth's Record</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Burglary <input type="checkbox"/> Larceny (includes auto theft) <input type="checkbox"/> Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> Arson <input type="checkbox"/> Trespass/Vandalism		
a. None .....	0	
b. One type .....	1	
c. Two types .....	2	
d. Three types .....	3	_____
<b>6. Substance Abuse</b>		
a. None or Experimentation Only/No Problem .....	0	
b. Problematic use of alcohol and/or other drugs .....	1	_____
<b>7. School Status</b>		
a. Attending regularly or attending but truancy problems .....	0	
b. Dropped out/Expelled.....	2	_____
<b>8. Peer Group</b>		
a. No negative associations or youth is a loner.....	-2	
b. Some delinquent peers.....	0	
c. Mostly delinquent peers.....	2	_____
<b>9. History of Runaways/Escape</b>		
a. No .....	0	
b. Yes.....	2	_____
<b>10. Youth a Victim of Child Abuse/Neglect</b>		
a. No .....	0	
b. Yes, referred DSS for alleged or founded CA/N complaint .....	2	_____
<b>11. Family Functioning</b>		
a. No problem .....	-1	
b. Some disorganization .....	0	
c. Major disorganization .....	2	_____
<b>12. Family Member (Parent/Sibling) on Probation or Incarcerated in Past Three Years</b>		
a. No .....	0	
b. Yes, Parent Figure OR Sibling .....	1	
c. Yes, Parent Figure AND Sibling .....	2	_____
<b>Risk Level:</b> _____ <b>Low</b> (-3 to 1)		
_____ <b>Moderate</b> ( 2 to 7)		
_____ <b>High</b> ( 8 to 21)		
		<b>TOTAL RISK SCORE</b> _____

## RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUCTIONS

### RISK INSTRUMENT DEFINITIONS

1. **Age at First Referral to CSU** - Determine the youth's age at the time he/she was first referred to the CSU for a delinquent or status offense. Do not include referrals for dependency or custody. The outcome (e.g., diverted, petitioned) of the first referral does not matter.
2. **Number of Adjudicated Offenses Disposed of at Current Disposition** - This is a count of the number of ADJUDICATED OFFENSES that were/are to be disposed of at the current dispositional hearing. Do not include any offenses/charges that were not adjudicated. In counting adjudicated offenses, count only those that represented separate incidents. If a youth was adjudicated for two burglaries that occurred on two different nights that is two adjudications. However, if a youth was involved in a robbery and got charged/adjudicated for multiple counts of lesser included offenses (e.g., robbery, assault, theft) that should be counted as one offense, not three.
3. **Total Petitions for Violent Offenses** - This is a count of all PETITIONS for violent offenses in the youth's record. Be sure to count any and all petitions for violent offenses that occurred up to the date of the current disposition (even if they have not yet been adjudicated or disposed of). The petitions must reflect separate incidents, not multiple charges arising from the same incident. Violent offenses include all offenses against persons that are assaultive in nature including felony and misdemeanor assaults, kidnapping, murder, armed robbery, and robbery, carjacking, sexual assaults, etc.
4. **Total Petitions for Drug or Conspiracy Offenses** - This is a count of all PETITIONS in the youth's record for drug charges (sales, possession with intent to sell, use, possession) or for conspiracy charges. Count only those petitions arising from separate incidents, not multiple charges arising from the same incident. If a youth gets arrested for drug sales and gets three separate petitions alleging sales and possession, that is one incident (not two) and should be counted as one petition. Be sure to count any and all drug or conspiracy petitions that occurred up to the date of the current disposition.
5. **Types of Petitions for Property Offenses** - This is a count of the number of different TYPES of property offense petitions. It is not a count of the total number of petitions for property offenses. There are a total of five different types of property offenses that are considered: burglary; larceny; fraud; arson; and trespass/vandalism. Check the youth's entire record to determine how many of these different types the youth has been petitioned for. As above, only count petitions arising from separate incidents. If a youth committed one burglary during which he stole something, and was petitioned for burglary and theft, that's one petition. In such cases, count the most serious type. Be sure to count any and all property offense petitions that occurred up to the current disposition.
6. **Substance Abuse** - Indicate the degree to which drug/alcohol involvement has affected youth's normal functioning in the two years prior to the current disposition. Consider both frequency (e.g., "occasional", "frequent") and effect of use ("some" or "serious disruption/interference").
  - No Problem/experimentation:** no use or occasional use that does not result in disruption of functioning. Uses less than once per month; OR more frequent use but relationships with parents not strained over use or involvement with using peers; AND no school problems associated with use; AND no arrests for D/A related offenses (within past two years).
  - Problematic:** use of substances is associated with some or major disruption of functioning. Family relationships may have become strained over use; OR strong ties to D/A involved peers; OR deterioration in school performance believed to be drug/alcohol related; OR one or more school disciplinary actions related to substance abuse; OR one or more substance abuse-related arrests (past two years); OR any referral in past two years for out-patient or in-patient treatment for D/A abuse; OR admitted or diagnosed dependency.
7. **School Status**- Indicate whether the youth is enrolled and attending school, or has been expelled or has dropped out. If the youth has truancy problems, but is still going to school, he/she should be given a score of "0". However, if the youth has been truant for more than half the days in the last 9 months of school, consider them to have "dropped out" (and score "2").
8. **Peer relationships** - Use the definitions below to guide the scoring of this item.
  - No negative associations or youth is a loner:** friends not known to be delinquent or to have influenced youth's involvement in delinquent behavior- no more than one referral involving co-defendants; OR youth has no/few friends; engages in solitary pursuits and/or spends most time with much younger children.
  - Some delinquent peers:** some companions involved in delinquent behavior. Has had co-defendants in 2-3 arrests and/or some friends have been referred to juvenile court.
  - Mostly delinquent peers:** primary peer group has a strong delinquent orientation and/or most friends have been referred to juvenile court, and/or four or more arrests involving codefendants; and or youth is a gang or posse member or affiliate.
9. **History of Runaways/Escapes** - Indicate whether the youth has a history of multiple runaways from home (defined as being gone for 24 hours or more) OR had ever runaway or escaped from a placement facility (secure or non-secure). The time frame for this question is "ever".
10. **Referred to DSS** - Indicate whether the youth was ever referred to DSS as a victim of child abuse of neglect. It does not matter if the allegation was founded/substantiated or not.
11. **Family Functioning Characteristics**- Use the definitions below to determine the extent of family disorganization.
  - No Problem:** family functioning was basically stable and supportive. Physical and emotional needs of youth were being met. Parental discipline and control were generally effective.
  - Some Disorganization:** family problems interfered with youth functioning (or youth problems interfered with family functioning). Parent-parent or parent-child conflict occurred frequently and at times was disruptive, OR parental discipline and control were often ineffective or inconsistent, OR there was residential instability or financial problems, or there had been; recent disruptive event such as divorce, remarriage or a death in the family.
  - Major Disorganization:** problems severely inhibited the youth's ability to function. Youth's physical or emotional needs were not being met, OR parent rejecting of, or refused responsibility for youth, OR chronic, serious parental problems (financial, mental health, illness, criminality), OR parent-parent or parent-child conflict occurred consistently and created turmoil, OR repeated instances of family violence, OR parental discipline and control were almost non-existent, OR parents contributed to youth's delinquency.
12. **Family Criminality** - Indicate whether a parent figure or sibling (or both) was on probation/parole or incarcerated during the three years prior to the current disposition. Incarcerated means sentenced to incarceration (do not include pre-trial jail or detention.) If a parent OR a sibling had been on probation or incarcerated, score "1". If BOTH had been, score "2". Parent figure includes natural parents, step-parents and live-in boyfriends/girlfriends. Do not include aunts, uncles, or other relatives unless they are caretakers/parent figures.

## Appendix I

### MISCELLANEOUS/OTHER OFFENSES

The following offense categories were grouped into the combined category of Miscellaneous/Other in the offense category distribution tables in this report. They were selected to be collapsed into this category due to their low incidence.

Abortion	Election/Voting Offenses	Ordinance, City or County
Accomplice	Emblems	Paraphernalia, Controlled
Agriculture, Horticulture & Food	Erosion Control	Peace, Conservator of the
Aircraft/Aviation	Expunged Records	Perjury
Animals	Federal Offense	Prisoners
Arrests (for use by Police & Magistrates)	Fire Prevention Code	Professions and Occupations
Auto Dealers	Fire Protection/Safety	Psychiatric Inpatient Treatment (minors)
Bail (for use by Police)	Gambling	Riot and Unlawful Assembly
Bail Bondsman and Enforcement	Game, Fish, Wildlife	School - Student's Behavior
Banking and Finance	Health	School Attendance
Barratry	Interstate Compact	Solicitation
Boating	Judicial Reviews	Tax Laws
Bribery	Juvenile & Domestic Court - Other	Terrorism
Computer Crime	Juvenile Facilities	Trade and Commerce
Conservation	Lottery	Traffic - Perjury
Conspiracy	Marriage Law	Treason
Dangerous Conduct	Mental Health	Venue
DNA Analysis & Data Bank	Military & Emergency	Violent Activities
Dog/Cat Offenses	Miscellaneous Crime	Waters, Ports and Harbors
Drugs/Cosmetics Misbranded	Money Laundering	Wire Communications

## Appendix J

### CODE OF VIRGINIA: CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJJ

<b>Title 66</b>	<b>Creation of the Department of Juvenile Justice</b> Creates the Department and State Board of Juvenile Justice and provides the authority to establish and operate juvenile correctional centers.
<b>§16.1-222</b>	<b>Virginia Juvenile Justice Information System</b> Establishes a separate information system for collecting and maintaining information on juveniles who have had contact with the juvenile justice system.
<b>§16.1-228</b>	<b>General definitions pertaining to Title 16.1</b> Provides the definitions for terms and concepts unique to the jurisdiction of the juvenile and domestic relations district court (J & DR).
<b>§16.1-241</b>	<b>Jurisdiction of the J&amp;DR court</b> Specifies the jurisdiction for the J&DR Court and the types of cases, matters, and proceedings for which the court has subject matter jurisdiction.
<b>§16.1-246</b>	<b>When and how child may be taken into immediate custody</b> Explains that a child may be taken into immediate custody and outlines the acceptable offense classifications and scenarios.
<b>§16.1-247</b>	<b>Duties of person taking child into custody</b> Dictates the duties of an official taking a child into custody pursuant to Va. Code § 16.1-246.
<b>§16.1-248.1</b>	<b>Criteria for detention or shelter care</b> Specifies the procedures for placement in secure detention and shelter care, outlining the acceptable offense classifications and scenarios.

**CODE OF VIRGINIA: CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJJ (CONT.)**

- §16.1-250     **Procedures for detention hearing**  
Presents the procedures followed when a juvenile appears before a judge for a pending charge.
- §16.1-253     **Protective Orders (Also references Va. Code §§16.1-253.1, 16.1-253.4, and 16.1-279.1)**  
The above sections of code provide criteria and processes for seeking a protective order.
- §16.1-260     **Intake; petition; investigation**  
Explains the process for filing petitions for matters falling under the jurisdiction of the J&DR Court.
- §16.1-269.1   **Trial in circuit court; preliminary hearing; direct indictment; remand**  
Provides procedures used for the certification of a juvenile (14 and older) for trial in circuit court.
- §16.1-270     **Waiver of jurisdiction of juvenile court in certain cases**  
Establishes criteria used for juveniles 14 years of age or older to have a case transferred to circuit court.
- §16.1-272     **Power of circuit court over juvenile offender**  
Addresses the sentencing authority of the circuit court over juveniles who have been tried or convicted as adults.
- §16.1-273     **Provision of social history and preparation of victim impact statements**  
Allows for the juvenile court to require social history reports and victim impact statements.
- §16.1-277.1   **Time limitation from secure detention prior to court**  
Provides required time frames for conducting hearings when a juvenile is held in detention.
- §16.1-278.4   **Children in need of services (CHINS)**  
Provides the dispositional options for the supervision, care, and rehabilitation of a child found to be in need of services.
- §16.1-278.5   **Children in need of supervision (CHINSup)**  
Provides the dispositional options for the supervision, care, and rehabilitation of a child found to be in need of supervision.
- §16.1-278.8   **Delinquent juveniles**  
Specifies the dispositional options available to the J&DR or circuit courts if a juvenile is found to be delinquent (including conditions for commitment of a juvenile to DJJ). (See also Va. Code § 278.9).
- §16.1-284.1   **Placement in secure local facility (Post-dispositional)**  
Addresses the criteria (age, offense history, commitment history, response to past treatment efforts, etc.) for post-dispositional placement in a secure detention facility.
- §16.1-285     **Duration of commitments**  
Establishes the parameters for indeterminately committed juveniles, including time and age.
- §16.1-285.1   **Commitment of serious offenders**  
Specifies criteria considered by the court when making a decision to determinately commit a juvenile to DJJ.
- §16.1-291     **Revocation or modification of probation, protective supervision, or parole**  
Provides for the revocation or modification of probation or parole.
- §16.1-292     **Violation of court order by any person**  
Provides the dispositional alternatives available to the J&DR court where a person is found to have violated a court order or to be in contempt of court.
- §16.1-293     **Supervision of juveniles during commitment and on parole; placing juveniles in halfway houses**  
Details the CSU requirements while a juvenile is committed to DJJ and upon release from commitment.
- §16.1-309.2   **Purpose and intent (Virginia Juvenile Community Crime Control Act-VJCCCA)**  
Specifies the purpose and general goals for the VJCCCA.
- Title 18.2     **Crimes and Offenses Generally**  
Commonly referred to as the Criminal Code and provides the criminal penalties

# Appendix K

## DETENTION ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT, PAGE 1

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE  
DETENTION ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT

Juvenile Name: \_\_\_\_\_ DOB: \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ Juvenile #: \_\_\_\_\_ ICN# \_\_\_\_\_  
 Intake Date: \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ Time: \_\_\_\_:\_\_\_\_  AM  PM Worker Name: \_\_\_\_\_ CSU #: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Completed as Part of Detention Decision:  Completed as Follow-Up (On-Call Intake):

	Score
<b>1. Most Serious Alleged Offense (see reverse for examples of offenses in each category)</b>	
Category A: Felonies against persons. ....	15
Category B: Felony weapons or felony narcotics distribution. ....	12
Category C: Other felonies. ....	7
Category D: Class 1 misdemeanors against persons. ....	5
Category E: Other Class 1 misdemeanors. ....	3
Category F: Violations of probation/parole. ....	2
<b>2. Additional Charges in this Referral</b>	
Two or more additional current felony offenses. ....	3
One additional current felony offense. ....	2
One or more additional misdemeanor OR violation of probation/parole offenses. ....	1
One or more status offenses OR No additional current offenses. ....	0
<b>3. Prior Adjudications of Guilt (includes continued adjudications with "evidence sufficient to finding of guilt")</b>	
Two or more prior adjudications of guilt for felony offenses. ....	6
One prior adjudication of guilt for a felony offense. ....	4
Two or more prior adjudications of guilt for misdemeanor offenses. ....	3
Two or more prior adjudications of guilt for probation/parole violations. ....	2
One prior adjudication of guilt for any misdemeanor or status offense. ....	1
No prior adjudications of guilt. ....	0
<b>4. Petitions Pending Adjudication or Disposition (exclude deferred adjudications)</b>	
One or more pending petitions/dispositions for a felony offense. ....	8
Two or more pending petitions/dispositions for other offenses. ....	5
One pending petition/disposition for an other offense. ....	2
No pending petitions/dispositions. ....	0
<b>5. Supervision Status</b>	
Parole. ....	4
Probation based on a Felony or Class 1 misdemeanor. ....	3
Probation based on other offenses OR CHINSup OR Deferred disposition with conditions. ....	2
Informal Supervision OR Intake Diversion. ....	1
None. ....	0
<b>6. History of Failure to Appear (within past 12 months)</b>	
Two or more petitions/warrants/detention orders for FTA in past 12 months. ....	3
One petition/warrant/detention order for FTA in past 12 months. ....	1
No petition/warrant/detention order for FTA in past 12 months. ....	0
<b>7. History of Escape/ Runaways (within past 12 months)</b>	
One or more escapes from secure confinement or custody. ....	4
One or more instances of absconding from non-secure, court-ordered placements. ....	3
One or more runaways from home. ....	1
No escapes or runaways w/in past 12 months. ....	0
<b>8. TOTAL SCORE</b> .....	_____
<b>Indicated Decision:</b> ____ 0 - 9 Release ____ 10 - 14 Detention Alternative ____ 15+ Secure Detention	
<b>Mandatory Overrides:</b> (must be detained)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Use of firearm in current offense	
<input type="checkbox"/> 2. Escapee from a secure placement	
<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Local court policy (indicate applicable policy) _____	
<b>Discretionary Override:</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Aggravating factors (override to more restrictive placement than indicated by guidelines)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 2. Mitigating factors (override to less restrictive placement than indicated by guidelines)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Approved local graduated sanction for probation/parole violation	

<b>Actual Decision / Recommendation:</b> ____ Release ____ Alternative ____ Secure Detention
--

## DETENTION ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT, PAGE 2

### Offense Categories and Included Offenses

#### Category A: Felonies Against Persons

Abduction  
 Aggravated assault  
 Aggravated sexual battery  
 Arson of an occupied dwelling  
 Assault, law enforcement officer  
 Carjacking  
 Escape from secure juvenile detention  
   by force/violence  
 Extortion  
 Forcible sodomy  
 Larceny > \$5 from a person  
 Malicious wounding  
 Murder  
 Manslaughter  
 Inanimate object sexual penetration  
 Rape  
 Reckless driving/disregard police with  
   bodily injury  
 Robbery

#### Category B: Felony Weapons & Felony Narcotics Distribution

Distribute Schedule I or II  
 Distribute Schedule I, II, III, IV or  
   marijuana on school property  
 Possess Schedule I or II with intent to sell  
 Sell Schedule I or II or > 1 oz. Marijuana  
   to a minor 3 years junior  
 Brandish/point a firearm on school property or  
   within 1000 ft.  
 Discharge firearm from motor vehicle  
 Discharge firearm in/at an occupied building  
 Possess a sawed-off shotgun

#### Category C: Other Felonies

Arson of an unoccupied dwelling  
 Auto theft  
 Burglary/Breaking and entering/  
   Possess burglary tools  
 Escape from a correctional facility  
   (not detention)  
 Failure to appear in court for a felony  
 Fraud/bad checks/credit card > \$200  
 Grand larceny/Larceny > \$200  
 Larceny of a firearm/Receive a stolen firearm  
 Possess Schedule I or II drugs  
 Receive stolen goods > \$200  
 Shoplift > \$200  
 Unauthorized use of an automobile  
 Vandalism > \$1000 damage

#### Category D: Misdemeanors Against Persons

Assault, simple  
 Sexual battery

#### Category E: Other Misdemeanors

Brandish/point a firearm  
 Carry concealed weapon  
 Disorderly conduct  
 Escape from secure juvenile detention  
   without force/violence  
 Fraud/bad checks/credit card < \$200  
 Failure to appear for a misdemeanor  
 Larceny < \$200  
 Receive stolen goods < \$200

### Common Aggravating / Mitigating Factors (Known at the time of Intake)

#### Aggravating

History of 2+ violent/assaultive offenses  
 Parent unwilling to provide appropriate supervision  
 Parent unable to provide appropriate supervision  
 Juvenile has significant mental health problem/  
   mental retardation  
 Juvenile has significant substance abuse problem  
 Juvenile does not regularly attend school/work  
 Juvenile has violated conditions of a detention alternative  
 Juvenile is charged with a new (detainable) offense  
   while in a detention alternative  
 Juvenile is an explicit threat to flee if released  
 Juvenile is currently an absconder from a non-secure placement  
 Other Aggravating factor  
 Detention alternative not available

#### Mitigating

Juvenile marginally involved in the offense  
 Parent able/willing to provide appropriate  
   supervision  
 Juvenile has significant mental health problem/  
   mental retardation  
 Juvenile has significant substance abuse problem  
 Juvenile regularly attends school/work  
 Offense less serious than indicated by charge  
 Juvenile has no/minor prior record

**Appendix L**  
**CENSUS INFORMATION, JULY 1, 2007\***

**POPULATION BY RACE (AGE 10-17)**

CSU	Black	White	Hispanic	American Indian	Asian	Other	Total
1	8,627	16,125	940	92	464	662	26,910
2	12,124	31,511	3,604	202	2,187	2,103	51,731
02A	2,056	2,815	406	5	12	72	5,366
3	6,857	3,881	314	46	50	242	11,390
4	13,032	8,606	1,266	97	557	901	24,459
5	6,849	8,453	353	33	117	256	16,061
6	5,540	5,075	396	25	73	206	11,315
7	10,583	8,727	1,323	75	389	806	21,903
8	8,012	5,787	724	64	248	484	15,319
9	4,184	20,049	870	168	494	595	26,360
10	5,987	8,487	276	31	63	182	15,026
11	5,230	6,440	247	17	43	162	12,139
12	9,377	25,385	1,941	114	1,026	801	38,644
13	13,080	3,637	782	64	121	301	17,985
14	10,468	18,029	1,172	101	1,278	571	31,619
15	10,850	39,252	2,813	139	769	1,506	55,329
16	5,349	23,526	1,106	64	532	784	31,361
17	1,061	7,461	3,365	34	1,091	318	13,330
17F	56	775	118	1	55	44	1,049
18	2,405	3,593	1,709	24	439	121	8,291
19	11,938	65,502	15,854	240	17,110	3,871	114,515
20L	3,010	22,703	3,141	54	2,882	1,144	32,934
20W	692	6,900	393	15	75	189	8,264
21	2,141	5,648	434	20	53	149	8,445
22	4,792	10,006	400	18	68	194	15,478
23	803	10,143	238	13	227	148	11,572
23A	3,496	5,191	234	13	163	275	9,372
24	5,130	18,088	413	65	202	510	24,408
25	1,490	18,976	425	42	112	318	21,363
26	1,620	27,975	2,194	36	305	647	32,777
27	898	17,339	525	30	188	308	19,288
28	316	8,896	144	22	38	115	9,531
29	301	12,356	119	14	47	80	12,917
30	185	8,348	96	17	40	74	8,760
31	10,510	25,402	9,548	133	2,410	1,993	49,996
All	189,049	511,087	57,883	2,128	33,928	21,132	815,207

\*Data Source: U.S. Census Bureau

## Appendix M

### COMMONLY USED ACRONYMS

ADP	Average Daily Population
AMI	Associated Marine Institute
BADGE	Balanced Approach Data Gathering Environment
BSU	Behavioral Services Unit
CC	Circuit Court
CCD	Child Care Days
CCRC	Central Classification and Review Committee
CEST	Classification & Evaluation Services Team (at RDC)
CHINS	Child in Need of Services
CHINSup	Child in Need of Supervision
CPMT	Community Policy & Management Team
CPP	Community Placement Program
CSA	Comprehensive Services Act for At-Risk Youth and Families
CSU	Court Service Unit
CTST	Classification & Treatment Services Team (JCCs)
DAI	Detention Assessment Instrument
DCE	Virginia Department of Correctional Education
DCJS	Virginia Department of Criminal Justice Services
DMC	Disproportionate Minority Contact
DOC	Virginia Department of Corrections
DOL	U.S. Department of Labor
DR/CW	Domestic Relations/Child Welfare
ERD	Early Release Date
FAPT	Family Assessment & Planning Teams
FY	Fiscal Year
GED	General Educational Development
ICJ	Interstate Compact on Juveniles

ICRC	Institutional Classification and Review Committee
J&DR	Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court
JCC	Juvenile Correctional Centers
JCO	Juvenile Correctional Officer
JDAI	Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative
JP	Juvenile Profile
JROTC	Juvenile Reserve Officer Training Corps
JTS	Juvenile Tracking System
LMS	Learning Management System
LOS	Length of Stay
LRD	Late Release Date
MAYSI	Massachusetts Youth Assessment Screening Instrument
OJJDP	Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention
PO	Probation/Parole Officer
Post-D	Post-Dispositional Detention
Pre-D	Pre-Disposition Detention
RDC	Reception & Diagnostic Center
RSAT	Residential Substance Abuse Treatment Program
SHOCAP	Serious and Habitual Offender Comprehensive Action Program
SIR	Serious Incident Report
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
TDO	Temporary Detention Order
VJCCCA	Virginia Juvenile Community Crime Control Act
VWI	Virginia Wilderness Institute
YASI	Youth Assessment and Screening Instrument



# Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice FY 2008 Statistical Information

## MISSION OF THE VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE

To protect the public through a balanced approach of accountability and comprehensive services that prevent and reduce delinquency through partnerships with families, schools, communities, law enforcement, and others, while providing opportunities for delinquent youth to become responsible and productive citizens.

## Court Service Unit Information

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS

Domestic Relations	2006	2007	2008
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	124,430	127,606	134,204
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	20,106	20,465	18,639
Class 1 Misdemeanor	39,074	39,029	37,996
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	6,598	6,522	6,649
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	12,755	13,134	12,380
Other			
Technical Violations	11,283	10,379	9,634
Traffic	1,028	957	1,305
Other	1,789	1,467	1,355
Total Juvenile Complaints	92,633	91,953	87,958
Total Complaints	217,063	219,559	222,162


### JUVENILE INTAKE CASES\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
Race			
Black	46.0%	45.5%	44.6%
White	46.3%	46.6%	47.8%
Other	7.7%	7.8%	7.6%
Ethnicity - Hispanic	5.1%	5.5%	6.1%
Sex			
Male	69.3%	69.1%	68.9%
Female	30.7%	30.9%	31.1%
Age			
8-12	6.4%	6.2%	5.4%
13	8.1%	7.4%	7.0%
14	13.5%	13.1%	12.4%
15	19.8%	19.3%	18.5%
16	23.7%	24.0%	24.8%
17	24.8%	26.2%	27.7%
18-20	2.8%	2.9%	3.2%
Error/Missing	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%
Total Juvenile Cases	65,373	63,844	61,909

\*Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides.

## Court Service Unit Information (cont.)

### INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2008<sup>1</sup>



\* 94.6% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.

<sup>1</sup> Previous Data Resource Guides include only successful diversions. FY 2008 data includes all diversions.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2008

Offense Category <small>(See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)</small>	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases*	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	66.0%	64.7%	50.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	15.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	6.0%	35.3%	50.0%	0.0%
Total DR/CW Offenses	134,204	17	6	0
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	0.8%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%
Alcohol	3.8%	2.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Arson	0.8%	1.5%	1.1%	1.0%
Assault	13.7%	17.2%	17.0%	14.5%
Burglary	3.4%	7.1%	5.7%	10.5%
Contempt of Court	5.0%	2.1%	6.9%	1.6%
Disorderly Conduct	3.5%	3.6%	1.7%	1.7%
Escapes	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%	0.5%
Extortion	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%	0.6%
Failure to Appear	1.6%	0.4%	3.7%	0.6%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.7%	1.5%	1.7%	1.8%
Gangs	0.5%	0.6%	1.5%	1.9%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.2%	0.8%	0.3%
Larceny	13.4%	17.0%	11.3%	18.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	4.6%	2.5%	2.3%	0.8%
Murder	0.1%	0.1%	0.4%	0.2%
Narcotics	6.0%	8.6%	4.8%	4.8%
Obscenity	0.6%	0.5%	0.2%	0.3%
Obstruction of Justice	1.7%	1.8%	2.1%	1.4%
Parole Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.9%	2.5%
Probation Violation	4.9%	2.2%	9.8%	9.6%
Robbery	1.7%	2.3%	6.9%	8.2%
Sex Offense	1.1%	2.8%	3.2%	3.9%
Status Offense	12.2%	6.7%	1.1%	0.3%
Telephone Law	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%
Traffic	4.6%	2.6%	1.8%	2.2%
Trespass	3.2%	2.7%	1.4%	2.3%
Vandalism	7.0%	7.6%	6.2%	6.2%
Weapons	2.3%	3.8%	5.0%	3.6%
Total Juvenile Offenses	87,958	14,210	18,532	2,646

\* Offense data for new probation cases is not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides. As of FY 2008, multiple offenses per probation case are recorded.

## Court Service Unit Information (cont.)

### CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2008

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP	
Pre-dispositional Reports	4,493	Probation*	6,727
Post-dispositional Reports	2,659	Parole	554
Transfer Reports	222	Intensive Prob.	290
Custody Investigations	35	Commitments	975

\* Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides because workload codes 20, 21, and 22 are included for FY 2008.

### INFORMATION BY CSU, FY 2008\*

Court District	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
1st	1,995	284	200	14
2nd	2,758	278	491	55
2A	417	61	86	10
3rd	892	105	202	38
4th	2,468	227	479	48
5th	901	83	171	26
6th	993	61	163	13
7th	3,218	287	400	48
8th	2,221	107	274	24
9th	1,635	63	235	15
10th	1,498	140	206	13
11th	1,087	118	278	34
12th	3,754	126	482	31
13th	2,139	286	715	61
14th	2,963	484	995	36
15th	3,964	368	891	60
16th	2,122	344	277	32
17th	1,291	207	317	18
17F	63	8	18	0
18th	924	135	146	11
19th	5,418	1,029	994	31
20L	1,273	182	232	8
20W	299	100	39	6
21st	704	158	88	8
22nd	1,632	134	245	38
23rd	1,051	31	136	4
23A	1,373	94	313	18
24th	1,988	212	256	25
25th	1,617	112	157	20
26th	2,386	169	309	22
27th	1,559	195	153	5
28th	756	104	92	4
29th	907	149	87	3
30th	743	122	95	1
31st	2,900	438	435	36
Total	61,909	7,001	10,657	816

\* A single intake, probation, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

<sup>1</sup> Data is not comparable to previous Guides due to methodological changes.

### CSU TRENDS

• Between FY 2006 and FY 2008:

- Juvenile Intake Cases decreased 5.3%.
- New Probation Cases decreased 2.6%.
- Pre-D Detention Placements decreased 11.6%.
- Commitments to DJJ decreased 11.4%.

## Detention Home Information

In FY 2008, there were 24 secure detention facilities throughout the Commonwealth. Twelve of these facilities are locally operated and 12 are run by commissions (political entities comprised of three or more localities). Culpeper Detention Home, which was the only state-operated detention facility in the Commonwealth, closed on July 1, 2007; statewide FY 2006-2007 data include Culpeper information.

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*

Demographics	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>			
Black	54.2%	54.3%	55.0%
White	37.7%	37.3%	36.6%
Other	8.1%	8.4%	8.5%
<b>Ethnicity - Hispanic</b>	5.7%	6.3%	7.1%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	78.0%	78.0%	78.5%
Female	22.0%	22.0%	21.5%
<b>Age</b>			
8-12	1.8%	1.8%	1.2%
13	4.9%	4.8%	4.2%
14	12.8%	11.5%	11.1%
15	21.7%	20.9%	20.5%
16	27.8%	27.9%	28.4%
17	30.5%	32.6%	34.3%
18-20	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>17,576</b>	<b>17,698</b>	<b>16,577</b>


\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides.

### CAPACITY, ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND UTILIZATION, FY 2008

Facility	Capacity	Admissions	ADP	Utilization
<b>Western Region</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>3,327</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>62%</b>
Shenandoah	55	453	21	38%
Lynchburg	48	455	32	67%
Roanoke	81	833	48	59%
W.W. Moore	60	567	38	63%
New River Valley	24	288	19	79%
Piedmont	20	314	18	90%
Highlands	35	417	24	69%
<b>Northern Region</b>	<b>497</b>	<b>7,384</b>	<b>369</b>	<b>74%</b>
Rappahannock	80	962	59	74%
Northern Virginia	70	757	41	59%
Northwestern	32	505	27	84%
Loudoun	24	327	17	71%
Prince William	72	641	36	50%
Henrico	20	1,871	28	140%
Fairfax	99	1,057	80	81%
Blue Ridge	40	407	23	58%
James River	60	857	58	97%
<b>Eastern Region</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>5,866</b>	<b>440</b>	<b>73%</b>
Chesapeake	100	834	60	60%
Norfolk	80	721	58	73%
Newport News	110	833	78	71%
Crater	22	483	21	96%
Richmond	60	750	62	103%
Chesterfield	90	784	49	54%
Merrimac	48	681	44	92%
Virginia Beach	90	780	68	76%
<b>State Total</b>	<b>1,420</b>	<b>16,577</b>	<b>1,011</b>	<b>71%</b>

## Juvenile Correctional Center Information

### CAPACITY, ADP, ADMISSIONS, AND RELEASES\*



\* Data above includes Alternative Placements.

<sup>1</sup> Appealed cases are not included.

### ADMISSIONS BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*

Demographics	2005	2006	2007	2008
<b>Race</b>				
Black	66.6%	67.9%	66.0%	66.1%
White	27.0%	25.5%	26.9%	25.6%
Other	6.4%	6.6%	7.1%	8.3%
<b>Ethnicity-Hispanic</b>	4.3%	4.5%	6.4%	5.5%
<b>Sex</b>				
Male	90.1%	90.9%	90.8%	92.1%
Female	9.9%	9.1%	9.2%	7.9%
<b>Age</b>				
Under 14	2.9%	2.3%	1.7%	1.9%
14	8.0%	7.7%	6.8%	6.5%
15	18.2%	19.1%	17.4%	15.7%
16	27.2%	31.8%	28.7%	27.7%
17	36.1%	33.6%	37.6%	40.6%
18	6.7%	5.4%	7.0%	7.1%
19 or older	0.8%	0.1%	0.8%	0.4%
<b>Region</b>				
Western	16.1%	13.8%	16.0%	16.0%
Northern	26.9%	30.0%	35.8%	33.4%
Eastern	57.0%	56.2%	48.3%	50.6%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>922</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>833</b>	<b>770</b>

\* Racial and Ethnic data may not be comparable to prior Data Resource Guides.

### CAPACITY, ADP\*, AND UTILIZATION, FY 2008

Facility	Capacity	ADP On Site	ADP Off Site	ADP Total	Utilization
Beaumont	288	240	2	242	84%
Bon Air	213	183	1	185	87%
Culpeper	144	136	1	137	95%
Hanover	144	134	1	135	94%
Natural Bridge	71	57	0	57	80%
Oak Ridge	40	40	0	40	100%
RDC	156	101	4	105	67%
<b>JCC Total</b>	<b>1,056</b>	<b>891</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>900</b>	<b>85%</b>
Wilderness (VWI)	32	30	0	30	94%
Shenandoah CPP <sup>1</sup>	0	4	0	4	40%
Chesapeake CPP	10	9	0	9	90%
Special Placements	N/A	0	0	0	N/A
Det. Re-Entry	N/A	2	0	2	N/A
<b>State Total</b>	<b>1,098</b>	<b>936</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>945</b>	<b>86%</b>


\* Columns and rows (averages) may not add to totals due to rounding.

<sup>1</sup> Prior to closing on 12/31/07, capacity for Shenandoah CPP was 10 beds.

## Administration & Finance Information

### FY 2008 OPERATING EXPENDITURES\*

**GENERAL FUNDS:  
\$216.6 MILLION**



\* Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

### ESTABLISHED POSITIONS BY OCCUPATIONAL GROUP, FY 2008

<b>Security</b>	
Line Officers through Captain	925
<b>Probation Officers</b>	
Probation Officers through First Line Supervisors	680
<b>(Non-Security) Treatment</b>	
Counselors, Clinical Social Workers, Psychologists, Recreation, Other Treatment Staff, and First Line Supervisors	203
<b>Medical/Health Care</b>	
Nurses, Dentists, Physicians, and Health Care Assistants	45
<b>Food Operations</b>	
Food Service Workers through Operations Supervisors	91
<b>Trades</b>	
Carpenters, Electricians, Plumbers, HVAC Technicians, Grounds Workers, Painters, and Buildings and Grounds Supervisors	50
<b>Clerical/Administrative Support</b>	
Secretaries, Assistants, Specialists, Technicians, and First Line Supervisors	318
<b>Administration &amp; Middle Management</b>	
Fiscal, HR, IT, Research, Program, JCC Assistant Superintendents, Deputy CSU Directors, and other Administrators	95
<b>Upper Management/ Organizational Unit Heads</b>	
Director, Deputy Directors, CSU Directors, Halfway House Directors, JCC Superintendents, ROMs, and other Organizational Unit Heads	75
<b>Total DJJ Positions</b>	<b>2,482</b>

### PER CAPITA COSTS, FY 2008

\$ 96,225.00	DJJ Per Capita Cost
\$ 22,193.00	Department of Correctional Education Per Capita Cost
<b>\$118,418.00</b>	<b>Total cost to hold one juvenile for one year</b>

